

Chapter 1 : Is A Whip Really Necessary? |

Consider this poll out today from Politico and Morning Consult, which asked whether respondents agreed with Donald Trump's assertion that, as the poll read, "the issues around Clinton's emails are worse than Watergate."

All summer long golfers in Southern Nevada play in the heat. Just when the temperatures start to dip below degrees, local golf courses close for three to four weeks. Is this really necessary? In a wordâ€¦ absolutely! Maintaining a golf course in the desert seems almost as difficult as maintaining one on the moon. Special efforts are needed to keep a golf course lush and green including over seed. On select days, the Bar and Grill and the driving range will remain open Golfers expect to play on great grass year round. To bring back the beautiful green that golfers expect, Rye seed is added when the weather is best for growing grass. These are conditions that occur at the end of summer and early fall in Southern Nevada. The scalping process begins before the course closes. The fairways are also cut closer â€” all the time reducing the amount of irrigation water. Scalping is extremely important to help the grass seed take root. When the seed is placed, it needs to be in contact with the wet soil so it stays moist. Under these conditions, the seed should germinate and sprout roots after seven days. Without scalping, the seeds could get suspended in the grass, above the moist soil, dry out and die. Blades on the mowers were replaced with knife-like blades that operate in a vertical motion, opening up the canopy. Following behind the mowers is a large machine called a sweeper, which picks up all the loose material. After four to five days, the scalping is finished and a generous amount of Rye grass seed is placed on the tees, fairways and rough. It is important to use the right amount of water to keep the soil moist. The irrigation system is programmed to water each part of the course three minutes at a time and every 60 to 90 minutes. Chimera Golf Club will use this time to work on other projects on the property to take advantage of not having to work around golfers. Extra staff have been hired to do the needed landscaping in the native areas. The drip irrigation will get some extra attention and more sand will be added to the bunkers. Now the scalping is complete, the seed is down, the irrigation is set, and it is time for the grass to grow. Hopefully, the weather will co-operate with mild temperatures and low humidity. If the temperatures and humidity stay high, there is a chance of the course catching a disease in the form of fungus, which is deadly for the Rye grass. Chimera is in an area that makes it more susceptible than other courses. It is in a lower part of the valley and located close to the Las Vegas Wash, which brings up the humidity. If the temperatures and humidity do become a concern, chemicals can be added to the irrigation water to prevent fungus. This is effective but adds another cost to over seed. Over seed is a complicated, expensive and a necessary process for any golf course to go through. At Chimera, this process is over seen by the professionals at Turf Tech Inc. In a few weeks, the grass will have grown and the golf course will again be lush and green. Chimera Golf Club will be ready to reopen. Come mid-October, everything will be back to normal.

Chapter 2 : Wisdom teeth removal: When is it necessary? - Mayo Clinic

In our website you will find the solution for 'Is this really necessary?' crossword clue crossword clue. The only intention that I created this website was to help others for the solutions of the New York Times Crossword.

