

Chapter 1 : Laredo Drug Rehab And Addiction Treatment Options

Nonsecretory Multiple Myeloma: New Options in Assessment and Treatment Hallmark of most multiple myeloma cases is the persistent production of some form of immunoglobulins, a phenomenon that brings the disease to attention.

My co-host for the talk is myeloma advocate and editor of myelomasurvival. Joining Gary on the panel is myeloma advocate, Jack Aiello. Due to unforeseen circumstances, our panelist, Yelak Biru, is unable to join us today and we regret this. Some nonsecretory myeloma patients may produce the immunoglobulin proteins, but they have defects in secretion. Due to lack of these protein biomarkers in blood and urine, it may be difficult to assess and treat the disease. Today, we are talking to Dr. Frits Van Rhee of UAMS about latest developments and new options available in assessment and treatment of nonsecretory myeloma. Van Rhee, welcome to Cure Talks. So, if you have a question for our panel, please email it to priya.trialx. With that, I hand over to Gary to introduce our expert and begin with the discussion. Gary, you are on air. Thank you, Priya, and thanks to TrialX for bringing us this great forum for myeloma patients. He is a Fellow in the American College of Physicians. Van Rhee treats patients with multiple myeloma and related hematologic malignancies. Van Rhee goes for the hard things, but one thing I wanted to say is that it's not only what he knows, but who he is and presenting the award to Dr. Van Rhee was Dr. I feel incredibly fortunate to have Dr. Van Rhee as my doctor. He is the ultimate care provider and the ultimate doctor. So, when you have that kind of glowing referral from the head of the CDC and also who trusts his care to Dr. Van Rhee, I think it says a lot. So, thank you, Dr. Doctor, first off, you know, I am not sure everybody really understands what nonsecretory myeloma is and if you could please explain what nonsecretory myeloma is and why it is different from majority of myeloma, for the audience. It's a very convenient way of monitoring and detecting the disease. So, the criteria for diagnosing nonsecretory multiple myeloma is that you should not have myeloma protein detectable in the peripheral blood. Also, some patients make part of the myeloma protein, which is called the light chain. An antibody molecule consists of a heavy chain and then a light chain. So, there is now also a serum free light chain assay and that assay needs to be negative as well. So, you should not produce both the M protein and the light chain in the peripheral blood and lastly, a 24-hour urine should be negative. In other words, you should not detect any abnormal protein by protein electrophoresis in the urine sample. So, in essence, you lose one marker of your disease and that is the myeloma protein. How does it present itself so people know that they have myeloma or they know people who get it, nonsecretory myeloma usually end up, you know, at the end of the rope? So, in some patients diagnosis can be delayed. Obviously, myeloma can cause anemia. It can cause problems with the bones, sometimes fractures in the spine or in the lung bones. It can cause high calcium and sometimes also abnormal renal function, kidney function and these findings can prompt searching for the myeloma protein, which obviously will be negative in this group of patients, but then a bone marrow biopsy or a biopsy of an abnormal area in the skeleton can lead to the diagnosis. During the staging and looking at the ISS, the International Staging Criteria, actually stage 3 is less frequently present in nonsecretory myeloma. The main reason for that is that these patients are not producing the myeloma protein and it can therefore, not affect the kidney. Furthermore, the whole myeloma protein in some patients can be very high and make the blood sticky or viscous, something we call as hyper viscosity syndrome and that is something, which also does not occur in patients with nonsecretory myeloma. So, there are some advantages to not having this protein circulating, but it certainly can delay diagnosis. You are losing important marker for monitoring the disease. So, I was wondering, do you find that nonsecretors tend to be found because of bone destruction? So, you can have anemia as well. So, you are talking about doing analysis retrospectively of patients not treated on a clinical trial. The study that you quoted I think had 36 patients in it after So, we have data on small numbers of patients and there are limitations to the data sets; however, it does not appear that these patients do worse when they have nonsecretory multiple myeloma diagnosis. Well, thank you so much. So, the next question is really what all the listeners are here waiting to hear and that is, what are the latest developments and new options available in assessing and the treatment of nonsecretory myeloma? So, there is more reliance on doing, one, bone marrow examination and, two, imaging and it has become

