

Chapter 1 : World Debt Clocks

Mexico calculates its national debt as all of the money raised by its central government through debt instruments. The debts of states are not counted and neither are obligations created by government guarantees to bank depositors.

Share Loading the player The national debt level of the United States has always been a subject of controversy. Unfortunately, the manner in which the debt level is explained to the public is usually pretty obscure. Couple this problem with the fact that many individuals do not understand how the national debt level affects their daily lives, and you have a centerpiece for discussion and confusion. Simply explained, the federal government generates a budget deficit whenever it spends more money than it brings in through income-generating activities such as individual, corporate or excise taxes. In order to operate in this manner, the Treasury Department has to issue treasury bills, treasury notes and treasury bonds to compensate for the difference: By issuing these types of securities, the federal government can acquire the cash that it needs to provide governmental services. It is the total amount of money that the U. To make an analogy, fiscal deficits are the trees, and federal debt is the forest. Government borrowing, for the national debt shortfall, can also be in other forms issuing other financial securities, or even borrowing from world-level organizations like the World Bank or private financial institutions. Since it is a borrowing at a governmental or national level, it is termed national debt, government debt, federal debt or public debt. The total amount of money that can be borrowed by the government without further authorization by Congress is known as the total public debt subject to limit. Any amount to be borrowed above this level has to receive additional approval from the legislative branch. The public debt is calculated daily. After receiving end-of-day reports from about 50 different sources such as Federal Reserve Bank branches regarding the amount of securities sold and redeemed that day, the Treasury calculates the total public debt outstanding, which is released the following morning. It represents the total marketable and non-marketable principal amount of securities outstanding. The national debt can only be reduced through five mechanisms: The federal budget process directly deals with taxation and spending levels and can create recommendations for restructuring or possible default. A Brief History of U. Since then, the debt has been fueled over the centuries by more war, economic recession and inflation. Periods of deflation may nominally decrease the size of debt, but they increase the real value of debt. Since the money supply is tightened, money is valued more highly during deflationary periods; so even if debt payments remain unchanged, borrowers are actually paying more. In modern times, the government has struggled to spend less than it takes in for over 60 years, making balanced budgets nearly impossible. Only briefly during the heyday of the economic markets and the Clinton administration in the late s has the U. Political disagreements about the impact of national debt and methods of debt reduction have historically led to many gridlocks in Congress and delays in budget proposal, approval and appropriation. Whenever the debt limit is maxed out by spending and interest obligations, the president must ask Congress to increase it. However, when debt is raised simply to fund public consumption, such as proceeds used for Medicare, Social Security and Medicaid, the use of debt loses a significant amount of support. When debt is used to fund economic expansion, current and future generations stand to reap the rewards. However, debt used to fuel consumption only presents advantages to the current generation. Understanding the National Debt Because debt plays such an integral part of economic progress, it must be measured appropriately to convey the long-term impact it presents. Finally, the national debt is not paid back with GDP, but with tax revenues although there is a correlation between the two. Comparing the national debt level to GDP is akin to a person comparing the amount of their personal debt in relation to the value of the goods or services that they produce for their employer in a given year. Another approach that is easier to interpret is simply to compare the interest expense paid on the national debt outstanding in relation to the expenditures that are made for specific governmental services such as education, defense and transportation. Economists and policy analysts disagree about the consequences of carrying federal debt. Certain aspects are agreed upon, however. Governments that run fiscal deficits have to make up the difference by borrowing money, which crowds out capital investment in private markets. Keynesian macroeconomists believe that it can be beneficial to run a current accounts deficit in order

to boost aggregate demand in the economy. Most neo-Keynesians support fiscal policy tools such as government deficit spending only after monetary policy has proven ineffective and nominal interest rates have hit zero. Chicago and Austrian school economists argue that government deficits and debt hurt private investment, manipulate interest rates and the capital structure, suppress exports, and unfairly harm future generations either through higher taxes or inflation. Some believe that government debt is irrelevant when the central bank can print limitless fiat money, although this is a minority view. History has shown that governments that abuse the printing press suffer from horrible inflation, and this fear keeps policymakers from monetizing debt entirely. Instead, the federal government either has to continue to borrow, sell assets, raise taxes, renegotiate terms or default to resolve debt issues.

