

Chapter 1 : The Man Adam - ensign

*Was Adam the first person created by God? or does the Bible teach something different? Scriptures for America Worldwide is an international outreach ministry of the LaPorte Church of Christ and is.*

In truth, there is no conflict between a correct translation of the Bible and proven science. One of these supposed conflicts is between the fact that science knows that human beings have lived on the earth far longer than the very few thousand years covered by the Bible, and the very common misbelief that the Bible says that Adam was the very first human being on earth. These Bible perverting puppet masters quote only a part of 1 Corinthians. This is the same old tactic of only quoting part of a verse in order to prove their unbiblical denominational beliefs, which is the same method used by the Devil when he tried to deceive Jesus. Here is the whole verse from the Bible. Open it up and see for yourself what the scriptures say. It says in Genesis 2: This Bible truth is also confirmed from scientific evidence which clearly states that the nonwhite races are thousands of years older than the blushing white race. Throughout the entire Bible trees symbolize men, races, and nations. Bible symbolism is always explained in the scriptures, and the fact is that it frequently uses trees to symbolize peoples, races, and nations. The cedars in the garden of God could not hide him: I have made him fair by the multitude of his branches: The fact that Adam was not the first human is also revealed in the 4th chapter of Genesis. It records the birth of Cain and Abel, and no other child of Eve is ever mentioned until the birth of Seth, when Adam was years old which was long after the birth of Cain and Abel. No, Adam was not the first human being, but was he the first of anything? The things that denominational Christianity teaches are not only in conflict with scientific truth, but also are in conflict with Biblical truth! What the Bible really says is accurate with a precision scientists have not yet achieved. This is also a downloadable pdf file. This is also an audio message. This is also a video message.

Chapter 2 : Adam not the first man. | Christian Forums

*"Adam was not the first human, but rather was the first human capable of behaving contrary to God's will in an already populated world of humans yields many interesting possibilities both throughout the remainder of the bible itself, as well as far outside of it."*

It was also recognized in ancient Judaism , that there are two distinct accounts for the creation of man. The first account says "male and female [God] created them", implying simultaneous creation, whereas the second account states that God created Eve subsequent to the creation of Adam. Other rabbis suggested that Eve and the woman of the first account were two separate individuals, the first being identified as Lilith , a figure elsewhere described as a night demon. He points out that "nefesh" signifies something like the English word "being", in the sense of a corporeal body capable of life; the concept of a " soul " in the modern sense, did not exist in Hebrew thought until around the 2nd century B. Fall of man and Original sin Some early fathers of the Christian church held Eve responsible for the Fall of man and all subsequent women to be the first sinners because Eve tempted Adam to commit the taboo. Several early Church Fathers , including Clement of Alexandria and Eusebius of Caesarea , interpreted the Hebrew "Heva" as not only the name of Eve, but in its aspirated form as "female serpent. This doctrine became a cornerstone of Western Christian theological tradition, however, not shared by Judaism or the Orthodox churches. Over the centuries, a system of unique Christian beliefs had developed from these doctrines. Baptism became understood as a washing away of the stain of hereditary sin in many churches, although its original symbolism was apparently rebirth. Additionally, the serpent that tempted Eve was interpreted to have been Satan , or that Satan was using a serpent as a mouthpiece , although there is no mention of this identification in the Torah and it is not held in Judaism. When they disobeyed, they committed a major transgression against God and were immediately punished, which led to " the fall " of humanity. Thus, sin and death entered the universe for the first time. Adam and Eve were ejected from the Garden of Eden, never to return. The creation of Adam as Protoanthropos , the original man, is the focal concept of these writings. Another Gnostic tradition held that Adam and Eve were created to help defeat Satan. The serpent, instead of being identified with Satan, is seen as a hero by the Ophites. As in Islamic tradition, this story says that Satan refused to bow to Adam due to pride. Satan said that Adam was inferior to him as he was made of fire, whereas Adam was made of clay. This refusal led to the fall of Satan recorded in works such as the Book of Enoch. Each person was sent to a mountain peak: Adam on al-Safa , and Eve on al-Marwah. In this Islamic tradition, Adam wept 40 days until he repented, after which God sent down the Black Stone , teaching him the Hajj. There is also a legend of a younger son, named Rocail, who created a palace and sepulcher containing autonomous statues that lived out the lives of men so realistically they were mistaken for having souls. I am made of pure fire and he is made of soil. Historicity of the Bible While a traditional view was that the Book of Genesis was authored by Moses and has been considered historical and metaphorical, modern scholars consider the Genesis creation narrative as one of various ancient origin myths. These do not fork from a single couple at the same epoch even if the names were borrowed from the Tanakh. American painter Thomas Cole painted The Garden of Eden , with lavish detail of the first couple living amid waterfalls, vivid plants, and attractive deer. They even assist Him in naming the animals. When Eve is tempted by the serpent and eats the forbidden fruit, Father makes Adam choose between Him and Eden, or Eve. Adam chooses Eve and eats the fruit, causing Father to banish them into the wilderness and destroying the Tree of Knowledge, from which Adam carves a staff. Eve gives birth to Cain and Abel, and Adam forbids his children from going beyond the waterfall in hopes Father will forgive them and bring them back to Eden. When Cain and Abel grow up, Cain breaks his promise and goes beyond the waterfall, finding the giant stones made by other humans, which he brings the family to see, and Adam reveals his discovery from the past: He tries to forbid Cain from seeking them out, which causes Cain to become enraged and he tries to attack Adam, but instead turns his rage to Abel when he tries to stop him and kills him. Later, when an elderly Eve tries to speak to Father, she tells how Adam continually looked for Cain, and after many years, he dies and is buried underneath the waterfall. Finally, Father speaks to her to bring her home. Father embraces Eve and she also