increasingly clear that the skeletal survey which to some extent for very long time has been the standard of care for assessment of multiple myeloma patients perhaps should be replaced doing MRI or PET scan studies in these patients for monitoring the disease. They can see the bone marrow lesions very well on MRI scanning; however, the changes in MRI with prolonged treatment are relatively slow. PET scan is based on the uptake of sugar-like dye into the myeloma cells which are alive and the changes in the PET scan are much more dynamic, much more quickly after treatment. So, the changes in the PET scan occur much quicker. So, this patient will particularly benefit from monitoring a PET scan. In terms of treatment, there is as such no evidence to suggest that these patients fare any worse or significantly better than other patients. I think the main difference lies that in the monitoring of the response to treatment rather than applying different therapy. Usually what is being done is that a bone marrow is being sampled, the myeloma cells are purified, then the genetic material is extracted and further examined. It means if you are fit and well, a very good outcome with a significant amount of treatment. We have a different treatment protocol for these patients. What is that treatment protocol? There are different ways to looking at the myeloma cells at the genetic level. I think we use a very stringent, a very strict definition of high-risk myeloma. So, in our hands, fewer patients are high risk perhaps than at other centers and at the moment, we are incorporating carfilzomib at all phases of the treatment, that is during induction when the bone marrow, during the stem cell transplantation phase, the consolidation, and the maintenance after the transplants, we try to give our treatments quite close together. At this point, I would like to go on to Jack Aiello and Jack is going to ask his questions. Can you start off as a nonsecretor and become a secretor? At diagnosis, the patient seems to have similar outcome with treatments compared to patients who have secretory myeloma, in which there is a myeloma protein largely undetectable. At relapse, what can occur is that the patients lose the production of the whole myeloma protein and only start making light chain. So, those are the three main modalities that we use to monitor for disease recurrence. I am sorry, go ahead. So, there is no reason to assume that patients with nonsecretory myeloma have a worse gene expression profile; however, it is possible that they belong to different subtypes of myeloma defined by gene expression profiling or other names and nobody really has looked about in any systematic fashion. Those of the listeners who are little bit more in tune with the genetics, there is some inkling perhaps with patients with translocation 11;14, are more likely to have nonsecretory multiple myeloma, but this has never been confirmed or looked at systematically. I have a question with respect to MRD or minimal residual disease. The flow cytometry test has some advantage over just doing the biopsy. The biopsies can sometimes be a little punchy. In other words, in some areas, there is more myeloma than in others and this flow cytometry test is used as an useful addition. We know that attaining or becoming MRD negative in the bone marrow is important and most studies being MRD negative are associated with a better outcome. In our data sets, we find that there is one particular subtype of myeloma where MRD is not that important. Certainly, in high-risk myeloma, being MRD negative early on in the treatment program is very important in terms of outcome, but we have not proven or that has not been proven in the myeloma field as yet is that altering treatment based on MRD test will improve your outcome, although one would intuitively assume that this would be the case, this actually will be studied in future clinical trials and is as yet unproven. The MRD testing in the serum really looks at the DNA, at the genetic material and there are some advantages to doing that on the serum because you would sample the whole body so to speak, not just one site in the bone marrow because one could imagine that these tests could have picked up genetic material coming from a bone lesion in the spine, another bone lesion in the thigh bone, etc. So, I think those are very interesting future things to be developed. If I were a nonsecretor, I would kind of pivoted this and would do whatever is possible to get into trials. Are there more trials that might be open to them if the trials set an eligibility criteria using MRD, somehow looking at MRD versus M spike improvements? Let me ask a couple of questions that Yelak Biru posed. He asks, even when nonsecretory, can myeloma patients still experience common myeloma symptoms such as bone lesions, renal failures, and can they still be typed as IgG or IgA and whatever kappa and lambda light chains and I am thinking the answers are probably yes and no to those two questions, but can you clarify? The more common thing is that the myeloma proteins are still being made in the cell, in the myeloma cell, but they are not being churned out into the blood streams. So, its possible to characterize in that way, although that

is not routinely done, but its in principle certainly feasible. So, renal failure is less frequent, but they can certainly have bone lesions and that is very well described in the literature that is, in fact, quite frequent in nonsecretory myeloma. The only thing one can do is try to convince the insurance company that its important in this particular patient since one of the other important markers to trace the disease, that is the myeloma protein, is not near. So, the answer probably lies in good clinical study and good clinical evidence to show that these tests are important for monitoring and diagnosing the disease. Gary asked me to ask those. He says, are they relevant or are only portions relevant? Have they been a waste of time and money? The majority of patients will have a myeloma protein detectable at diagnosis, either whole M protein or the light chains, and they also very frequently on reappearance of myeloma proteins when they relapse and monitoring of blood and urine is very important to detect relapse. So, I do not think that these tests have been a waste of time and I would encourage the patient to continue to have these tests performed. So, for practical purposes, doing the myeloma markers in blood and urine is not necessary, but obviously, you would want to monitor the blood counts, the kidney tests, and the calcium. So, the other regular blood tests are of importance. The whole body MRI uses different principles based on water movements and we look at the whole body and it also gives you some idea about activity of the myeloma. So, it more resembles the PET scanning and, in fact, in some patients for different reasons, the PET scan can be negative and on the whole body MRI, we can still find the disease. So, what is called the whole body MRI is presently being developed at our center and other centers. We have been doing this here for several years now and I think it needs to be respectively compared to PET scanning and regular MRI to define its true value.