What Goes into the Current National Debt? As indicated above, debt is the net accumulation of budget deficits. It is important to look at the top expenses, as they constitute the major factors of national debt. The top expenses in the U.S. are: 1. Military related expenditures. 2. Transportation, veteran benefits, international affairs, education and training, etc. Interestingly, the common public belief is that spending on international affairs consumes a lot of resources and expenses, but in truth, such expenditures lie within the lower rung in the list. History tells us that among the top expenses, the Social Security program, defense and Medicare were the primary expenses even during the times when the national debt levels were low, as they last were in the 1970s. Then how did the situation worsen? There are various opinions on the matter:

The overburdened Social Security system: Some argue that the mechanism to finance the Social Security system has led to increased expenditures without obvious payoff. Payments are collected from present day workers and used for immediate benefits – that is, payments to existing beneficiaries. Due to the increasing number of retirees and their longer life spans, the size and cost of payments has skyrocketed. Parents having fewer kids are limiting the pool of present-day contributing workers. Recent economic downturns have also led to stagnant pay. Overall, limited incoming and more outgoing cash flows are making Social Security a big component of the national debt.

Continued tax cuts introduced during the George W. Bush administration: The cost and expenditures toward the Medicare and Medicaid programs have exceeded the projected figures. The general price rise in medical costs has been the hidden culprit, surpassing inflation by a wide margin.

Economic stimulus and related expenses: There was a tightening of the growth rates to a more narrow range and a higher frequency of recessions – even before the Great Recession began in late 2007. Trying to bring the economy back to life led to further costs and expenditure – the Stimulus Package of 2009, tax-cuts, jobless benefits and financial industry bailouts have led to further expenses at the national level. Primarily within the defense budget, the continued involvement in these engagements has cost the U.S. The public outrage also stems from the belief that situations in these countries were not having any direct serious impact for U.S. Some of these still continue, increasing the costs further. While outlays have increased, incoming revenues have been hit. Among the top income sources for the government: Individual taxpayers contribute nearly half of annual tax receipts. The challenge, along with the aforementioned Bush tax cuts, has been stagnant U.S. Limited jobs and lower or stagnant salaries have been the blockade for increases in this stream of government income. Similar to corporate taxes, excise taxes too have shown dismal collections.

What the National Debt Means to You Given that the national debt has recently grown faster than the size of the American population, it is fair to wonder how this growing debt affects average individuals. While it may not be obvious, national debt levels directly impacts people in at least five direct ways. As the national debt per capita increases, the likelihood of the government defaulting on its debt service obligation increases, and therefore the Treasury Department will have to raise the yield on newly issued treasury securities in order to attract new investors. This reduces the amount of tax revenue available to spend on other governmental services, because more tax revenue will have to be paid out as interest on the national debt. Over time, this shift in expenditures will cause people to experience a lower standard of living, as borrowing for economic enhancement projects becomes more difficult. As the rate offered on treasury securities increases, corporate operations in America will be viewed as riskier, also necessitating an increase in the yield on newly issued bonds. This in turn will require corporations to raise the price of their products and services in order to meet the increased cost of their debt service obligation. Over time, this will cause people to pay more for goods and services, resulting in inflation. As the yield offered on

treasury securities increases, the cost of borrowing money to purchase a home will also increase, because the cost of money in the mortgage lending market is directly tied to the short-term interest rates set by the Federal Reserve, and the yield offered on treasury securities issued by the Treasury Department. Given this established interrelationship, an increase in interest rates will push home prices down, because prospective home buyers will no longer qualify for as large of a mortgage loan. The result will be more downward pressure on the value of homes, which in turn will reduce the net worth of all home owners. Since the yield on U. This phenomenon is a direct result of the fact that it will be more difficult for corporations to generate enough pre-tax income to offer a high enough risk premium on their bonds and stock dividends to justify investing in their company. This dilemma is known as the crowding out effect , and tends to encourage the growth in the size of the government, and the simultaneous reduction in the size of the private sector. Perhaps most importantly, as the risk of a country defaulting on its debt service obligation increases, the country loses its social, economic and political power. This in turn makes the national debt level a national security issue Successful Ways That Governments Reduce Federal Debt Governments have many options when trying to reduce debt, and throughout history some of them have actually worked. Maintaining low interest rates is one way governments seek to stimulate the economy, generate tax revenue and, ultimately, reduce the national debt. Low interest rates make it easy for individuals and businesses to borrow money. In turn, the borrowers spend that money on goods and services, which creates jobs and tax revenues. Low interest rates have been employed by the Unites States, the European Union , the United Kingdom and other nations with some degree of success. That noted, interest rates kept at or near zero for extended periods of time have not proved to be a panacea for debt-ridden governments. Canada faced a nearly double-digit budget deficit in the s. The country did this without raising taxes. In theory, others countries could emulate this example. In reality, the beneficiaries of tax-payer fueled spending often balk at proposed cuts.