With war brings blood and gore galore. With war you have fathers not returning home alive to their families while others returning not the same as they left since the stress and strain have left them physically perhaps mentally as well with the possibility of permanent psychosis or post-war related syndromes that lead to suicide. Our pre-war scouting revealed the weaknesses the enemy had that we felt we could exploit on the road to victory. In each case our men fought and our men died. Not to mention the millions upon millions in taxpayer dollars that were spent depending on your opinion wisely or foolishly. I personally am skeptical about the theory that the United States economy is stronger during war time than peace time. War does not nor never has produced permanent jobs. We were duped into believing this treaty with Iran we signed would ensure peace while promoting good will. Now we stand at the cusp of going to battle with an enemy once again that we armed using money from the American taxpayer. Is war really necessary? Depending on where you lean politically your answer will in all likelihood be no, war is NOT necessary. When the public opinion started to turn so did the liberals start to turn away from the war effort with their usual whimpering and whining. To go to war against Osama Bin Laden and Al-Qaeda after the massive suicide bombing of the World Trade Center along with the planned attack on the Pentagon yield the nearly unanimous affirmative vote to go to war. Our way of life back then as it is now was and is being threatened by those who seek to destroy us simply because freedom represents everything they scorn. Too many innocent lives were lost that day and in subsequent years due to breathing in the contaminated air from the attack. Once again when the time came to fly our colors high the liberals balked. This was a war we had to fight with a resolve to keep fighting until Bin Laden was brought to the US for murder charges or killed along with his family while wiping out Al-Qaeda. Sadly this did not happen as planned. The anti-war movement of that era helped stopped a war that perhaps should never have engaged our soldiers on the ground in this foreign land. If you were for the war you were a war monger. If you were against the war you had the long haired communist lover tag applied to you. History as alluded to above reveals many wars that we fought in one capacity or another or for one reason or another. When the Japanese attacked Pearl Harbor the only option we had was to fight. There was no debate. The same holds true for World War II which was a war that involved 30 countries that resulted in million civilian and military deaths. This was the longest and bloodiest conflict we were ever involved in. War is always a hot button political issue even during times of peace due to the wrangling and haggling that goes on between left and right as to appropriations for defense along with new weaponry. The right will always on the side of being prepared for war while fighting to keep peace. What of our allies as to what they think of our decisions to go to war when we feel the need should the need arise. Are we seen as defenders of democracy or a thinly disguised supremacist nation that wants nothing more to shove our values down the throats of the world which is exactly what the violent extremists are doing right now? In instances such as above going to war to wipe out these terror loving militants is not only the right thing to do it is the only thing to do. To be fair to the other side liberals I would say perhaps in instances like Vietnam we got involved in a conflict that had no real significant bearing on the world at large but in the grand scheme of things war is all too often necessary. My son who was barely shaving signed up to fight for his country and was prepared to go overseas to fight if necessary in the war against those who would threaten our freedom. Future wars will involve nuclear weapons that could destroy the planet or significant sections of it. More gruesome images of death will flash across the TV screen or on the pages of internet. Will these wars really be necessary? Sadly it is so. War is really necessary in most times. When war is not necessary we will know it or hopefully know it and show appropriate restraint. When necessary we must fight and fight with everything we have military along with the right of our convictions.

Chapter 3 : Is chemo REALLY necessary? | Cancer Chat

Most leaders assume that they need to foster teamwork among the people whom directly and indirectly report to them. Teaming is now seen as the workplace equivalent of motherhood and apple pie.

Some people who suffer from this condition as children are cured thanks to prompt treatment. Remarkably, in some cases, these post-hydrocephalics turn out to have grossly abnormal brain structure: Even more remarkably, in some cases, these people have normal intelligence and display no obvious symptoms, despite their brains being mostly water. The black spaces are nothing but fluid: This phenomenon was first noted by a British pediatrician called John Lorber. Lorber never published his observations in a scientific journal, although a documentary was made about them. There have been a number of other more recent published cases. Forsdyke argues that such cases pose a problem for mainstream neuroscience. What appears to be missing is the white matter, the nerve tracts that connect the various parts of the cerebral cortex with each other, and with the other areas of the brain. However, some white matter is still visible as the pale grey layer that borders the holes. The big question is whether this layer of white matter is sufficient to connect up the grey matter and allow it to function normally. I wonder also if the white matter might be denser than normal. No-one seems to have looked at this possibility directly; while there have been brain scanning studies of these adult post-hydrocephalics, no detailed post-mortem studies of their brain tissue have been published, as far as I know. For more on the neuroanatomy of this issue, see John Hawks discussed by Forsdyke. It may be that much of our white matter is redundant, which would be interesting, but not on a metaphysical level. Thanks to Rolf Degen for letting me know about this paper. It would be astonishing if structures like the thalamus and striatum were absent entirely. None of the published descriptions of these extreme cases seem to go into much anatomical detail. Not that I could find. Lana The fact that you never see the inverse "tiny ball of brain floating in liquid" also seems to suggest that to function properly, brain area is more important than volume. Not saying that the bulk of white matter is just stuffing to maintain the right proportion of adjacent and not adjacent surfaces but well, why not. The Neuro Times I think it depends on what the cherished assumptions really are. A great deal of our functioning is autonomic and reflexive. Brain tumors do not necessarily impact brain function until they do. Likewise, many diseases not localized in the brain bring with them diminished cognitive capacity without any evident direct impact on higher nervous system operation. It is probably in that inversion whence cognitive states arise. The idea that more is better than less probably tells us more about human intelligence. Rex Jung From a neuropsychological perspective, if bad things happen very slowly, the brain can, and often does accommodate with few obvious behavioral deficits. You can pick up deficits from detailed neuropsychological testing, as I am certain that you would find in testing these individuals. I have seen many patients with hydrocephalus in clinic and this is not something that is associated with no cognitive deficit. The rare cases of congenital hydrocephalus, as these cases appear to be, would be interesting to study regarding slow, steady, reorganization of brain structure-function relationships. One of my FBFs had a massive cerebral hemorrhage and was in a coma for years. The recovery is remarkable and certainly does challenge some of our notions about how brains work. These rats still had the cerebellum and brainstem, the rest of the CNS completely detached. Yet these rats could perform a very surprising array of behaviors. However, one should not infer that he never tried to publish. More likely, editors and peer-reviewers found his observations incredible and refused to publish. Now that his observations have been independently verified, the publication barrier has become a trifle easier to surmount. Thanks for the comment! I now, in September, have discovered that detective work. I blush to admit that even though the photos in question were close to each other on one of my webpages, I had not noticed that the Oliviera photo was identical to that of Feuillet et al. Hopefully, in the years ahead, further data will emerge and there will be post-mortem studies of the brains of some of his patients. However, the case I have made also relies on other, less flamboyant neuroscientific observations. For more see [http:](http://) What is your claim based on exactly? On the other hand, rubbish paper get rejected everyday. And this is extremely implausible because the current theories, though incomplete, predict the behaviour of matter and energy extremely accurately. Dualism has

