

Chapter 1 : Petri Nets: Applications and Theory of Petri Nets

The 40th International Conference on Application and Theory of Petri Nets and Concurrency will take place in Aachen Germany in the last week of June The conference will be co-located with the 19th International Conference on Application of Concurrency to System Design (ACSD). The.

For papers describing the experiences from applications of Petri Nets, authors are encouraged to consult the document: For more information, please see the document: The tool should be available for use by other groups but not necessarily for free. The submission should indicate how the reviewers can get access to the tool this must be for free. The tool will be demonstrated in the Tool Exhibition, in addition to being presented in a conference talk. The title page must: Authors will be notified about the outcome of the evaluation procedure by March 1, Submissions violating the above requirements may be immediately rejected by the PC Chairs. Tool Exhibition An exhibition of Petri net tools takes place on Wednesday. Requests for participation in the tool exhibition must be sent to the Tool Exhibition Chair before June 1, They should include a link to the web pages for the tool or a short description of the tool. The demonstrators bring their own machines, while the organisers may be requested to give access to the Internet. Workshops and Tutorials The conference takes place from Wednesday to Friday. The days before the conference also offer a wide range of activities. It offers a thorough introduction to Petri Nets and consists of four parts: If all four parts are followed, 3 ECTS credit points can be awarded to a participant. A detailed description of the tutorials and workshops will be available via the conference web pages. It is also possible to arrange Meetings and Courses related to Petri Nets. Submissions for such activities must contain a 2â€”5 page description. They must be received by the PC-chairs no later than January 10,

Chapter 2 : Application and Theory of Petri Nets - PETRI NETS - PDF Free Download

This book constitutes the refereed proceedings of the 30th International Conference on Applications and Theory of Petri Nets and Other Models of Concurrency, PETRI NETS , held in Paris, France, in June

The Mutex Paradigm of Concurrency. Branching Cells as Stubborn Sets. The areas of actual or planned application include deadlock avoidance, error recovery, atomicity, failure analysis, system synthesis and system verification. Indeed, I think it likely that most, probably all, of my audience here are far more familiar with this very large and valuable body of research than I am. Nevertheless, despite my limited familiarity with your field, I have dared to devote this lecture to describing the modest series of net theory-related projects that I have been involved in over the years. Let me try to reassure you by saying that in each of these projects I worked in close co-operation with one or other of my colleagues. In each case this was someone who could readily provide the technical expertise in net theory that was needed to augment the very modest contributions that I was able to make to the research. Starting in about 1970, Peter L. Randell used Petri nets to provide a formal treatment [LAU] of the Path Expression concurrent programming notation. In so doing Peter initiated a still-continuing and indeed flourishing line of research at Newcastle on concurrency theory, now led by my colleague Maciej Koutny. Though my role in this concurrency research was that of an admiring bystander, I was intrigued and attracted by what I learnt of net theory from Peter and his colleagues. I assume that this is why, in 1975, I had no hesitation in inviting Carl Adam Petri to Newcastle for the first, and I fear only, time. This series of annual seminars, to an audience mainly of senior computer science professors from across Europe, commenced in 1975 and continued for 32 years. Carl Adam Petri was one of six speakers. I have just reread these lectures for the first time in many years and can readily understand why I was so impressed when I heard Carl Adam present them. But I cannot resist quoting his opening words: But I now think I ought to keep the mathematics to a minimum, both in order to give a general idea of the content of the theory, and to raise the entertainment value from negative to zero. I will return to Eike Best shortly. As a result I made a number of visits to Bonn in late 1975 and early 1976 to attend meetings of the Committee. We must have been a considerable disappointment to them. The Progress Evaluation Committee, though it made some minor constructive criticisms of the Institute, was in fact highly complimentary concerning the work of Carl Adam Petri and his colleagues. But now let me turn to the net-related researches in which I have played a direct part myself. All of these researches have made use not of Petri Nets per se, but rather of Occurrence Nets. Phil was a brilliant young researcher who was to die tragically at the age of just 32, just two years later. The Technion honours his memory with the Dr. Merlin Memorial Lecture and Prize Award. Specifically, in his PhD research Phil developed a technique for automating the conversion of a system design, expressed as a Petri Net, into an equivalent augmented Petri Net which would still work correctly in the presence of certain types of fault, such as arbitrary loss of single tokens [MER]. However it struck me that if a railway track was constructed out of a large set of separately powered sections of track, then a number of trains could be controlled individually. This was long before the availability of digitally controlled model railways, embodying microprocessors in each engine that could be controlled separately by signals sent along the rails, but logically the effect was similar. Our train set had 32 separate sections, and ran half a dozen trains simultaneously. A large number of sensors each provided a simple indication when it was passed by a train. The Model Railway Track Layout: It was extremely successful, and led to a number of excellent individual and group student projects, and research investigations. It was as far as I know the first such computer-controlled model railway, at least in any computer science department, anywhere – its story is told in [SNO]. But our train set, which in effect controlled the movement of trains from track section to track section actually from sensor to sensor , did not have anything equivalent to multiple buffers. This is because it was necessary to ensure that no section ever held more than a single train. Within a single discussion lasting just a few hours, Phil and I came up with a solution to the train journey deadlock avoidance problem [MER B]. The Path to Structured Occurrence Nets 5 his study of the Merlin-Randell problem – in [KOU] he provided perhaps the best informal statement of the problem in the following terms: The layout is represented by an undirected graph, the nodes of which