reunited with Adam and Abel. One might even say he was their Savior. A green-skinned pair, who are destined to be the ancestors of Venusian humanity, are living in naked innocence on wonderful floating islands which are the Venusian Eden; a demonically-possessed Earth scientist arrives in a spaceship, acting the part of the snake and trying to tempt the Venusian Eve into disobeying God; but the protagonist, Cambridge scholar Ransom, succeeds in thwarting him - so that Venusian humanity will have a glorious future, free of Original Sin.

Chapter 3 : Adam and Eve - Wikipedia

*Therefore, it is clear for all to see, that the Judeo-Christian clergy say that Adam and Eve were the first man and woman, but the Bible doesn't, it merely says Adam was the first White Man. Let's look at the record.*

Was Adam the First Human? The creation of man in Genesis has always been read to mean that Adam was the first human God created. Why is that exactly? The events listed in the creation account were of little consequence. Whether God created all the earth in six days or in 4. Today, it does matter. In these modern times, we now understand more about the history of the earth and humanity than ever before. Modern understanding has proven to be in direct conflict with traditional interpretations of Genesis. This has resulted in many rejecting the Bible as nothing more than mythology, and many others rejecting modern wisdom and scientific progress as false. The creation versus evolution debate has come to be one of the most divisive topics we face. The interpretation that says Adam was the first man in existence is the primary misconception that makes the Bible and modern science seemingly incompatible. Correcting this one small error takes pre-flood Genesis out of the realm of mythology and plants it firmly into known history. Sumerian writing tablet recording the allocation of beer. Source The Mythology of the First Civilization Civilization first began in Mesopotamia over five thousand years ago, and the Sumerians are credited as the inventors. They built the first cities that ever existed, with populations in the tens of thousands made possible through their development of large-scale year-round agriculture. Throughout the rise of civilization the Sumerians became talented builders. Not long after large-scale agriculture first began, a crude form of writing was developed out of the need to keep records of labor and materials. Another first accredited to the Sumerians. Over the centuries that followed, writing became more advanced and they began to record stories passed down through generations that explained how their people came up with all of these ideas that would forever change the human race. They claim they were taught by immortal human-like gods. The Sumerian and Akkadian tablets where these Sumerian stories are found predate the oldest books of the bible by over a thousand years by our best scholarly estimations. Some of these tablets contain stories that share many very similar components to stories found in early Genesis, including the story of Adam and Eve, the biblical flood, and the confusing of a once universal language. Numerous tablets from throughout the latter part of the 3rd millennium BC containing these stories have been found all around Mesopotamia, suggesting they were very well known in the region during that time. Because of this, it has become a more and more common assumption that some of the stories found in early Genesis were actually inspired by these ancient tales. The Akkadians were definitely inspired by this first civilization, considering they basically adopted much of the Sumerian lifestyle, including their mythology. Greek and Roman mythology also contains echoed themes that suggest the roots of their beliefs may have come from the well-known Sumerian beliefs as well. They all speak of multiple immortal gods, human in form, both male and female, who were fallible, moody, and often at odds with each other, and they all speak of the intermingling between these immortal beings and mortal humans, producing demigods and titans. If the creation of Adam in Genesis happened in an already populated world, given the time frame and location specified, then the humans who eventually became the Sumerians would have been the people that populated the landscape. The Books of Moses Other than the obvious correlation between a handful of stories in early Genesis with Sumerian mythology, the Books of Moses are very much unique. The most obvious quality that differentiates them from the others is that in this story there is only one God. The Greeks were fascinated by these books, which is why some of the oldest manuscripts of the Torah that still exist today are written in Greek. They also had a strong impact on the Romans, who after over a century of Christian persecution legalized Christianity, then a few decades later made it the only legal religion. No other writings from these ancient civilizations can make that claim. There are nearly as many in the non-religious, secular, agnostic, or atheist category as there are Muslims, making them the third largest group behind Christians and Muslims. One reason for this is because it has been confirmed that those events in early Genesis did not happen. The last time the entire planet was covered with water was over three billion years ago when land did not yet exist, let alone humans. And we have confirmed genetically that, while every human alive today does

