

Chapter 1 : For the Bible Tells Me So - Movie Reviews - Rotten Tomatoes

The Bible Tells Me So chronicles Enns's spiritual odyssey, how he came to see beyond restrictive doctrine and learned to embrace God's Word as it is actually.

But I knew it would be an adventure in chaos and stupidity I was not disappointed. And the answer is: He failed to put any kind of core to his liberal claims on christianity. Basically his religion is reduced to: I was waiting for Peter to reveal one religious fact or truth that he hangs all of his logical hopes on Apparently numerous liberal christians who base their Savior Jesus on According to Peter there really is no Biblical truth: Not Satan or angels or demons or sin. Not a trustworthy account of Jesus life. Not an Old Testament that points to Jesus. So why is Peter a christian at all? What is his foundation? God exists; he has given us his law Just crazy stories from some old tribes that are mostly borrowed myths and cultural influences that might have some meaning -- but only the nice stuff. He would never hold SIN against people. This is what we call a theological problem. God has wonderfully protected humanity and guided us to 7 billion. Did you happen to read How God will once again destroy humanity in Revelation 19 and 20? Basically he tells us there is nothing to figure out or trust. Peter just hates the information and yet still wants to claim a trustworthy salvation. He even mocks the historic church Jesus established You know the one that: Ridiculous comment by Peter: What can we trust? Peter says those are just tales without factual truth. And yet Jesus seems to think this is essential. Which reminds me of a famous Bible moment: Peter is quick and clear to state "Did God actually say Just the ramblings of a snake to build our faith upon. But maybe diversity tells us something about what God is like. But if you must Especially 1 and 2 Peter. But this is how Peter attacks anyone who questions his liberal rants against over years of Spirit lead Christian truth. Everything Peter does is liberal controversy: He tries to come across as a nice guy with his humor and cute stories - but only an idiot would not clearly see what he is up to. Please go read your Bibles everyone. This is for all those theologically illiterate people who gave this book a few stars. It seems Peter has failed to read any Bible commentaries or other sources except liberal or atheistic? We Christians have a world of resources and historic theologians to help us - as well as the Holy Spirit. Jesus did not scold Thomas for asking very relevant questions and being somewhat skeptical. Does the Bible really factually say the Earth was flat? Is that how thorough your scholarship is Peter? YES, yes it is. If Peter cared to look: I must assume Peter has rejected all of these How can we not. YES, that is our Savior. But the truth is: Peter hates the God of the Bible. Peter Mocks Jesus and all of scripture that points to him and the plan of salvation It is all there. Because you are busy being the snake of Genesis: To continually point to events and relationships that show us Jesus and redemption. Peter just claims this is storytelling - he failed to see God teaching us through repetition. Enns does not believe Prophecy. Enns does not think God is large enough to behave as the Bible states. Enns does trust just about any scholar as long as they disagree with Christian scripture. Remember that God is not a Cosmic 8 year old. He is our Lord and Savior who has revealed himself through every page of scripture and has been pointing history in His direction from the very beginning. Here is a wonderful thought that Peter will not approve of. Even if Jesus says it himself: John 8 Jesus says "but I do know him and I keep his word. Your father Abraham rejoiced that he would see my day. He saw it and was glad. There is no frustration for a Christian to study them and find the truth revealed through Christian scholars and the Holy Spirit. Only those who agree with his confusion. So, once again, just for emphasis, I present: But a talking donkey is occasionally worth listening to.

Chapter 2 : The Bible Tells Me So: Why Defending Scripture Has Made Us Unable to Read It by Peter Enns

An exploration of the intersection between religion and homosexuality in the U.S. and how the religious right has used its interpretation of the Bible to stigmatize the gay community.