Chapter 2 : The World Factbook – Central Intelligence Agency

In Mexico public debt was , million euros , million dollars, has decreased 4, 6, million since This amount means that the debt in reached % of Mexico GDP, a percentage point rise from , when it was % of GDP.

However, loan-funded government investment in infrastructure will reap economic benefits for generations to come. Examples of infrastructure spending that improve an economy are: The development of transport infrastructure, such as motorways and railways Investment in universities to create more educational institutions or create centers of excellence from existing establishments. If you are thinking of investing in a country, or if you are considering moving there, investigating the national debt of that place and how the government spends the money it raises is a good starting point for your research. Debt vs deficit When a government spends more than its revenue, it runs a budget deficit that year. It has to fill the funding gap with debt. Politicians attract votes by promising large sections of the population more payments from the government than they pay in through tax. Other governments only borrow to stimulate the economy during a recession, calculating that they can repay that debt once expansion returns and produces a government budget surplus. If the country and its government has a good reputation, the instruments that it issues in order to raise debt to cover a deficit represent a safe investment. Governments that run constant deficits to buy votes find it difficult to attract loans. Why is National Debt Bad? If a government increases its national debt to a level that the market thinks is too high, it will have to increase the interest it pay in order to find lenders. With the backstop of a high return from a safe source, banks do not need to lend to businesses to make a profit. When banks are less interested in offering loans, they raise interest rates for all borrowers. High interest on loans increases business costs and the return on investment that is funded on debt reduces. Businesses cease to expand and unemployment rises. When interest rates rise, the cost of mortgages on properties rise and so the cost of rents also rise. The increase in the cost of premises forces businesses to increase their prices in order to remain in profit. This in turn increases the cost of living and causes inflation without economic growth. A workforce faced with an increased cost of living will demand higher wages. This increases business costs and the price of goods, stoking inflation further. Eventually, businesses will be squeezed to the point of bankruptcy or move their production abroad to save their profitability. So, high national debt can have a serious impact on the economic growth of a country. High national debt can have a serious economic impact which can lead to discontent among citizens. Pixabay How is national debt rated? Ratings agencies score governments on a range of metrics. Countries with higher ratings can offer lower interest rates on their bonds because they are considered to be safe investments. They also look at the debt-to GDP ratio, the national debt per head of population, the interest rates on government debt and the average bank lending rate. The above factors show whether the economy is likely to grow. A growing economy can bear the burden of tax that is needed to comfortably repay national debt. This knowledge in the financial community enables governments to lower the interest rates that it offers on its debt and reduce the cost of financing deficits. When this is not possible, we use data from:

Mexico recorded a government debt equivalent to percent of the country's Gross Domestic Product in Government Debt to GDP in Mexico averaged percent from until , reaching an all time high of percent in and a record low of percent in