actually share a common ancestor, this ancestor existed in Africa tens of thousands of years before the events of Genesis. A map of DNA migration. The first order of business is to establish the proper context. What was the state of the Earth during the time frame in which early Genesis is set? Pre-Flood Genesis in an Already Populated World Context We now know that by 10, BC homo sapiens had already populated the planet and had over the course of many generations established themselves as the dominant species in the animal kingdom, which is exactly what the humans created in Genesis 1 were commanded to do: And God blessed them, and God said unto them, "Be fruitful and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it; and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth. Where these same verses also state that the animals will use these plants for food as well, only with the humans does it specifically talk about the seeds that then bare other seed-bearing plants: They will be yours for food. And to all the beasts of the earth and all the birds in the sky and all the creatures that move along the ground" everything that has the breath of life in it "I give every green plant for food. An aridification event often referred to as the 8. No no shrub had yet appeared on the earth and no plant had yet sprung up, for the Lord God had not sent rain on the earth and there was no one to work the ground. Adam, Eve, and the Garden of Eden But where the humans and everything else in Genesis 1 were specifically told what to do, in Genesis 2 Adam was only told what not to do: He was to eat from any tree but the tree of the knowledge of good and evil. And the Lord God commanded the man, saying, "Of every tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat; but of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it. For in the day that thou eatest thereof, thou shalt surely die. For instance, one of the very first things it says God did after placing Adam in the garden was to bring the animals to Adam to see what he would call them. And out of the ground the Lord God formed every beast of the field, and every fowl of the air, and brought them unto Adam to see what he would call them; and whatsoever Adam called every living creature, that was the name thereof. They were told to: Populate and subdue the Earth Establish dominance in the animal kingdom So how could Adam, Eve, and their descendants be expected to accomplish these things considering how capable and willing they were to disobey? Cain leads able to death. Within the Bible, some of the more cryptic and confusing verses in the chapters to follow begin to make much more sense if the region was already populated when Adam was created. Like the unnamed "others" that Cain expressed concern about in chapter 4. The concern God is validated by somehow "marking" him to protect him from harm. Today you are driving me from the land, and I will be hidden from your presence; I will be a restless wanderer on the earth, and whoever finds me will kill me. This comes right in the middle of its explanation for why the flood was necessary. It even goes on to explain that humans are mortal and live less than a hundred and twenty years, contrary to the hundreds of years it says Adam and his descendants lived in chapter 5. And it came to pass, when men began to multiply on the face of the earth and daughters were born unto them, that the sons of God saw the daughters of men, that they were fair; and they took for themselves wives of all whom they chose. And the Lord said, "My Spirit shall not always strive with man, for he also is flesh; yet his days shall be a hundred and twenty years. Source Was the Flood Really Global? This should be obvious, but many still hold onto the belief that the flood completely covered the entire Earth. Even in the traditional context this would not make sense as the flood occurred just 10 generations after Adam. There would be no need in that sense to flood the entire planet. Not to mention the fact that the authors of the bible would have no sense of what global really means as the entirety of the Earth from their perspective was the land they lived in. And Zillah, she also bore Tubalcain, an instructor of every artificer in brass and iron; and the sister of Tubalcain was Naamah. Methuselah died the same year as the flood, probably in it. One before the flood: The Nephilim were on the earth in those days, and also afterward, when the sons of God came in to the daughters of man and they bore children to them. These were the mighty men who were of old, the men of renown. And there we saw the Nephilim the sons of Anak, who come from the Nephilim , and we seemed to ourselves like grasshoppers, and so we seemed to them. A localized flood would hardly accomplish that in this already populated world scenario. But, if Adam was the introduction of free will, and wickedness was only possible through free will, then a local flood of the Mesopotamian valley would be all it would take. In fact, that valley, which is a geological equivalent of a storm drain, would be the perfect location to place an element as potentially dangerous as free will. But in the

context of the evolution of life as we understand it, the appearance of a new species of humans with free will and extended lifespans would be no more of a leap than the change from single-celled to multi-celled organisms or the adaptations that made crawling up onto land from the sea possible. Even in the progression of the Homo genus, there were large leaps forward from one species to the next. Of course, according to the story, they were all washed away by a large flood. Mass extinctions play a crucial role throughout the evolutionary history of life. In that context, the flood was merely the last of many edits that shaped life as we know it today. Even if any physical remains that could potentially confirm this theory had been washed out to sea by a large flood, certainly the existence of beings like this would have left some sort of lasting impression, especially if they existed for over sixteen hundred years in a region populated by humans. You might expect to see rapid advancements in intellectual and technological capabilities, like what appears to have happened with the Sumerians and the Egyptians. Or you might expect to see their influence reflected in the mythology written by these ancient civilizations, like what can be seen in the Sumerian, Akkadian, Babylonian, Greek, and Roman stories: Immortal beings who lived the equivalent of ten mortal lifespans who were exceptionally wise and knowledgeable in agricultural practices, who were prone to human emotion, who bred with mortal humans and created beings of both bloodlines, then disappeared. How Was Eve Created? According to the creation myth of the Abrahamic religions, Eve is the first woman created. According to the second chapter of Genesis in the Bible, Eve was created by God Yahweh from the rib of Adam, and was meant to be his companion. How Old Was Adam? Adam was created by God as an adult of an unspecified age. Cain, Abel, and Seth. Genesis 5 lists the descendants of Adam with their ages at the time they had their first sons, and the ages they were at their deaths. According to this measure, Adam was years old when he died. Who Ate the Forbidden Fruit First? She shares the fruit with Adam, and as a result humans are expelled from the Garden of Eden. Christian and Jewish teachings sometimes hold Adam and Eve to a different level of responsibility for this error, while the Islamic teaching hold both equally at fault. This scene is often called the "fall of man."