Chapter 2 : Nonsecretory Multiple Myeloma: New Options in Assessment and Treatment

March 30, Nonsecretory Multiple Myeloma: New Options in Assessment and Treatment. Hallmark of most multiple myeloma cases is the persistent production of some form of immunoglobulins, a phenomenon that brings the disease to attention.

The question is “why is it so important? The answer is quite simple although not understood by many people: Not only this, you also have to assess the importance of each risk so that you can focus on the most important ones. Although risk assessment and treatment together: These 6 basic steps will shed light on what you have to do: Risk assessment methodology This is the first step on your voyage through risk management. You need to define rules on how you are going to perform the risk management because you want your whole organization to do it the same way “the biggest problem with risk assessment happens if different parts of the organization perform it in a different way. Therefore, you need to define whether you want qualitative or quantitative risk assessment, which scales you will use for qualitative assessment, what will be the acceptable level of risk, etc. There are four options you can choose from to mitigate each unacceptable risk: Transfer the risk to another party “e. Avoid the risk by stopping an activity that is too risky, or by doing it in a completely different fashion. Accept the risk “if, for instance, the cost for mitigating that risk would be higher than the damage itself. This is where you need to get creative “how to decrease the risks with minimum investment. It would be the easiest if your budget was unlimited, but that is never going to happen. And I must tell you that unfortunately your management is right “it is possible to achieve the same result with less money “you only need to figure out how. Not only for the auditors, but you may want to check yourself these results in a year or two. Statement of Applicability This document actually shows the security profile of your company “based on the results of the risk treatment you need to list all the controls you have implemented, why you have implemented them and how. This document is also very important because the certification auditor will use it as the main guideline for the audit. Risk Treatment Plan This is the step where you have to move from theory to practice. This is the purpose of Risk Treatment Plan “to define exactly who is going to implement each control, in which timeframe, with which budget, etc. The point is “ISO forces you to make this journey in a systematic way. ISO “how can it help you?

Chapter 3 : Options Assessment | Homecare Services

During the initial assessment process, substance abuse treatment counselors can gather data that can assist in the diagnostic process, either by supporting the findings of the existing mental health assessment, or providing useful background information in the event a new mental health assessment is conducted.

Managing risk necessarily involves people because: Communication and consultation are therefore key supporting activities for all parts of the risk management process. Communication and consultation are processes and not outcomes. They normally take place with stakeholders, defined as those persons or organizations that can affect, be affected by or perceive themselves to be affected by a decision or activity.

Monitoring and review Monitoring and review are two distinct processes intended to detect change and determine the ongoing validity of assumptions. Both are necessary to ensure that an organisation maintains a current and correct understanding of its risks, and that those risks remain within its risk criteria.

Step-wise process elements Establishing the context Before any risk management activity takes place and especially before risk assessment occurs, the external, internal and risk management contexts should be established. Establishing the context also provides the information that allows the other steps of the risk management process to occur.

Risk identification Carried out thoroughly, the risk identification step reveals what, where, when, why and how something could happen or occur and the range of possible effects on objectives. In some cases, these effects or consequences might only occur at some future point or they might be experienced, at a fixed or variable rate, over time. Risk identification would normally occur in a workshop involving appropriate stakeholders. A trained facilitator and recorder should normally be present.

Risk analysis Risk analysis investigates and draws upon: The information on risks generated during risk identification The effectiveness and reliability of controls Additional information from the statement of context Supporting statistical data, results of predictive modelling or expert judgement The risk criteria developed during establishing the context. The aim of risk analysis is to gain an understanding of the nature of each risk, including the magnitude of its consequences and their likelihoods, and therefore to derive the level of risk. Risk analysis enables each risk or group of risks when considered in the aggregate to be evaluated in order to determine whether risk treatment is needed. Normally organisations specify the actions required by managers for risks at each level of risk and the time allowed for their completion. They also specify which levels of management will be permitted to accept the continued exposure and tolerance of certain levels of risk.