been abandoned by philosophers because it fails to explain the known, let alone the unknown. It is also rejected by Buddhist philosophers. Anyway the detail is in the essay if you are interested. I will certainly look at your blog at once! However, here for quick reply I should say I agree with you about all the rewriting the physical laws since we are also stardust. Buddha clearly accepted the Mesopotamian and Vedic notions of four fundamental elements fire, air, water and earth and space as building blocks of human entity. Later atomism also was accepted in Buddhism. Atomism was already in the air before Buddha. In Buddhism, mind just not means consciousness but four entities: Thus it would be mistake to say that Buddha perceived mind and body as dual entity! Milind Panha says mind and body are inseparable! Where body is born there mind also is born. Where mind is born there body is also born. In the Dependent Origination Buddha talks how ignorance causes mental formations and mental formations causes consciousness and consciousness causes birth of body and mind and so on. Here Buddha sees consciousness as a product of body and a sort of mind. However, Buddha also sees consciousness behaves like a seed of a tree which still remains even after demise of tree and in favorable condition it can grow as a plant and tree and will bear fruits. Buddha was not unaware of sperm and ovum and conception process but still he talked about rebirth! What did it mean to him? Despite the very illustrative meaning rebirth in Milind Pannha, the concept of rebirth is not so easily comprehensible! Obviously, the concept of rebirth is not empirical and nor cosmology is! Do you believe in Big Bang and universe from nothing theories? Cosmologists cannot say what was there before the bang but they clearly say after bang universe went through several forms of evolutions! In the primary stage Plank epoch tiny universe was just full of radiation of photons and gradually matters formed! These theories smash the theory of materialism! Photons and bosons are not matters but immaterials! Now some scientists claim that within few years they will able to form matter from photons. Our brains are made of billions of neurons and produce electromagnetic field bio-photons! Now many scholars believe in quantum mind theory of consciousness and I see it as logical! If it is so, rebirth is no more superstition but a potential metaphysics! Not many scholars actually believe in a quantum theory of consciousness. It was proposed but has yet to make many converts amongst serious scientists. In fact the theory is very thin on evidence of any causal link. Ancient Indians did believe that semen was required for conception, and were aware that fertility was associated with the menstrual cycle, but they had no conception of sperm or egg. And how could they since those things were not seen until microscopes were invented. Rebirth was simply the pan-Indian version of the afterlife. But Buddhists themselves could never settle on a workable account of how rebirth operated. The upshot is that, while belief was ubiquitous, understanding was always lacking. No theory was ever really viable, and in the light of modern knowledge of the universe this is doubly so. I am sorry to say, I am not interested in another metaphysical explanation for or against of karma and rebirth! Better you focus on empirical researches to attract the readers! You will not have large mass of audience to buy your book if you limit your studies to metaphysics! Both groups believe and non-believers in karma and rebirth will not listen to you, because they are tired of it! Things can be believed and invented without scientific knowledge and explanation. Boat was invented almost 80, years ago but was explained by Archimedes only in classical age. Buddhism believed there are four types of living beings according to their births: I cannot remember where did I learn but Sukka, sukkakit, sukkanu and sukkasankhat are the terms for semen and sperm. Masturbation is prohibited for monk because they believe it is not just lust but violence too. Buddhist physiology gives details about kidney, heart, lung, and other internal organs. Indian philisophies Vaisheshik, Jain and Mahayana Buddhism believed in atoms without modern technologies. My uncle was revived after being declared dead from a heart attack. He tried explaining the experience and his meeting with death relatives, etc. No more fear of death.