**Chapter 4 : God's First Man - Lesson 1 in God's Great Salvation**

*It seems to be a widely held belief among Christians, or at least it appears so to non-Christians, that Adam was the first man, and that we are all decedents of Adam. Yet, by reading Genesis it seems fairly clear to me that there were men already, before God made Adam. It reads to me, that God made.*

Was Adam the first man on earth? Bible chronology on this issue has been established long ago and has been continually verified and sustained. The question which is debated and argued is whether Adam was the first man or humanoid being on earth, or if other types of men existed before Adam. History and science reveal that many different men and humanoid types were on earth tens of thousands of years before Adam. The skeletal remains of these beings have been found all over the planet. Some resemble races now existing, some represent more primitive humanoid types. Bible "fundamentalists" and Christian humanists claim Adam was the first humanoid or man, and was consequentially the father of all peoples and races on earth, or ever existed on the earth. This is derived from their literal and universal interpretation of Scripture, and their denial of what history and science reveal on the matter. To show how inaccurate these humanists and Bible fundamentalists are in their position that Adam was the first man, we will analyze an argument which one of these people has given on the matter. Jones takes the position that all men and races are descended from Adam. In the article he cites some views of "white racialists" about Adam being of the father of only the White race, and that other people existed before Adam. He then states why he thinks this idea is wrong: The primary arguments for such claims stem from Cain. For example, "If only Adam and Eve existed, then who was Cain afraid of that would kill him? If Adam and Eve were the first and only people at this time, then from where did Cain find a wife? Not only was he able to find a wife, but there were obviously enough people to be part of the city built by Cain. All of these circumstances thus point to the existence of men independent of Adam. That book also stated that when Yahweh cursed and cast out Cain, Yahweh placed a "mark" on Cain, "lest any finding him should kill him. The statements clearly indicate other people already existing at the time of Adam, Eve and Cain. Yet those who always apply a literal interpretation of the Bible say that Adam and Eve were the first humans on earth. Now what can Christian humanists do in regard to such facts? The only thing they can do, distort Scripture. Jones states that, "we do have some textual ground for other Adamic children alongside Cain and Able. Jones gives for his statement: First, we know that Cain killed Abel when Adam was nearly one hundred and thirty years old. Support from this comes from the fact that Seth is born specifically to replace Abel, and that birth occurs when Adam was one hundred and thirty Gen. We only know that this event occurred before the birth of Seth. It could have been only a year or two, or 30 or 50 years. So between the birth of the first two and the birth of Seth, we have over a hundred year time span. After Cain and before Seth, Adam and Eve produced many children who had children and grandchildren, long before Abel was killed. Cain would have plenty of people to encounter. This year "time span" is speculation. This would mean that Cain was years old when he killed Abel, when it is generally acknowledged he was a youth of no more than 20 at this time. There is no Biblical passage cited by Mr. Jones to support this. The Bible does say that Adam had other children, but this occurred after Seth: After he begot Seth, the days of Adam were eight hundred years; and he begot sons and daughters. So all the days that Adam lived were nine hundred and thirty years; and he died Gen. Jones is claiming this reproduction of sons and daughters happened "after Cain and before Seth. Jones is apparently trying to take the events of "F" and "G" on the Adamic time line, and move them over to just after "C" on the time line. So who were the people Cain was afraid would kill him? Where did all the people come from that made up the city Cain established? The Christian humanists cannot explain these Biblical facts. We thus find people like Mr. Jones will engage in speculation and twist the clear words and chronology of Scripture because he wants his theology of racial universalism established. This notion of the unity of man is the philosophy of the Establishment and one-world order, and they have convinced many "Christians" which are bent towards humanism that this is the correct ways of Yahweh and doctrine of the Bible. Jones also raises the speculative argument that "Adam and Eve produced godly and ungodly lines of descendants Gen. To make this bit of speculation work out, Mr. Jones makes the following arguments: But

why is there no other mention of other descendants? The answer seems to be that these other descendants lacked the covenantal significance of Abel. We find such an omission in the genealogy of Adam. The genealogy is concerned with the godly line, not Cain or his other siblings. Genesis 5 teaches, "This is the book of the genealogy of Adam Abel and Cain are simply insignificant to the continuation of the godly line, as would be the other children outside that line. This paragraph is loaded with erroneous statements. The first sentence asserts that these other children or descendants of Adam and Eve are not mentioned in the Bible. Yet the Bible does mention them in Gen. Jones would like other children to exist. So he pretends they existed and says they are not mentioned because they "lacked the covenantal significance of Abel. The reason Abel is not mentioned in the genealogy is because he was dead. Genealogy is a continuous lineage and one who dies without having children cannot be part of such a genealogy. Since Seth was appointed to be heir instead of or in the place of Abel, that means Abel was not "insignificant to the continuation of the godly line," as Mr. Christ referred to Abel as "righteous" Matt. How mixed up can anyone get? Abel died childless, that is why he is not part of the Adamic genealogy. The reason Cain is not mentioned is because he was cursed and rejected by Yahweh because of his murder. Cursed and rejected people are never mentioned as being heirs, or as part of the chosen line. When Yahweh rejects someone from being the heir or chosen seed who is biologically in line to be the heir it is a very big deal and a rare event. Thus such a rejection is always specifically mentioned in Scripture, as it was with Cain, Ishmael, and Esau. If Adam had a son after Cain killed Abel, but before Seth was born, then that son would have been treated as the firstborn and heir of the Adamic birthright. So if that son was rejected or passed over as Mr. Jones suggests, then that rejection would be mentioned. Jones implies that this mythical son and other children are not mentioned because they were not godly enough. In other words, Adam and Eve just kept producing ungodly children until one day they got lucky and produced a godly child who was Seth. How utterly stupid could anything be as that piece of speculation? This line of nonsense is support with more nonsense. Jones get his definition of genealogy? He obviously makes up definitions to conform to his twisted theology. Genealogy has everything to do with biology, that is what genealogy is all about! It is about biological descent and ancestral lineage! The law of the firstborn is prevalent throughout Scripture and is clearly biological. Anyone who reads the Bible knows of the prevalence of biological descent and genealogy--"This is the book of the generations of Adam" Gen. It does not say this is the book of a line of people who were righteous, or that Yahweh will only have a covenant with those that are godly. The Bible deals with a biological lineage of a chosen seed or race, a people that Yahweh has chosen Isa. The Bible does not reveal that Adam had "other children" between Cain and Seth, whether godly or ungodly. But to support his doctrine Mr. There was one father Adam who had one very thin, godly, messianic line of descendants. He also had many other lines of opponents of Yahweh. The issue is not the "messianic line," anymore than it is the priestly line, or even some tribal line. All of these lines are a part of the chosen people line. Jones wants to divert attention from this fact by making the issue to be a "very thin, godly, messianic line. This dismisses the theory of Mr. Jones that genealogy is a question of who is godly and who is not. It is a question of race just as the genealogical records of Scripture reveal. As a further attempt to support his humanistic concept of the universality of the races, Mr. Jones states the following: The rest of Scripture clearly supports this picture that Adam and Eve were our first parents. Pre-Adamites would make nonsense out of such claims that, "It is not good that man should be alone:

**Chapter 5 : What does it mean that Jesus is the second Adam?**

*Adam was the "first man" only in the same sense that Christ was the "second man," for Adam "was the figure of Christ" (Romans ). If one is to claim that these verses refer to Adam as the first human being in a physical, literal sense, then, according to verse 45, they have to say Christ was the last man.*

Adam lived years Gen. Complete with voice and illustrations. GO See this page in: Dutch , Indonesian , Russian Did the first man have black hair, brown skin, and brown eyes? Was he six feet eleven inches tall? These are questions we cannot answer sure, because we were not there to see Adam. However, from reading Genesis, and armed with basic scientific knowledge, we can learn a lot about what Adam was probably like. Did Adam have a navel? This is something I have often been asked. Actually, I believe we can have a definite answer here. Your navel is really a scar formed from the attachment via the umbilical cord to your mother. After birth, the cord was cut, and where it was attached to your body it shriveled up and formed a scar known as your belly button. If Adam was not born of a woman, he would not have had an umbilical cord, thus no scar, and thus no navel. Did Adam have a belly-button? Was he born in the same way you or I were? He certainly was not. He was made directly by God from the dust of the Earth. He was the first human. In I Corinthians This is an important point by the way, there were no other human beings made alongside Adam. And Adam said, This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh: She was not born of a woman either. Adam and Eve were unique. Neither of them would have had a navel. When you think about it, that would have been a tremendous witness to everyone who saw them while they were alive. They had evidence that they were the first two people. Did Adam have one fewer rib than Eve? The way I answer this is: If a man had an accident and his leg was amputated as a result, and then he married and had children, would all his children have only one leg? This is because the instructions for how we are constructed are contained in the DNA in the nucleus of our cells - in our genes. This was the first marriage. That is why Jesus in Matthew Wherefore they are no more twain, but one flesh. What therefore God hath joined together, let not man put asunder. This would not have been a chore. This would have been a joy for Adam. This was a perfect garden. There were no thorns and thistles, as these did not come until after God had cursed the Earth. How different looking after gardens and farming is today! And Adam gave names to all cattle, and to the fowl of the air, and to every beast of the field. Scientists who give names to the different animals and plants are called taxonomists. Anyone who studies taxonomy knows how hard it is to remember all of those names. Adam would not have had this problem because he was made perfect. He would have been as intelligent as man could ever be. He must have had a complex language right from the start. He did not even have to learn to speak as we have to. He was made as a mature human being. How different it was for Adam. He awoke after his creation, a conscious being, fully formed, able to communicate and understand. Have you ever thought about the fact that Adam did not see God make him? The evidence that God created was all around Adam though. Adam did not even see God make Eve. But just like Adam, we have plenty of evidence that God created just the way His Word states. Some people think that because Adam had to name all those animals on day six of creation, this could not have been an ordinary day. They think it must have been a long period of time. I am often told that there is no way someone could name all of the names in one day. The Bible, though, tells us that the first man Adam rebelled against God and sin came into the world. Ever since, the creation has been running down. Not only are there no perfect humans in the world now, but all humans have lots of mistakes on their genes mutational defects or copying mistakes that slowly accumulate in the human race. The first man had no mistakes when he was made - he was perfect. I think we can get a glimpse looking through a glass dimly, so to speak of what Adam was like by observing certain people today. I have met people who have photographic memories, others who are brilliant artists. I have read about people who can play musical instruments brilliantly from a very young age, such as Mozart. Others can do extremely complex mathematical computations in their head which even advanced computers take time to accomplish. If we put all these talents, plus much more, into one person, I think we are getting close to what Adam was like. We have to realize that Adam was so much more intelligent than we are. Imagine a human who could use all of his brain power. It was certainly no problem for