represent places where trains can reside stations , the arcs of which represent possible moves. Each station can hold only one train. Each train has a program to follow consisting of a directed path through the graph. The train can leave a station when the station it is immediately to travel to is empty. The problem is to find a synchronisation among train movements which allows parallel movements where possible and enables each journey to be completed. I had formed the then unfashionable view that current work on proving programs correct would not suffice for large complex programs, and could perhaps be usefully complemented by work on software fault tolerance. From this, we had soon moved on to considering the problems of faults in concurrent programs, and then in distributed computing systems. Phil Merlin enthusiastically joined in on this research while he was with us, and worked with me on a particular backward error recovery problem, i. Our aim was to provide a formal treatment of this problem. The summary of our resulting paper [MER C] stated that: A protocol is presented which could be used in each of the nodes in a distributed computing system in order to provide system recoverability in the face even of multiple faults. We described the state restoration problem in the following terms: Randell originally followed that state. If the restored state is prior to the presumed set of events and conditions i. We assumed that each node of a distributed system would hold a record of the part of the occurrence graph that related to its contribution to the overall system behaviour. The informal definition of our protocol, though fully detailed, is surprisingly brief. Having identified this problem, most of our research on it had in fact been concerned with protective coordination schemes, akin to transactions, which would limit the domino effect through forcing a system to execute some of its asynchronous behaviour atomically, just in case errors might occur. A particularly noteworthy contribution to this work was that on atomic actions [LOM]. His atomic actions were both a programming language construct and a method of process structuring. I was very much a second author. Such a graph is interpreted as indicating which sections of the original occurrence graph are intended to be viewed as being executed atomically, i. Such collapsing is in fact a form of temporal abstraction, as shown in Figure 2. This net collapsing operation can be rather tricky with occurrence nets that represent asynchronous activity, since there is a need to avoid it resulting in the introduction of any cycles into what is meant to be an acyclic directed graph. Figure 2 b shows the result of trying to view, simultaneously, two particular separate regions of an occurrence graph as being atomic. This in fact is not a valid result, since the collapsed graph contains a cycle. This was perhaps the most interesting result in the above paper. There is much more that could be said about this paper, and about atomicity. I merely note that the subject is of major continuing interest to a number of different communities. Indeed, in recent years two very successful Dagstuhl Conferences have been held on atomicity, bringing together researchers from the fields of i database and transaction processing systems, ii fault tolerance and dependable systems, iii formal methods for system design and correctness reasoning, and iv hardware architecture and programming languages [JON]. This in fact is the subject of the last, and main, topic of my lecture. The typical account of these concepts is as follows: Randell course being composed of components which are themselves systems. This failure may be significant, and thus constitute a fault, to the enclosing system. Thus the manifestation of failures, faults and errors follows a fundamental chain: This fault-error-failure chain can flow from one system to: Typically, a failure will be judged to be due to multiple co-incident faults, e. Identifying failures and hence errors and faults , even understanding the concepts, is difficult when: What constitutes correct failure-free functioning might be implied by a system specification - assuming that this exists, and is complete, accurate and agreed. But the specification is often part of the problem! The environment of a system is the wider system that it affects by its correct functioning, and by its failures , and is affected by. In principle a third system, a judgemental system, is involved in determining whether any particular activity or inactivity of a system in a given environment constitutes or would constitute a failure. Note that such a judgemental system might itself be fallible. This possibility is allowed for in the legal system, hence the concept of a hierarchy of crown courts, appeal courts, supreme courts, etc. Though I was moderately satisfied by the informal English-language accounts of all these concepts that have been developed and refined over many years in the IEEE and IFIP communities [AVI], I felt it desirable to find a more rigorous way of defining them. Occurrence Nets Then and Now:

Chapter 3 : Petri net - Wikipedia

This book constitutes the refereed proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Applications and Theory of Petri Nets and Other Models of Concurrency, PETRI NETS , held in Xi'an, China, in.