Municipal bonds, "munis" in the United States, are debt securities issued by local governments municipalities. Denominated in reserve currencies[edit] Governments often borrow money in a currency in which the demand for debt securities is strong. An advantage of issuing bonds in a currency such as the US dollar , the pound sterling , or the euro is that many investors wish to invest in such bonds. Countries such as the United States, Germany, Italy and France have only issued in their domestic currency or in the Euro in the case of Euro members. Relatively few investors are willing to invest in currencies that do not have a long track record of stability. A disadvantage for a government issuing bonds in a foreign currency is that there is a risk that it will not be able to obtain the foreign currency to pay the interest or redeem the bonds. In and , during the Asian financial crisis , this became a serious problem when many countries were unable to keep their exchange rate fixed due to speculative attacks. However, it is widely considered that this would increase inflation and thus reduce the value of the invested capital at least for debt not linked to inflation. This has happened many times throughout history, and a typical example of this is provided by Weimar Germany of the s, which suffered from hyperinflation when the government massively printed money, because of its inability to pay the national debt deriving from the costs of World War I. In practice, the market interest rate tends to be different for debts of different countries. An example is in borrowing by different European Union countries denominated in euros. This reflects the views of the market on the relative solvency of the various countries and the likelihood that the debt will be repaid. Further, there are historical examples where countries defaulted, i. This is because printing money has other effects that the government may see as more problematic than defaulting. A politically unstable state is anything but risk-free as it may "being sovereign" cease its payments. It is mostly uncommon for invaders to accept responsibility for the national debt of the annexed state or that of an organization it considered as rebels. On the other hand, in the modern era, the transition from dictatorship and illegitimate governments to democracy does not automatically free the country of the debt contracted by the former government. Treasury bonds denominated in U. In addition, a risk-free status implicitly assumes the stability of the US government and its ability to continue repayments during any financial crisis. Lending to a national government in a currency other than its own does not give the same confidence in the ability to repay, but this may be offset by reducing the exchange rate risk to foreign lenders. On the other hand, national debt in foreign currency cannot be disposed of by starting a hyperinflation;[citation needed] and this increases the credibility of the debtor. Usually small states with volatile economies have most of their national debt in foreign currency. For countries in the Eurozone , the euro is the local currency, although no single state can trigger inflation by creating more currency. Lending to a local or municipal government can be just as risky as a loan to a private company, unless the local or municipal government has sufficient power to tax. In this case, the local government could to a certain extent pay its debts by increasing the taxes, or reduce spending, just as a national one could. Further, local government loans are sometimes guaranteed by the national government, and this reduces the risk. In some jurisdictions, interest earned on local or municipal bonds is tax-exempt income, which can be an important consideration for the wealthy. Clearing and defaults[edit] Main articles: Globally, the International Monetary Fund can take certain steps to intervene to prevent anticipated defaults. It is sometimes criticized for the measures it advises nations to take, which often involve cutting back on government spending as part of an economic austerity regime. Those considerations do not apply to private debts, by contrast: Smaller jurisdictions, such as cities, are usually guaranteed by their regional or national levels of government. When New York City declined into what would have been a bankrupt status during the s had it been a private entity , by the mids a " bailout " was required from New York State and the United States. The larger entity may then assume some agreed-upon oversight in order to prevent recurrence of the problem. Economic policy basis[edit] According to Modern Monetary Theory , public debt is seen as private wealth and interest payments on the debt as private income.

The outstanding public debt is an expression of the accumulated previous budget deficits which have added financial assets to the private sector, providing demand for goods and services. Adherents of this school of economic thought argue that the scale of the problem is much less severe than is popularly supposed. Empirically, however, sovereign borrowing in developing countries is procyclical, since developing countries have more difficulty accessing capital markets in lean times. It was thought that this could start a virtuous cycle and a rising business confidence since there would be more workers with money to spend. Of course, military expenditures are based upon the same tax or debt and spend fundamentals as the rest of the national budget, so this argument does little to undermine Keynesian theory. These are the dominant economic entities setting policies regarding public debt. Due to its role in setting policies for trade disputes, the World Trade Organization also has immense power to affect foreign exchange relations, as many nations are dependent on specific commodity markets for the balance of payments they require to repay debt.

Structure and risk of a public debt[edit] This section does not cite any sources. Please help improve this section by adding citations to reliable sources. Unsourced material may be challenged and removed. January Learn how and when to remove this template message Understanding the structure of public debt and analyzing its risk requires one to: Assess the expected value of any public asset being constructed, at least in future tax terms if not in direct revenues. A choice must be made about its status as a public good –some public "assets" end up as public bads, such as nuclear power plants which are extremely expensive to decommission–these costs must also be worked into asset values. Determine whether any public debt is being used to finance consumption, which includes all social assistance and all military spending. Determine whether triple bottom line issues are likely to lead to failure or defaults of governments–say due to being overthrown. International law does not permit people to be held responsible for such debts–as they did not benefit in any way from the spending and had no control over it. Determine if any future entitlements are being created by expenditures–financing a public swimming pool for instance may create some right to recreation where it did not previously exist, by precedent and expectations.

Implicit debt[edit] Government "implicit" debt is the promise by a government of future payments from the state. Usually this refers to long-term promises of social payments such as pensions and health expenditure; not promises of other expenditure such as education or defense which are largely paid on a "quid pro quo" basis to government employees and contractors. A problem with these implicit government insurance liabilities is that it is hard to cost them accurately, since the amounts of future payments depend on so many factors. First of all, the social security claims are not "open" bonds or debt papers with a stated time frame, "time to maturity", "nominal value", or "net present value". Alternative social insurance strategies might have included a system that involved save and invest. Furthermore, population projections predict that when the "baby boomers" start to retire, the working population in the United States, and in many other countries, will be a smaller percentage of the population than it is now, for many years to come. This will increase the burden on the country of these promised pension and other payments–larger than the 65 percent [22] of GDP that it is now.