Risk treatment At its simplest, risk treatment involves a process to modify a risk by changing the consequences that could occur or their likelihood. This process requires creative consideration of options and detailed design, both inputs being necessary to find and select the best risk treatment. Once implemented, risk treatments will either create new controls or amend existing controls. Risk treatment takes place in two distinctive contexts: In the proactive context, where an organisation has successfully integrated risk management into a system of management, risk treatment is integral to and effectively indistinguishable from decision-making. In a reactive context, the organisation is looking retrospectively at the risk created by decisions taken and implemented previously, and so any risk treatments found necessary will be remedial in nature. In both contexts, those risks that the organisation judges are unacceptable should be treated.

Preparing for risk assessment Establishing the context It is impossible to conduct an efficient and effective risk assessment unless there is suitable preparation. We would normally establish the context by considering the following discrete activities: Gaining agreement on the scope and objectives for the risk management process Analysing important stakeholders to determine their objectives and the preferred means to communicate and consult with them Identifying the significant factors in the external environment that give rise to uncertainty. This could include, for example, the social, regulatory, cultural, physical, financial and political environment, external stakeholders and key external organizational drivers. Identifying the significant factors in the internal environment that give rise to uncertainty. Setting the scope and boundaries of a risk assessment by defining the organizational part, project, activity or change and its goals and objectives, specifying the nature of the decisions that have to be made based on the risk assessment outcomes, defining any specific criteria that will be used as part of risk

evaluation, defining the extent of the change or activity or function in terms of time and location, and any boundaries, identifying any scoping studies needed and their scope, objectives and the resources required, and defining the depth, breadth and rigour of the risk assessment, including specific inclusions and exclusions. Establishing the context is normally conducted several days before risk identification. It is not advisable to undertake it in the same session. The briefing note and the context information it contains should be preserved as part of the risk assessment record. Risk assessment

Identifying the risks

Risk assessment involves the identification of what, why, where, when and how events or situations could either harm or enhance the ability of the organisation to achieve its objectives. Comprehensive identification using a well-structured and systematic process is critical, because risks not identified at this stage are excluded from further analysis and treatment. Identification should include all risks, whether or not they are under the direct control of the organisation. Whichever method we use, we follow the same general process for risk identification given below. In all cases, the key element structure prepared during the context step should be followed. What could happen, where and when? Our aim is to generate a comprehensive list of events, situations or circumstances that might have an impact on the achievement of each of the relevant objectives. The events or circumstances might prevent, degrade, delay or enhance the achievement of the objectives. They are then considered in more detail to identify what could happen. How and why could it happen? Having identified what might happen, we help our client consider possible causes. There are many ways an event could occur or a circumstance might arise. It is important that no significant causes, particularly root causes, are omitted. This information is recorded in a risk register. It is normally inefficient for one person to facilitate the workshop and record the outcomes at the same time. We use Excel or Word templates to capture the information. It is normally not efficient to attempt to input the information directly into a risk management database during the workshop session.

Analysing the risks

Risk analysis is about developing an understanding of each risk. It provides an input to decisions on whether risks need to be further controlled and the most appropriate and cost-effective treatment actions to take. Risk analysis involves consideration of the positive and negative consequences and the likelihood that those consequences may occur. Factors that affect consequences and likelihood may be identified. Risk is analysed by combining consequences and likelihood, taking into account the existing controls. Broadleaf uses a qualitative method of risk analysis to prioritise risks for attention, at least initially. Even if quantitative analysis is required later, we normally find it efficient to use a qualitative system for screening purposes. Quantitative approaches can be used when more definition and rigour are needed. In general they are only used:

- Where the most likely consequence is high
- Where reliable quantitative data is available or can be generated
- Where the level of definition required by decision makers is high.

We often conduct the risk rating process during the workshop used for risk identification. However, sometimes it is preferable to analyse the risks at another time using subject matter specialists, and then reconvene the original workshop team to agree and verify the ratings. We always analyse the risk in terms of how the organisation currently operates, and in particular taking into account existing controls and their effectiveness. We use control effectiveness CE to take into account both the adequacy and effectiveness of the controls for a particular risk. We also determine a measure of potential exposure PE that represents the total plausible maximum impact on the organisation arising from a risk without regard to controls. This is estimated by considering the consequences that could arise if all existing controls were ineffective or missing. This measure is used to identify the key controls that should be subject to assurance and, in particular, monitored continuously for effectiveness. From the risk analysis output we can advise clients on:

- The preferred strategies for risk treatment
- The priority with which risks should be considered for treatment
- Those risks that should be the subject to senior level oversight, particularly in terms of monitoring the progress of risk treatment plans
- The risks and the associated controls that should be subject to planned assurance, particularly through continual monitoring as well as periodic review.