Adam to name and remember animals on one day. See “ Adam and the naming of the animals, could all of the events recorded on the 6th day of creation really have taken place during a normal, hour-type day? All humans have the same skin color. We have a pigment called melanin. If we have a lot of this pigment we are very dark even black. In *The Answers* , it is explained that from two people having the right mix of dominant and recessive genes for the amount of melanin, all shades of color in humans could arise. For the same reason, Adam and Eve probably had brown eyes and dark hair. Jewish tradition has it that they had 56 children altogether! Remember Adam lived for years Genesis 5: If Adam and Eve were the first humans, and all people have descended from them Acts This is also explained in detail in *The Answers Book*. Where did Cain get his wife? Therefore, if Adam, being the head of the human race, disobeyed, all of his descendants would have to suffer the consequences. Eve took the fruit and ate it, and gave it to her husband, Adam, who was with her, and he ate it also. This is a beautiful picture of something special to come - that shed blood would be a covering because of sin. However, the blood of bulls and goats was not good enough. Because a man brought sin into the world, a man needed to atone - but it had to be a perfect man. If all descendants of Adam now suffered from sin, how could this be accomplished? God himself came to Earth as a man. Jesus Christ, the second member of the Trinity , was born of a woman to become a man so that the perfect sacrifice could be made. Jesus was God, but He was also man as God intended man to be “sinless. He was crucified on the cross of Calvary. He shed His blood and paid the penalty for our sins, and was raised from the dead, conquering death, the judgment which God had brought upon man because of sin. That is why Paul says in 1 Corinthians For since by man came death, by man came also the resurrection of the dead. For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive. O grave, where is thy victory? Christ has paid the penalty. First published in *Creation Ex Nihilo* , 13 4: Answer Why are humans supposed to wear clothes?

**Chapter 6 : First Man - Life, Hope & Truth**

*One of these supposed conflicts is between the fact that science knows that human beings have lived on the earth far longer than the few thousand years covered by the Bible and the common belief that the Bible says that Adam was the first man.*

According to the Bible, the first man was Adam. But many scientists claim that certain unearthed bones are from humans who lived prior to Adam and Eve. Our answer is based upon the premise of having proven that the Creator God exists and that the Bible is His inerrant revelation to mankind. No other physical creature was made in the very image and likeness of God. The rest of chapter 2 through chapter 5 gives a summary of how God made the first woman, and how the human race developed after Adam and Eve. He created them male and female, and blessed them and called them Mankind in the day they were created. Adam and Eve were created approximately 6,000 years ago. This is based on the biblical record of genealogies and historical accounts. While this number is approximate, it is not off by thousands or tens of thousands of years. This brings us to the question of bones claimed to be those of humans many thousands of years older. There are two major issues to examine here: Dating the bones. Many people assume that the ages reported for certain fossils are always correct and accurate. The presence of water, for example, is one of those factors. Since a worldwide flood water occurred in the days of Noah which most scientists dismiss, accurate dating of fossils becomes more difficult. Thus, some bones that appear to be human are actually human—but they should be dated since the time of Adam and Eve. However, because radiometric dating methods can produce variable age ranges, the scientist must often choose what age he thinks the fossil is based upon circumstances, theories and even biases pertaining to the fossil and evolutionary theories. Remember, fossils have no flesh, hair or clothing, but artists often depict them as covered with thick hair, with poor posture and other primitive appearances to match their conjecture model of what the fossil was and when it lived. They could depict the same fossil as being clean-shaven, well-dressed and sitting at a desk, and it would look like a modern office worker today! Human, or some other species? As we mentioned earlier, some fossils are actually human, but are misdated. In reality, they are from the time since the first man, Adam. But other fossils are miscategorized as human. As mentioned above, often a very wide age-range can be ascribed to a fossil by using radiometric dating methods. Coupled with this is the fact that many evolutionists believe that the layers of strata on the earth were deposited at a fairly uniform rate all over the earth. Thus the evolutionary model dates fossils according to where they occur in the geologic strata—and dates the strata according to the fossils it contains—which is often circular reasoning. The reality is that the world has experienced enormous geologic upheavals, both before Adam and after. Biblical prehistory Genesis 1: What we do know is that when the earth was created, all of the angels were still righteous and in harmony with God see Job. The earth apparently became a wasteland of chaos, confusion and destruction during the rebellion of Satan and the angels who followed him now called demons. But before the angelic rebellion and resultant destruction of the earth, there is nothing in the Bible that precludes the possibility—or probability—of life on the earth during the time the angels occupied it. In fact, the fossil record of dinosaurs and other creatures and plants that we do not have in our world today indicates that they lived in a quite different world prior to Adam. So yes, it is possible that fossils exist that predate Adam by many, many years. But no, it is not possible that any fossils predating Adam are human. In summary, hominid fossils are either humans who have lived since Adam or animals that lived since Adam or animals that lived prior to the first man, Adam.