Chapter 4 : List of National Debt by Country | Economics Help

The statistic depicts Mexico's national debt from to in relation to the gross domestic product (GDP), with projections up until In , the national debt in Mexico was around

The United States government has continuously had a fluctuating public debt since its formation in 1789, except for about a year during 1835, a period in which president Andrew Jackson completely paid the national debt. To allow comparisons over the years, public debt is often expressed as a ratio to gross domestic product GDP. Public debt rose sharply during the 1920s, as Ronald Reagan cut tax rates and increased military spending. It fell during the 1950s, due to decreased military spending, increased taxes and the 1960s boom. Public debt rose sharply in the wake of the 2008 financial crisis and the resulting significant tax revenue declines and spending increases. The CBO added that "about half of the decline Most of the marketable securities are Treasury notes, bills, and bonds held by investors and governments globally. For example, in the case of the Social Security Trust Fund, the payroll taxes dedicated to Social Security were credited to the Trust Fund upon receipt, but spent for other purposes. If the government continues to run deficits in other parts of the budget, the government will have to issue debt held by the public to fund the Social Security Trust Fund, in effect exchanging one type of debt for the other. Red lines indicate the "debt held by the public" and black lines indicate the total national debt or gross public debt. The difference is the "intragovernmental debt," which includes obligations to government programs such as Social Security. The second panel shows the two debt figures as a percentage of U. GDP dollar value of U. The top panel is deflated so every year is in dollars U. This debt mainly represents obligations to Social Security recipients and retired federal government employees, including military. Only debt held by the public is reported as a liability on the consolidated financial statements of the United States government. Debt held by government accounts is an asset to those accounts but a liability to the Treasury; they offset each other in the consolidated financial statements. The ratio of debt to GDP may decrease as a result of a government surplus as well as due to growth of GDP and inflation. Federal takeover of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac Under normal accounting rules, fully owned companies would be consolidated into the books of their owners, but the large size of Fannie and Freddie has made the U. When Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae required bail-outs, White House Budget Director Jim Nussle, on September 12, 2008, initially indicated their budget plans would not incorporate the GSE debt into the budget because of the temporary nature of the conservator intervention. For example, the U. The guarantee program lapsed at the end of 2009 when Congress declined to extend the scheme. The funding of direct investments made in response to the crisis, such as those made under the Troubled Assets Relief Program , are included in the debt. Unfunded obligations excluded[edit] The U. The Government Accountability Office GAO projects that payouts for these programs will significantly exceed tax revenues over the next 75 years. The Medicare Part A hospital insurance payouts already exceed program tax revenues, and social security payouts exceeded payroll taxes in fiscal 2010. These deficits require funding from other tax sources or borrowing. This is the amount that would have had to be set aside in in order to pay for the unfunded obligations which, under current law, will have to be raised by the government in the future. In other words, health care programs will require nearly five times more funding than Social Security. The Congressional Budget Office includes historical budget and debt tables along with its annual "Budget and Economic Outlook. This was measured using "debt held by the public. Also, this number excludes state and local debt. However, there is complexity in the budgetary computations that can make the deficit figure commonly reported in the media the "total deficit" considerably different from the annual increase in the debt. The major categories of differences are the treatment of the Social Security program, Treasury borrowing, and supplemental appropriations outside the budget process. Postal Service , are considered "off-budget", while most other expenditure and receipt categories are considered "on-budget". The total federal deficit is the sum of the on-budget deficit or surplus and the off-budget deficit or surplus. This latter figure is the one commonly reported in the media. Certain spending called "supplemental appropriations" is outside the budget process entirely but adds to the national debt. Funding for the Iraq and Afghanistan wars was accounted for this way prior to the Obama administration. The federal government