Chapter 4 : The Writing Vein: Context: Is is really necessary?

While it is not necessary for survival, I believe that fashion is a form of art. Like any art piece, one's personal style exists to make a statement, to express oneself or to simply be beautiful. However, fashion takes art a step further and makes it functional, not only in the sense that it keeps us covered, but also in establishing self.

Email Copy Link Copied Thirty years ago, two thirds of all parents of baby boys made the decision to have their sons circumcised before leaving the hospital. What was once standard protocol for male newborns, is a topic of controversy among healthcare providers and parents alike. In the last thirty years, circumcision rates have fallen by ten percent, with just over half of all male newborns undergoing the procedure. Several factors have played a role in the decline in the popularity of circumcision in the United States. Parents have access to more information and are more likely to research issues that were accepted in years past. Circumcision is more common in the heartland of the country, where San Francisco and Santa Monica healthcare officials proposed a ban to eliminate the procedure entirely. Insurance companies have played a part in the drop in circumcision rates as well. Medicaid refuses to cover the procedure for low income families in eighteen states. Other insurance companies deny coverage for circumcisions unless the decision is based on purely medical reasons. In a report released at the end of , the CDC encouraged healthcare providers to encourage parents to circumcise their newborn sons before leaving the hospital. The circumcision begins by immobilizing the newborn on a specially made board to prevent injury from sudden movements. A sterile environment is created using surgical drapes and cleaning the genital area with an antibacterial and antimicrobial solution. Locally injected or topical anesthesia is administered before the surgical procedure begins to minimize pain and emotional stress to the baby. The foreskin is cut and separated from the glans. Some doctors use a device to aid them in removing the foreskin, this is believed to provide a cleaner cut. Once the foreskin is removed, the penis is covered with petroleum jelly and bandaged. Special care needs to be taken to prevent irritation and infection for three to four days after the surgery is done. Here are some of the reasons listed: Circumcision is believed to reduce the risk of HIV in hetrosexual men. It was hypothesized that the decrease in HIV rates could be due to several factors. Uncircumcised men with poor foreskin hygiene habits were believed to be a factor. Another thought was that abrasions and open areas in the foreskin itself could make transmission of HIV easier. Researchers also explored a theory that the HIV virus itself may be present in the mucosal surface of the foreskin. Urinary tract infections almost four percent less in circumcised males than their uncircumcised counterparts. While this may seem like a minor difference, the fact that three to five percent of infants who suffer from a UTI go on to develop meningitis is a cause for concern. Occasionally, a urinary tract infection in an infant can cause kidney failure or even death. Circumcision lowers the risk of sexually transmitted diseases. A study found that circumcised men suffered from fewer instances of genital ulcer diseases as well as HIV. Studies show that circumcision lowers the risk of penile cancer. Of the men studied with penile cancer, none were circumcised. When taking into consideration other factors, an uncircumcised penis was the only thing the cancer patients had in common. In cases of men with multiple sex partners, circumcision was shown to reduce the risk of HPV and cervical cancer in their female partners. Circumcision prevents ectopic pregnancy, chlamydia, and infertility due to pelvic inflammatory disease. Because the instances of chlamydia are reduced in circumcised men, the development of pelvic inflammatory disease is also lowered. PID is a leading cause of infertility in women, so it is hypothesized that chlamydia indirectly lowers the risk of infertility. Circumcision eliminates the risk of issues with the foreskin later in life. Almost four percent of uncircumcised males develop swelling or irritation of the foreskin at some point in their lives. While this is readily treated in most individuals, occasionally this results in the need for adult circumcision to relieve the issue. Some studies show circumcision offers men and their partners increased sexual gratification. Here is a list of the reasons they give for opting out of this surgical procedure: Routine circumcision is completely unnecessary, medically speaking. No medical organization in the United States, or abroad recommends the procedure. The only organizations that endorse circumcision are government run agencies who benefit monetarily from the procedure. Female genital mutilation is viewed as barbaric all over the world. How is altering male genitalia any different? In the