Last week we discussed the various sources of knowledge. We concluded that unless there was such a thing as special revelation from a Sovereign God, then all our sources of truth are relative. And if all our sources are relative, then truth is relative. So, the big question is whether or not there is any special revelation from God. This week we are going to discuss whether or not the Bible is in fact true. How do we know? Apologetic Systems I first want to introduce a couple of concepts that I think are important to understand before we get into the details of how we know the bible is inspired. Usually apologetics systems are divided into two camps: Evidentialism Also known as total rationalism This is the view that one can come to the truth without having any prior commitment to a system or world view. Pure reasoning can arrive at the truth. You can prove the existence of God through observation of nature and rational arguments. You can prove the Bible is the Word of God by historical and logical arguments. Presuppositionalism Also known as Biblicism In this methodology the revelation-claims of the Bible are not merely hypothetically assumed and later rationally validated, much less arrived at by independent reasoning. Instead, divine authority is accepted unconditionally and wholeheartedly by supernaturally-endowed faith. This system rejects all attempts to independently verify the Christian truth-claims because sinful, rational man has no legitimate canons by which to test God and His revelation. Semi-rationalism or semi-biblicism This view recognizes that we have presuppositions, but seeks to verify whether or not those presuppositions are valid through the evidence. It promotes Christianity but subjects it to analytical testing or rational tests. In our context of doing evangelism, it involves breaking up the soil by poking holes in the other world views and preparing it for the seed. Which one are you? What do you think about these three systems? Presuppositionalism puts its emphasis on faith which is good. We know that the Bible makes sense because we believe. Some how both are true. Is the Bible Inspired? Perhaps you grew up with your parents telling you that that the Bible was true. Other people tell their children that their religious books are from God. So, how do we know if God is speaking through scripture? And is He only speaking through the Bible? Why do you believe the Bible is inspired? They call it a burning in their bosom. They are important reasons. If neither of these things ever happened, then it would mean there is a problem somewhere. Either with the Bible itself or your method of study. But recognize that other religions have the same reasons. We need objective reasons. Does the Bible authenticate itself? It is begging the question, or circular reasoning. What do you think about his claim? Is Grudem an evidentialist or a presuppositionalist? When I first read this, it really bothered me. I thought that sure sounds good. It made me wonder if I should be studying reasons why the Bible is inspired. If Grudem is right, then I just need to believe the Bible when it says it is inspired. And we just need to present scripture to people and let the Holy Spirit convict them. It does no use to argue with them. And we might as well end this class right now, because I was going to give you logical and practical reasons for believing the Bible is inspired. But I was left with the subjectivity problem again. So, while I was in this quandary, I kept reading. It is interesting to me that on the next page, Grudem says, Ultimately, the truthfulness of the Bible will commend itself as being far more persuasive than other religious books. It will be more persuasive because in the actual experience of life, all of these other candidates for ultimate authority are seen to be inconsistent or to have shortcomings that disqualify them, while the Bible will be seen to be fully in accord with all that we know about the world around us, about ourselves, and about God. It looks to me like he is measuring his ultimate authority the Bible against the tests of practice, experience and logic that we discussed last week. So, I guess that would make him fall into our third category above a semi-rationalist. So, I guess we can proceed with this class and discuss the logical and practical reasons why the Bible is inspired Word of God. Uniqueness 66 different documents, different genres, different authors, different continents, written over year period, etc. And all the books fit together to tell a unified story. There is nothing else out there like that. It has been critiqued more than any other document. And it has stood up to all the criticism and continues to be the most read, most translated, best selling book. From the beginning