The activities of transitions are accordingly subdivided in give and take. The black marks or tokens are moved by the occurrence of transitions; they describe the present state-of-affairs; in the picture above, they show the initial state. Here, the transitions denote offices and the states denote channels, capable of holding messages such as commands until they are taken away obeyed by a subordinate office. The most important class of nets are cyclic nets in which each arrow lies on a directed circuit. If well structured, they express the idea of an infinitely repeatable process Figure 1. A finite piece of their history can be described by an occurrence graph a production net. This net has the same structure as a mechanical oscillator where momentum is caused by the displacement of a mass owing to a retracting force. General net theory The algebraic aspect of distributed systems was described by Petri in his doctoral thesis "Communication with Automata" Petri, , in which it was argued that the then prevailing theory of automata had to be replaced by a new theory which respected the results of modern physics, especially of Special Relativity and of the Uncertainty Principle, to yield a theory of distributed systems which was not in contradiction with physical laws basic net theory. That thesis was followed by a series of papers by Petri and his helpers on net applications in various areas of science economics, mechanics, computer science, logic , organization, biology, telecommunication protocols The concept of higher-level nets was prepared by the axiomatic foundation of general net theory GNT , comprising a general definition of net, the basic transition rule, the creation of higher level nets, and the step to any number of dimensions. In detail, the GNT is founded on the following axioms: The Event Axioms have to be re-defined accordingly. Assume we want to express the shape of a cube by nets: Take the net of corners and edges, and pile up the net of edges and faces - consistent orientation is required. We get a net pile with three kinds of elements: Marking class, safety, security, and fairness Given an initial marking, there is often the task to enquire not only the future but also the past of the history of the net. Therefore, the marking class is defined as the set of markings which are reachable from the initial marking by forward and backward execution of transitions. A net structure is called safe if there are no contact situations in its marking class. A net structure is called secure if there are no transjunctions in its marking class. A transjunction is a conjunction of conditions across a transition: Tokens denoting electromagnetic signals might interfere. Some nets allow two or more independent processes kept apart by a mechanism of mutual exclusion. This mechanism ensures that only one of the processes can enter a critical phase on each repetition. The working of the mechanism is described below: Dead transitions are called facts because they represent factual statements about the marking class. The schedule on the left can be misused by a fast competitor through usurping the system all for himself. To ensure a fair competition, the cycles must be linked by a buffer. A buffer of capacity 1 would enforce alternating use; in practice, larger buffers allow more freedom. The example above Figure 6 indicates the general method to define all valid logical statements about the marking class by a set of facts. General net theory features the following innovations: Simultaneity is replaced by concurrency mutual causally independent occurrence. This is done by equipping all nets with a topological structure: The closure of a state is defined as that state plus all transitions connected to it, irrespective of direction of arrows. Moreover, all rules of mathematical topology are to be applied by definition. As a result, every finite connected net is a continuous space. This highly abstract and laborious procedure, which Petri performed in cooperation with Konrad Zuse, is as follows: The background of this table is an Integer Minkowski Grid, implemented between a pulsating light source and a mirror. The yellow patches are cut out and their opposite sides are glued together to form a torus on which certain tokens particles oscillate periodically in specific modes Figure 7. LT-compatible means that the Lorentz Transformation can be applied literally to the patterns, while this is not immediately possible for the degenerate patterns. It turned out to the surprise of the inventors that those periodical patterns of movement corresponded one-to-one to a complete set of loss-free computing primitives by changing the orientation of arrows. Moreover, loss-free means that they have exact reverses each is even its