United States, girls are protected from female circumcision by law, do our sons deserve any less? The foreskin is a normal part of the male anatomy and serves to protect infants and young boys from urine and feces and other contaminants, that can entry into the urinary tract and introduce infection causing bacteria. Besides the unnecessary pain that circumcision causes newborns, there are other factors to consider. Bleeding, loss of the glans, removal of too much skin, infection and even death are all risks parents expose their child to during a circumcision. Attitudes toward circumcision are changing. Developed countries in Europe, Latin America and Asia fail to see the logic in circumcision. Worldwide, seventy five percent of all men are uncircumcised at birth and remain so throughout their lives. The rates of sexually transmitted disease among men in the United States are actually higher than in countries where circumcision is rare. Ethically, parents are only allowed to consent to surgeries that have medical benefit to the child, worldwide statistics fail to prove a direct link between circumcision and the health of the child. The arguments on either side are sometimes medically proven, but all in all can be considered dubious at best. One of the biggest myths about circumcision is that the doctors who perform them are getting rich from the procedure. Money may play a factor in some cases, but there are many doctors who work on a fixed rate basis. In this case, circumcisions would just add to their already stressed workloads. The argument that babies feel pain during circumcision is correct. But to what degree, no one knows. Babies react similarly to routine blood draws or immunizations. The claim that adult men suffer some sort of trauma from having their genitals mutilated is pretty out there. In most cases, the men have no memory of the surgery or life before their circumcisions. Concrete evidence that circumcision results in adult trauma is difficult to prove within the shadow of a doubt. While complications do occur, procedures performed by a qualified healthcare professional in a sterile environment pose little risk to babies. In the studies performed in Africa, it was revealed that one circumcision prevented seventy two cases of HIV in females. When the studies took into consideration homosexual transmission, the results became less definitive. The flaw in this research stems from the fact that simple hygiene and safe sex practices can prevent the spread of HIV. Circumcision alone can not do that. The concern of some scientists is that, instead of using circumcision as a tool in the fight against HIV, the population will see it as a complete preventative. Which could end in disastrous results, especially for areas where HIV is rampant. Penile cancer occurs in one in one hundred thousand men in the United States. This means one in six hundred uncircumcised men will develop penile cancer. Penile cancer in countries where circumcision is rare have a ten to twenty percent rate of penile cancer in men. This led the American Cancer Society to shun the belief that penile cancer can be prevented by circumcision. The benefits of circumcision for the prevention of UTIs failed to take into consideration other factors that can reduce the occurrence of UTIs as well. The percentage of those benefited by circumcision fell well within the margin of error for the studies and is considered inconsequential by most healthcare providers. Medically, circumcision was shown to prevent irritation of the foreskin, and circumstances where the foreskin is unable to retract. Ten percent of males that were circumcised as newborns have the procedure done later in life. This puts them at greater risk for complications. Personal hygiene often plays a part in necessitating these procedures. Parents may choose to circumcise their newborn boys, in spite of that fact, for a number of reasons. Here are some of the more common reasons parents choose to circumcise their sons: Parents fear that being uncircumcised will cause them to be made fun of by their peers. In the past, this may have been a valid concern. Some parents argue that it is better to have the son circumcised because his father is. Fathers and sons have many differences that define them as individuals. When a boy is old enough to notice the difference between his father and himself, he will be old enough to understand when you explain the difference to him. While it is within the rights of parents to make this decision, scientific evidence does not indicate that this practice is medically necessary. If you choose to circumcise your newborn boy, here are a few things to keep in mind: Doctors use various methods and appliances to perform circumcisions, be sure you find out what method your doctor will be using and why. The tip may look yellow or raw for about ten days. It is very important that you wash the penis with soap and water and change diapers often to avoid infection. Your doctor may have applied petroleum jelly and gauze to the penis after circumcision. You will need to carefully replace it after each diaper change. Your doctor may have chosen to apply a plastic ring instead of gauze, this will fall off after about a week. Complications occur in one in three hundred newborns who are circumcised.

