

Chapter 1 : Mortgage Payment Calculator - Loan Amount = \$ - Interest Rate = % - Loan Term = 30 years

isbn x / x isbn / Need it Fast? 2 day shipping options This brief edition of a ground-breaking textbook addresses the need for college students to develop.

Opting out[edit] Users who do not wish to be on this list are welcome to replace their names with "Placeholder" and add themselves to this anonymizing list for future versions. Purpose of this list[edit] Visitors to this page may well ask themselves, "Why does this list exist? What is the value of organizing editors by number of edits especially in light of the Caveat lector section below? Are all editors not considered equal in the eyes of Wikipedia regardless of how many edits they may have made? Does having a record of a large number of edits entitle a person to some special rights or privileges? Should it be the goal of any individual editor to accrue as many of these counted edits as possible in hopes of making it onto or increasing his or her ranking on the list? New articles created by high edit count editors may not face the same scrutiny or be as likely to be nominated for deletion as those created by newcomers. None of this is because of the edit counts themselves, but because a high edit count is a reasonable indicator of higher experience. Should it become your goal to get your own account on this list, or to increase your ranking on it? If that means you are willing to contribute your time and energy to creating a better online encyclopedia, then absolutely! But Wikipedia is not a game and few people who are serious about editing are focused on how many "experience points" they are accruing along the way. Even editors whose high edit counts are partly the result of a series of automated edits had to learn how to perform that automation. We all learn as we go, and if we are here for the right reasons, then our edit counts are only interesting commentary on our participationâ€”not on the quality or value of it! This is not a race, it is a collaborative project, and it can always use more level-headed collaborators willing to learn how it all works. There are many reasons why a total number of edits usually does not indicate quality of work, quality of an editor, or significance of contributions. Here are some of the most obvious: Due to a bug , the edit counts reported by Wikipedia are not always reliable for editors with high edit counts and may show extreme discrepancies in some cases. A simple edit count does not differentiate between these types of edits. This means that some editors may appear to have comparatively low edit counts in multiple accounts but would be able to show a much higher overall edit count if the edits from all the accounts were to be included. The following table does not differentiate between these types of accounts, and this fact should be kept in mind when viewing them. Others may use one or more alternative accounts to segregate their contributions for various legitimate reasons. Again, the edit counter will record edits from these as separate accounts, which may then appear to be misleadingly low. Many editors who are high on the list perform routine tasks that require less time per edit such as reverting vandalism, requesting article protection, warning users, or removing spam ; conversely, users who create a number of long new pages or make major additions might easily spend a week or more undertaking research before editing, and appear much lower on the list, if at all. This is another reason why the edit counts may not reflect actual experience or degree of contribution of a given editor. Some editors use the Show preview button until they are satisfied with a particular possibly very long edit, while others will habitually save a succession of small changes as they go; a third class may combine these editing styles. All other things equal, the second group will ultimately accrue the highest formal edit count, even though the end result of these edits may be more or less the same for all three groups. Earlier versions of the list listed contributions to the article space separately. The current list counts the total number of edits, including to talk pages and project pages. This is only important to take into consideration if a person wishes to distinguish types of edit versus total edit count. Many people edit without logging in at all, and many anonymous non-logged in editors regularly make valuable and well-established edits. Some Wikipedians work in areas where edits are less prominent, for example, email-mediated matters such as arbcom-en, mediation, unblock-l, or services such as checkuser. Editors who have become OTRS volunteers have the responsibility of regularly interacting with the general public over sometimes highly complex, difficult, and protracted issues related to Wikipedia; few of these interactions are counted towards the following edit counts on these tables because those interactions are not and should not be considered

"edits" to Wikipedia though they often result in some. Nevertheless, OTRS volunteers whose work is critical to the existence of our freely licensed material are frequently very familiar with Wikipedia policy and procedure in ways that are seldom evaluated or itemized in terms of things such as edit counts. The edit count you see below does not include any edits that an editor may have done to Wikimedia Commons, which is another very important part of the Wikimedia movement. Commons editors are assigned separate edit counts on the Commons website, though many of these edits are also critical to the operation of the English language Wikipedia. A full wiki "edit count" of all contributors might include edits to the Wikipedias of other languages as well as any edits or uploads to Wikimedia Commons; however, the count below does not include these things. In light of all of that, we encourage you to consider that edit count alone does not directly correlate with the effort put into improving Wikipedia, nor does it, in isolation, reflect actual competence, knowledge, or authority. Also, of course, we encourage as many people as possible including you! Our records indicate that contributors not on this list provide the clear majority of edits on Wikipedia. As already mentioned, this information should be understood in context. Charts and graphs[edit] Pie chart of all edits to the English Wikipedia, with a slice for each thousand of the 10, most active Wikipedians, and everyone else in light blue as of 8 January Pie chart that only shows edits for the 10, most active Wikipedians, one slice per thousand editors as of 8 January Edit distribution for the 10, most active Wikipedians as of 8 January

Chapter 2 : calendrierdelascience.com - PDF - The Largest RPG Download Store!

Find Reading and Writing for Civic Literacy: The Critical Citizen's Guide to Argumentative Rhetoric: Brief Edition for a New Political Era by Lazere at over 30 bookstores.

Chapter 3 : Wikipedia:List of Wikipedians by number of edits - Wikipedia

Reading and Writing for Civic Literacy(1st Edition) The Critical Citizen's Guide to Argumentative Rhetoric: Brief Edition for a New Political Era (Cultural Politics and the Promise of Democracy) by Donald Lazere Paperback, Pages, Published by Routledge ISBN , ISBN: X.

Chapter 4 : Find the Best Savings on Wilton® Royal Sunflower Icing Decorations 12 ct. Box

\$ 0-hr: Renegade RENEGADE Corsair The Renegade class of starships was designed as a multipurpose vessel capable of hauling cargo, moving passengers, exploration, reconnaissance, and light combat duty.