publishes the total debt owed public and intragovernmental holdings monthly. Treasury has been obtaining negative real interest rates on government debt, meaning the inflation rate is greater than the interest rate paid on the debt. United States debt ceiling The debt ceiling is a legislative mechanism to limit the amount of national debt that can be issued by the Treasury. In effect, it will restrain the Treasury from paying for expenditures after the limit has been reached, even if the expenditures have already been approved in the budget and have been appropriated. If this situation were to occur, it is unclear whether Treasury would be able to prioritize payments on debt to avoid a default on its debt obligations, but it would have to default on some of its non-debt obligations. This section does not cite any sources. Please help improve this section by adding citations to reliable sources. Unsourced material may be challenged and removed. August Learn how and when to remove this template message Estimated ownership each year Because a large variety of people own the notes, bills, and bonds in the "public" portion of the debt, Treasury also publishes information that groups the types of holders by general categories to portray who owns United States debt. In this data set, some of the public portion is moved and combined with the total government portion, because this amount is owned by the Federal Reserve as part of United States monetary policy. See Federal Reserve System. As is apparent from the chart, a little less than half of the total national debt is owed to the "Federal Reserve and intragovernmental holdings". The foreign and international holders of the debt are also put together from the notes, bills, and bonds sections. To the right is a chart for the data as of June This section needs additional citations for verification. Please help improve this article by adding citations to reliable sources. November Learn how and when to remove this template message Composition of U. Long-Term Treasury Debt "â€", from U. Treasury securities 10 percent of total U. While unlikely, indeed highly improbable for public sector investors, a sudden rush for the exits cannot be ruled out completely. The June forecast was essentially the budget trajectory inherited from President Obama; it was prepared prior to the Tax Act and other spending increases under President Trump. For the period, CBO projects the sum of the annual deficits i. That would be the highest level since the end of World War Two. Maintaining current policies for example would include extending the individual Trump tax cuts past their scheduled expiration in , among other changes. The Outlook mainly covers the year period through The "extended baseline scenario" assumes that the laws currently on the books will be implemented, for the most part. The CBO reported in July that under this scenario: If current laws remained generally unchanged in the future, federal debt held by the public would decline slightly relative to GDP over the next few years. After that, however, growing budget deficits would push debt back to and above its current high level. Twenty-five years from now, in , federal debt held by the public would exceed percent of GDP. Moreover, debt would be on an upward path relative to the size of the economy, a trend that could not be sustained indefinitely. By , the deficit would equal 6. CBO projects that real GNP in would be about 5 percent lower under the extended alternative fiscal scenario than under the extended baseline with economic feedback, and that interest rates would be about three-quarters of a percentage point higher. Reflecting the budgetary effects of those economic developments, federal debt would rise to percent of GDP in Debt is projected to continue rising relative to GDP under the above two scenarios, although the CBO did also offer other scenarios that involved austerity measures that would bring the debt to GDP ratio down. By comparison, such debt comprised 35 percent of GDP in and has averaged 39 percent of GDP during the past 40 years. Citizens will either have to pay more for their government, accept less in government services and benefits, or both. A growing portion of savings would go towards purchases of government debt, rather than investments in productive capital goods such as factories and computers, leading to lower output and incomes than would otherwise occur; If higher marginal tax rates were used to pay rising interest costs, savings would be reduced and work would be discouraged; Rising interest costs would force reductions in government programs; Restrictions to the ability of policymakers to use fiscal policy to respond to economic challenges; and An increased risk of a sudden fiscal crisis, in which investors demand higher interest rates. The National Defense Authorization Act of the fiscal year included a provision requiring the Secretary of Defense to conduct a "national security risk assessment of U. Treasury securities as a coercive tool would have limited effect and likely would do more harm to China than to the United States. As the threat is not credible and the effect would be limited even if carried out, it does not offer China deterrence options, whether in the

diplomatic, military, or economic realms, and this would remain true both in peacetime and in scenarios of crisis or war. Treasury securities represent only a small part of total U. But given the significant costs and risks associated with a rapidly rising federal debt, our nation should soon put in place a credible plan for reducing deficits to sustainable levels over time.

Chapter 5 : Mexico - National debt in relation to gross domestic product (GDP) | Statistic

Mexico's Debt. GDP: \$1,000,000,000,000. If a government increases its national debt to a level that the market thinks is too high, it will have to increase the.

Chapter 6 : United States National Debt

The statistic shows the national debt of Mexico from 1970 to 2020, with projections up until 2025. In 2020, the national debt of Mexico amounted to around 1.1 trillion U.S. dollars.

Chapter 7 : Mexico Government Debt to GDP | Data | Chart | Calendar

Mexico - one of the largest and most developed countries in Latin America. Mexico is the world's largest manufacturer and exporter of silver.

Chapter 8 : Mexico Total External Debt | Data | Chart | Calendar | Forecast

US National Debt Clock: Real Time U.S. National Debt Clock.

Chapter 9 : National debt of the United States - Wikipedia

You could buy pieces of Lamborghini Veneno for that amount.. You could wrap \$100 bills would wrap around the planet 39 times.. If you spend \$1,000,000,000,000 a day it would take you 9 years and 9 month to spend all Mexico debt.