DOWNLOAD PDF IS THIS REALLY NECESSARY?

Bleeding and infection are the most common complications. The decision to circumcise your son is a personal choice.

Chapter 5 : Is Animal Testing Really Necessary?

Have you considered getting baptized? What does the Bible say about it? See how this pocket book unveils the Bible truth about the method and meaning of baptism.

Is the Clear Topcoat Really Necessary? When we examine a wood stain there are certain parameters that govern its formulation: It must hold the pigments in suspension and form a thick enough film for proper color generation and ultraviolet. It must have UV protection. It must be able to withstand all types of weather conditions from arctic freezing temperatures to broiling desert heat. It must breathe to allow water vapor to escape from the wood yet prevent liquid water from getting in. It must remain elastic so that it can expand and contract along with the log movement. It must be able to retain its color for several years. In order to satisfy all of these tasks certain trade-offs inevitably must be made. Each affects the ultimate performance of the stain. Topcoats Clear topcoats, on the other hand, must meet some of the same parameters such as being breathable, maintaining flexibility and withstanding extreme weather conditions. This enables us to impart a different set of characteristics to topcoats such as film hardness, surface smoothness and a different UV protection system that is not possible in the stain itself. We used to say that topcoats extend the life of the stain. That is still true, and our Advance topcoats extend stain life longer than we ever thought possible. And they do much, much more. First of all they significantly improve the look of the finish. In the past we thought that topcoats should essentially disappear from view. However in the process of formulating our Lifeline Advance topcoat we discovered that we could actually enhance the color, clarity and depth of the underlying stain finish. The second thing we found with our new Lifeline Advance was its ability to keep the surface clean. Since we can design the topcoat to form a slightly harder film than the color coat, it is much more resistant to dirt. This film retains the flexibility and elasticity required of a high-performance log home finish. In addition, the hard smooth surface makes it quite easy to clean off any dust or pollen that may accumulate on the surface of the logs. A simple wash down with Log Wash and a garden hose will remove any grime that may hide the beauty of your home. Another feature a smooth topcoat provides is greater resistance to mold, mildew and algae. In addition to water, these organisms need something to grip onto. So the surface of the logs stays free of unsightly mold spots and patches of algae. Of course the best feature of a topcoat is the protection it provides to the underlying stain color coat. Years ago cars left the manufacturer with a coat of paint. More expensive cars may have had several coats of paint but no matter how many coats were applied after a few years the paint job still turned dull and started to fleck off. Then car coating experts discovered the benefits of clear topcoats. We used this same concept and technology for designing our new clear log home topcoat. Lifeline Advance helps prevent the color base stain from degrading by protecting the entire finish system from dirt, wind, water and sunlight. So, is a topcoat worth the cost and effort? You bet it is. Lifeline Advance is available in Satin and Gloss finish. Last modified on Tuesday, 31 July

Chapter 6 : Is Circumcision Really Necessary? | BabyGaga

According to British biochemist Donald R. Forsdyke in a new paper in Biological Theory, the existence of people who seem to be missing most of their brain tissue calls into question some of the.