own reverse! The detailed procedure for constructing the Quine Transfer which performs the conditional interchange of two bits are shown in Figure 9. There exist systematic mappings to generate information flow graphs from basic nets Figure 9. They are the tool for the actual construction of nets fit for computing arbitrary functions from a given set of bits under physically feasible conditions. Returning to the derivation of the smaller transfers necessary for computation in general, the synchronization of bit streams and the transfer computing exclusive OR XOR are given explicitly. The Information Graph shorthand for both is shown on bottom of the constructs: Here is a universal construct which performs bit addition modulo 2 and bit multiplication in three steps. On the right, the general form of information flow graphs is demonstrated. Finally, it is pointed out that all basic computing primitives can be composed by joining noisy channels only example: As all real-world channels are noisy, there is ample supply of them. We hold that noise is not different from other physical influences, that is, caused by signals. Once a method is found for joining two or four noisy channels physically, there is no obstacle for a large-scale production of computing-power. Since , many authors have helped to develop net theory into a well-established body of knowledge, with many computer tools available for detecting the properties of nets hidden in the net graphics, such as behavioural invariants, or possibilities of decomposition. References Petri, CA Communication with automata. Shea-Brown Periodic orbit. Scholarpedia , 1 7: Arkady Pikovsky and Michael Rosenblum Synchronization.

Chapter 4 : Petri Nets : Applications and Theory of Petri Nets

This volume contains the proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Application and Theory of Petri Nets and Other Models of Concurrency (ICATPN).

The conference will have several special events to celebrate the 40 year history of the conference. Important Dates Abstract Submission: January 16, Submission of Papers: January 22, Notification: March 8, Final Version: March 22, Participation in Tool Exhibition: The conference will take place in the conference area of the Tivoli football stadium close to the city center of Aachen. The language of the conference is English, and its proceedings will be published by Springer-Verlag in Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Papers presenting original research on application or theory of Petri nets, as well as contributions addressing topics relevant to the general field of distributed and concurrent systems are sought. Some of the best papers will be invited, in an extended form, as submissions to a special issue of a well-established computer science journal. Stochastic net models Verification and model checking using nets Process discovery and conformance checking Computer tools for nets Experience reports describing applications of nets to different kinds of systems and application fields. Paper Submission Two kinds of papers can be submitted: Regular papers max 20 pages describing original results pertaining to the development of the theory of Petri Nets and distributed and concurrent systems in general, new results extending the applicability of Petri Nets, or case studies, application and experience reports pertinent to the practical use of Petri Nets and concurrency. Tool papers max 10 pages describing a computer tool based on Petri Nets not an application of the tool or the theory behind the tool. The tool should be available for use by other groups but not necessarily for free. The submission should indicate how the reviewers can get access to the tool this must be for free. The tool will be demonstrated in the Tool Exhibition, in addition to being presented in a conference talk. Requests for participation in the tool exhibition must be sent to the Tool Exhibition Chair by the deadline stated at the top of this Call for Papers. They should include a link to the web pages for the tool or a short description of the tool. The demonstrators should bring their own laptops, while the organizers may be requested to give access to the Internet. The three days before the main conference also offer a wide range of activities. It offers a thorough introduction to Petri Nets in four half-day modules on Sunday and Monday, and a full-day tutorial module on Tuesday. For successful participation in the entire course, including preparation and examination, three credit points ECTS will be awarded. Each module of the course can also be taken separately, without any credit. Workshops take place on Monday and Tuesday. These tutorials can be followed independently or in combination with the Petri Net Course. Detailed descriptions of Workshops and Tutorials will be made available via the conference web pages. Call for Book Chapters - Data Science:

Chapter 5 : Petri Net, Theory and Applications : Download Free Book

This book constitutes the refereed proceedings of the 32nd International Conference on Applications and Theory of Petri Nets and Other Models of Concurrency, PETRI NETS, held in Newcastle, UK, in June

Chapter 6 : 35th International Conference on Application and Theory of Petri Nets and Concurrency

The aim of the Petri net conferences is to create a forum for discussing progress in the application and theory of Petri nets. Typically, the conferences have { participants { one third of these coming from industry while the rest are from universities and research institutions.

Chapter 7 : Petri Nets - calendrierdelascience.comute

This Petri Nets proceedings volume presents papers on application or theory of Petri nets, as well as contributions addressing topics relevant to the general field of distributed and concurrent systems.

Chapter 8 : Petri net - Scholarpedia

Although many other models of concurrent and distributed systems have been developed since the introduction in Petri nets are still an essential model for concurrent systems with respect to both the theory and the applications.

Chapter 9 : 33rd International Conference on Application and Theory of Petri Nets and Concurrency

Note: Citations are based on reference standards. However, formatting rules can vary widely between applications and fields of interest or study. The specific requirements or preferences of your reviewing publisher, classroom teacher, institution or organization should be applied.