## Chapter 7 : Was Adam the First man?

*"The first man (Adam) is of the earth, earthy: the: second man (Jesus Christ) is the Lord from heaven." (1 Corinthians ) Surely, no one is stupid enough to argue that Jesus Christ was the second human being, and that He and Adam were the only two men on earth until the first century AD. How foolish!*

Comparet Many people have become agnostics because of the supposed conflict between the Bible and science. In truth, there is no conflict at all between a correct translation of the Bible and really proven science, not just unproven theories. One of these supposed conflicts is between the fact that science knows that human beings have lived on the earth far longer than the few thousand years covered by the Bible and the common belief that the Bible says that Adam was the first man. The many mistranslations in the King James versions obscure much of the truth. For example, Genesis 1: And the earth was without form and void and darkness was upon the face of the deep. This was a judgment of God on earlier civilizations, for their wickedness. I beheld the mountains and lo, they trembled and all the hills moved lightly. I beheld and lo, there was no man and all the birds of the heavens were fled. I beheld, and lo, the fruitful place was a wilderness and all the cities thereof were broken down at the presence of the Lord and by His fierce anger. But, the Bible itself tells us about this. Next the Bible tells us about the creation of men, in the plural, in Genesis 1: The Hebrew word, "aw-dawm" rendered "Adam" in English is from a root word meaning "to show blood in the face" or "of a ruddy complexion", a word obviously not applicable to the dark races, which we also know from scientific evidence to be much older than the White Race. Bible scholars know that Genesis 3: The Fourth chapter of Genesis records the birth of Cain and Abel; in the Hebrew, the wording suggests that they were twins. No other child of Eve is mentioned until the birth of Seth, when Adam was years old, certainly long after the birth of Cain and Abel, which most scholars say was over years earlier. Yet, when Cain killed Abel, and in punishment was driven out of the land, he complained to God that "any one that findeth me shall slay me. Upon being sent away, Cain found many other people, for Genesis 4: These were the pre-Adamite races, mentioned in the latter part of Genesis 1. The "Garden of Eden" was not a plantation of ordinary trees and shrubs. God did nothing so foolish as to make a special creation, just to have a man to wield shovel and pruning shears, when He already had millions of pre Adamites available for that type of work. We are told that the "Garden of Eden" contained "the tree of the knowledge or experience of good and evil". No tree of the forest has any knowledge or experience of either good or evil. Ezekiel 31, says "Behold, the Assyrian was a cedar in Lebanon, with fair branches and a shadowing bough and of an high stature; Therefore his height was exalted above all the trees of the field and his boughs were multiplied and his branches became long; all the fowls of heaven made their nests in his boughs and under his branches did all the beasts of the field bring forth their young and under his shadow dwelt all great nations. I have made him fair by the multitude of his branches: Obviously, the trees in the Garden of God in Eden were "family trees" of races and nations who admired and envied the early Assyrian Empire. These made up the "garden" that Adam was to cultivate. Adam was sent to take his place. These other races and nations had been here long before Adam. Therefore the Bible makes it unmistakably clear that we are not all descended from Adam and Eve, for there were other races on earth, already old, already numerous, when Adam was created. Among these other races there are the several who are simply pre-Adamic and one at least, which is Satanic. If you will read the third chapter of Genesis, you will notice that, immediately after the fall of Adam, when God required them to answer what they had done, God condemned Satan. The word mistranslated "serpent" is the Hebrew word "naw-khash", which literally means "enchanter" or "magician" and, no doubt Satan still possessing angelic powers, was able to be an enchanter or magician. It is certain that the one who seduced Eve was no mere scaly snake wriggling along on the ground. Yes, I said "seduced" Eve, for that is what she admitted in the original Hebrew. Cain was the son of that seduction. The Bible uses the word "begat" with monotonous regularity but, the first time the Bible ever says that Adam ever "begat" anyone is Genesis 5: But to get back to Genesis 3: Satan was to have just as literal "seed", or descendants as Eve. Jesus Christ, Himself, tells us of it. He did call some of them "serpents, children of vipers" which again, was accurate. Long before this, they had adopted the serpent as a symbol of

Satan. That is why their tradition had given the word naw-khash" the translation "serpent", when it really means "enchanter". Jesus therefore was telling them that they were of their father the devil or serpent, if they preferred that word. In this He was simply stating a biological fact with scientific precision and identifying the persons of this ancestry. The things that many preachers teach are in conflict with scientific truth, as we all know, but these preachers are equally in conflict with the Bible. Go back to the Bible, not to any man made doctrines and double check it for accuracy of translation. You will find that what the Bible really says, in its original languages, is accurate with a precision our scientist have not yet achieved. In the next chapter, Genesis 2. The Hebrew word, "aw-dawn" rendered "Adam" in English is from a root word meaning, "To show blood in the face" or "of a ruddy complexion" Bible scholars know the latter part of the passage in Genesis 3: Edenic Covenant In Gen. We know that the tree in the midst of the Garden was a racial tree because it is described in Gen. No fruit tree has a knowledge of good or evil, so it could not have been an "apple tree". Certainly, touching an apple would not have called for such stern punishment. As it turned out, partaking of the fruit of the racial tree did make Eve wise, because she knew immediately after she and Adam had sinned that she was naked. A fact that she did not seem to notice before the misdeed. When God asked Eve what she had done Gen. In the first place, the Hebrew word "Nachash", translated "serpent," actually means "spellbinding enchanter or magician". Now we know how the serpent could talk to Eve. It was not a snake or any reptile with which we are familiar, but Satan, in one of his many appearances. Understanding the foregoing makes it easy to understand that the sin committed in the Garden of Eden was of a sexual nature because when Eve said she was beguiled she actually was saying she had been seduced. The Hebrew word "Nashall translated to "beguiled" actually means "to lead astray, to seduce". It is quite evident that before Adam and Eve sinned theirs was not a physical or sexual relationship. The Forgotten Books of Eden tell us that God, the Father, had desired to bring forth children of Light from both of them perhaps in the same manner as Eve was brought from Adam. But when they committed sexual sin; they were reduced to a purely physical plane of reproduction Gen. They were no longer children of light, free from toil and pain and death. They had been given mortality and they came therefore, under the dispensation of that state of being under which God made with them a second Covenant. These conditions remain until the coming of the Christ when he restores all things created, to their original purity. It is this Covenant, made with Adam when cast out of Eden, which provides the first link in a chain that runs unbroken throughout the whole Bible; that chain of men, chosen and called out by God, who should labour for Him in righteousness, and who should be fellow workers with God to restore His dominion over the earth.