The video technology world is moving swiftly, very swiftly in fact. Not that long ago we were stuck in an era of the dreaded standard definition SD , but alas, along came amazing and wondrous high definition HD. Back then HD was awesome. It was a massive improvement over SD, a format that now seems completely archaic. Back when camera makers and TV manufacturers were switching to HD, despite the noticeable improvements, HD still had its naysayers. Cameras are also coming out that can do more than 4k, Red is coming out with the 8k weapon. But Mike, if you shoot in 4K or 8K AKA ludicrous mode you have framing options in post and it makes editing more flexible. Distribution overall, there are not a whole lot of places that are out that offer 4k. Netflix, Amazon, YouTube, and now Vimeo as well as a few others. The offerings those channels have is also limited, and eventually YouTube will be streaming 4K cat videos. The fact that gear prices are coming down will only speed up the availability of content on those channels. So, end users, could probably stand to wait. Filmmakers adopting 4K quicker will help distribution and availability of content. Its a loss or a win depending on what side you look at it from. Lets break it down. A lot of video is created for business, corporate use, marketing etc, the major trend for people viewing that content is on mobile devices. That much resolution is wasted on mobile devices, unless you hold your phone up to your nose. A lot of marketing videos are created to be found online, either on a companies website or YouTube. A lot of people who are posting on these sites are over compressing the footage, making higher resolution pointless. If they can successfully make HD look like garbage with terrible compression they will undoubtedly do the same with UHD or higher. Its cool, and its getting adopted into the market alot faster than HD was back in the stone age. Should video creators and consumers adopt these greater resolutions? If you are making independent films or producing for television, adopt UDH or greater resolution. I understand that budget can play a factor but it wont be a major factor for long. If you create video for online use, and your client isnt planning on displaying it resolutions greater than HD, I would wait to adopt UHD or greater. In fact you will be behind the curve that is coming after UHD. If you shoot for online or small screen and have adopted 4K good for you, you have flexibility in editing, and are on the right side of the curve. UHD is a good thing now, and it will be a good thing later as it becomes the new normal. So UHD, is it necessary? Is it worth it? Does it live up to the hype? I think so, but take a look at your local TV store and make up your own mind. Have you made the switch the UHD, 4K, 24k!? Let me know in the comments below.

Chapter 7 : Is 4k Really Necessary ? -

Is a whip really necessary? To said question, our whole FLR Community would respond with a resounding, "To each, Her own." Meaning, what they do in their relationship is up to each individual couple.

Is is really necessary? As a writer, language is important. Not just language, but the context in which the language takes place. Which includes the setting, the purpose of the exchange, the people of course, another "Duh, Dot" , culture, background, and so on. Language and context is very important there, too. Theater for me is a crossover activity, involving both my interpreting and my writing, or at least my creative output although I have plans for more theatrical writing in the very near future. Not "no" really, but more like: What tools do you have when there is no or less than optimal context? So you can tinker with the context, show it or exclude it or alter it as needed, depending on your intent. Really; it is imperative. A tool to help our readers understand our characters. To help move the story forward and keep the energy flowing, interest, and reveal as things unfold. To give us language clues. Behavioral and emotional clues. Context helps us to make sense of the what is happening around us. Not that I need a reason. Like I said, as a writer and an interpreter, language context is central to everything I do. What any of us do. Let me take you on this little journey with me. It all started with this bumper sticker, on the rear bumper of a vehicle: So - my first question when I saw this was: And let me explain a little more. So my first thought was that it was negative - he was against Green. So I started looking at the other stickers plastered on the rear window of the cab, the bumper, the flap. But the message was clear. Why, some of my friends drive big trucks and I suspect I have some relatives who might drive trucks. It was a nice truck. But some of the other bumper stickers said things like "Does this truck make my ASS look bigger? The words on the bumper sticker alone definitely go either way. The hammer and sickle are clues. The other stickers are clues, definitely. And when I was poking around online, gathering more information, deciding what way to take this writing, I found even more conflicting sites and viewpoints. The "Green is the New Red" is being used by opposing sides. Opponents using the same phrase. And for me this all started with a drive to meet with my writing buddy yesterday and a big white pick up.

Chapter 8 : Is This Really Necessary?

Contrary to popular opinion, you don't need to come up with a 20% down payment to buy a house. In fact, more people are buying with less than 20% down.

Chapter 9 : Is FRFR really necessary for Helix? - Helix - Line 6 Community

Is it really necessary for children to complete homework? That's a question that teachers not only hear from parents and students year after year but also debate amongst themselves.