to the end of the Bible we see that man is a failure. We are going through Genesis with our neighbors and just finished studying the lives of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. The thing that stands out the most is that Abraham, Isaac and Jacob had lots of character flaws and made a lot of big mistakes. They seemed to be much better candidates. The answer is because the truth is that man is unworthy and it is only because of the grace of God that He blesses us. We do not get what we deserve. They are not honest in their historical records. The nations seem to be doing great up until the point where they just disappear. It makes you wonder what happened? When we evaluate the Bible as a historical document, you have to ask why they would make up such a terrible story that makes man look so bad? Because it is true. The biblical authors wrote these open ended books that tell a piece of the story. It is not until you put all the books together, that you understand the whole story. Why would you introduce a theme, but never complete it if you were writing a book? Differences in the Gospel accounts “ shows authenticity. If they all had the same exact details, then that would indicate that they collaborated. No other religious book contains prophecies of specific future events. The Bible not only contains numerous prophecies, many of them have already come true. God says this is a pretty good argument. Preservation There are over Greek manuscripts of the New Testament which we can compare and come up with what the original manuscripts said. If you compare that to, for example, the writings of Shakespeare, in his 37 plays there are probably places where the readings are in dispute. And if you consider the fact that the New Testament existed for years in manuscript form handwritten form before the printing press and Shakespeare existed after the printing press, the preservation of the NT is remarkable. We have manuscripts of the NT dating as far back as the second century and OT manuscripts from before Christ. When you examine the great classics and Greek and Roman histories, most have been lost and the oldest manuscripts we do have are from the ninth century. The significance of this is that some of our copies of the NT are first, second or third generation copies which means there was less opportunity for errors to creep in. The Bible has been banned, burned and outlawed from the time of Rome to present day Communism. But it is still the most printed, most sold book. For example, they used to say that there was no such nation as the Hittites. But then Archaeologists discovered that they existed for over years. Nelson Glueck, who is probably the greatest authority on Israeli archaeology said, It may be stated categorically that no archaeological discovery has ever controverted a biblical reference. Scores of archaeological findings have been made which confirm in clear outline or in exact detail historical statements in the Bible. And, by the same token, proper evaluation of biblical descriptions has often led to amazing discoveries. Historicity We must first see the bible as an historical book. Not as a religious book. Another thing that supports the fact that the Bible is an historical book is that it records a lot of irrelevant details. They are irrelevant as far as the theological content is concerned. That is a sign that an historical document is true. Christianity would not fall if the bible was not inspired. It would fall if it was not historical. Look at it another way “ If Christ was not really raised from the dead, then Christianity is not true. We can believe in Christ and believe He is God, etc. As Paul says in 1 Cor. Extra-biblical attestation Related to the historicity of the Bible itself is the fact that there are numerous extra-biblical sources attesting to facts about Jesus.

Chapter 3 : Jesus Loves Me song and lyrics from KIDiddles

Free Christian children's songs. Free Christian children's videos. Free coloring and activity pages, and more.

Chapter 4 : NPR Choice page

The Bible Tells Me So is an excellent book that I would recommend to most people who identify as Christian or are seeking to know more about Christianity.

Chapter 5 : For the Bible Tells Me So: Biblical Authority Denied Again - calendrierdelascience.com

Peter Enns. The Bible Tells Me So: Why Defending Scripture Has Made Us Unable to Read It. New York, NY:

DOWNLOAD PDF BIBLE TELLS ME SO

HarperCollins, pp. \$ I confess that I do judge books by their covers. Or at least by the back cover. I read (and review) a lot of books and am always careful to read the.

Chapter 6 : For the Bible Tells Me So () - Rotten Tomatoes

A cartoon clip from the film "For the Bible Tells Me So".

Chapter 7 : For the Bible Tells Me So () - IMDb

Last week we discussed the various sources of knowledge. Reason, Tradition, Experience, Emotion, General Revelation, Special Revelation. We concluded that unless there was such a thing as special revelation from a Sovereign God, then all our sources of truth are relative.

Chapter 8 : The Bible Tells Me So - Wikipedia

"For the Bible Tells Me So" is a wonderful documentary based on life experiences from a broad spectrum of those involved in the topic of gay/lesbian acceptance with the overwhelming dynamic of a religious background.

Chapter 9 : The Bible Tells Me So lyrics - Don Cornell original song - full version on Lyrics Freak

The shock of Enns's The Bible Tells Me So, then, is either the simplicity and clarity of its answer to that question or else the humor and confessional belief with which the answer is offered. This is a book that every Christian will be the better and richer for having read."