## Chapter 8 : Adam Was Not The First Man

*Adam was the "first man" of the Adamic line, and he had affected all in that line in a spiritual way. The second man which affected this line in a similar manner was Christ. Other lines or kinds were not affected by these two men in the spiritual manner which Paul discusses in 1 Cor. 15, and in Rom. which Mr. Jones also quotes.*

Does the Bible say anything about a pre-Adamic race? The concept of a pre-Adamic race is the idea that God created a race of humans who lived on the Earth before He created Adam, the first man. This hypothesis has been promoted by various scholars at various times throughout history. Roman Emperor Julian the Apostate circa A. We will look at two popular facets of the Preadamite Hypothesis: He did not create the Jews until after the seventh day, His day of rest. At some point after the seventh day, God created Adam, the father of the Jews. Consider a very familiar passage, Romans 5: Nevertheless death reigned from Adam until Moses, even over those who had not sinned in the likeness of the offense of Adam, who is a type of Him who was to come. This is inconsistent with the idea that God created men before Adam. And we know that Adam had sons and daughters Genesis 5: At he could have had grandkids and great-grandkids by the time that Cain killed Abel. Cain had plenty of family members to be afraid of after killing his brother. It may have been around that time that generations of degenerative genetic mutations began to take a toll on our DNA. God outlawed incest for our protection. It became and remains dangerous for close relatives to procreate because of shared genetic defects which become expressed in their children causing severe deformities and other problems. As for Cain founding a city, if he lived to be the average age back then, he probably lived to be about years old. By the time he died, his family would have been a small city. If Cain had a child at the age of 30, and his child had a child at the age of 30 and so on, Cain could have produced 30 generations by the time he died 30 generations times 30 years each equals years. The Ruin-Reconstruction interpretation takes a somewhat different approach to the pre-Adamic race theory. According to the Gap Theory, an unspecified amount of time passed between Genesis 1: Other extinct creatures, like the dinosaurs, are said to have also lived during this time. Afterwards, the theory goes, God remodeled the earth in six days. He created Adam on the sixth day, and the rest is history. They were to fill it again. Language is not static, but dynamic. Words change meaning over time. It is evident that Noah was meant to refill the earth after the flood. He simply said to fill it. God chose the words He chose and no others. To deny this is to deny a central Christian doctrine.

**Chapter 9 : The Story of Adam (part 1 of 5): The First Man - The Religion of Islam**

*The New Testament confirms that Adam was "the first man" (1 Corinthians ). Adam and Eve were created approximately 6, years ago. This is based on the biblical record of genealogies and historical accounts.*

Were Adam and Eve the first humans? An essay donated by Rev. Jim Persinger Sponsored link. I contend that Adam and Eve were not the first humans on Earth as believed by Humans were created on the sixth day, God rested on the seventh and created Adam and Eve later. The reason that the other humans are only vaguely referred to is because the Old Testament, especially Genesis was written for the purpose of establishing God as the creator and supreme being and to provide a genealogy, starting with Adam and Eve, leading up to the birth of Christ. The other humans are simply not important to the story. They are, however, mentioned vaguely as I am about to prove. And God said, Let the earth bring forth the living creature after his kind, cattle, and creeping thing, and beast of the earth after his kind: And God made the beast of the earth after his kind, and cattle after their kind, and every thing that creepeth upon the earth after his kind: And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them. And God blessed them, and God said unto them, Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it: And out of the ground made the LORD God to grow every tree that is pleasant to the sight, and good for food; the tree of life also in the midst of the garden, and the tree of knowledge of good and evil. And out of the ground the LORD God formed every beast of the field, and every fowl of the air; and brought them unto Adam to see what he would call them: And Adam gave names to all cattle, and to the fowl of the air, and to every beast of the field; but for Adam there was not found an help meet for him. These verses are important for three reasons: Humans were created on the sixth day and told to multiply and replenish the earth and to have dominion over the whole earth but Adam and Eve were placed in the Garden of Eden. That was to be their home. In contrast, verses Gen 2: According to this, Adam was originally meant to be alone. BTW, it says he named them, not that he alone had dominion over them, or that he had dominion over them at all. The reason God re-created all the animals of the world for Adam was to find him a help meet. In verses Gen 1: After terraforming the earth, God put man and animal here and told mankind to be fruitful and replenish the earth. Adam and Eve were placed in the Garden of Eden and had perfect bodies. And God saw every thing that he had made, and, behold, it was very good. And the evening and the morning were the sixth day.