Risk treatment Options

It is usually not cost-effective or even desirable to implement all possible risk treatments. It is, however, necessary to choose, prioritise and implement the most appropriate combination of risk treatments. Treatment options, or more usually combinations of options, are selected by considering factors such as costs and benefits, effectiveness and other criteria of relevance to the organisation. Factors such as legal, social, political and economic matters may need

to be taken into account. Treatment of individual risks seldom occurs in isolation, and options should be considered together as part of an overall treatment strategy. Having a clear understanding of a complete treatment strategy is important to ensure that critical dependencies and linkages are not compromised and to ensure the use of resources and budgets is efficient. For this reason development of an overall treatment strategy should be a top-down process, driven jointly by the need to achieve objectives and satisfy organizational and budgetary constraints while controlling uncertainty to the extent that this is desirable. We advise our clients to be flexible about risk treatment options and consult broadly with stakeholders as well as with peers and specialists. Many treatments need be acceptable to stakeholders or those who are involved in implementation if they are to be effective and sustainable. We often use bow-tie analysis to help our clients identify possible risk treatment measures based on control gaps. Cost benefit analysis The primary consideration for most risks is whether the risk can be further treated in a way that is reasonable and cost effective. In general this involves considering: Determining the cost-effectiveness of further treatment involves the application of cost benefit analysis. This should consider all direct costs and ancillary costs dis-benefits as well as all the direct benefits and ancillary benefits opportunities. We help our clients identify possible options for risk treatment and then test each of these using cost benefit analysis. As with risk assessment, preparation for a risk treatment workshop is vital if it is to be effective and efficient. The table below contains an example of cost benefit analysis applied to risk treatment options. Treatment options associated with surface traffic accidents at a mine site Treatment option.

Chapter 4 : Risk Assessment and Treatment | The Institutes

Concussion in Sport: Current Options for Assessment and Treatment Naomi L. Albertson, M.D. Family Medicine/Sports Medicine Reno Orthopaedic Clinic.

What specific services are needed to address these priorities? Where can these services be provided in the least intensive, but safe, level of care or site of care? How will outcomes be measured? What is the progress of the treatment plan and placement decision? Adapted from Mee-Lee Answers to some of these important questions inevitably will change over time. As the answers change, adjustments in treatment strategies may be appropriate to help the client continue to engage in the treatment process. With regard to COD, clinicians must remember that ethnic cultures may differ significantly in their approach to substance use disorders and mental disorders, and that this may affect how the client presents. In addition, clients may participate in treatment cultures Step recovery, Dual Recovery Self-Help, psychiatric rehabilitation that also may affect how they view treatment. See also chapter 2 for a discussion of culturally competent treatment. Counselors also should be aware that women often have family-related and other concerns that must be addressed to engage them in treatment, such as the need for child care. Trauma sensitivity The high prevalence of trauma in individuals with COD requires that the clinician consider the possibility of a trauma history even before the assessment begins. Trauma may include early childhood physical, sexual, or emotional abuse; experiences of rape or interpersonal violence as an adult; and traumatic experiences associated with political oppression, as might be the case in refugee or other immigrant populations. This pre-interview consideration means that the approach to the client must be sensitive to the possibility that the client has suffered previous traumatic experiences that may interfere with his or her ability to be trusting of the counselor. Identify and Contact Collaterals Family, Friends, Other Providers To Gather Additional Information Clients presenting for substance abuse treatment, particularly those who have current or past mental health symptoms, may be unable or unwilling to report past or present circumstances accurately. For this reason, it is recommended that all assessments include routine procedures for identifying and contacting any family and other collaterals who may have useful information to provide. It is valuable particularly in evaluating the nature and severity of mental health symptoms when the client may be so impaired that he or she is unable to provide that information accurately. Screen for and Detect Co-Occurring Disorders Because of the high prevalence of co-occurring mental disorders in substance abuse treatment settings, and because treatment outcomes for individuals with multiple problems improve if each problem is addressed specifically, the consensus panel recommends that All individuals presenting for substance abuse treatment should be screened routinely for co-occurring mental disorders. All individuals presenting for treatment for a mental disorder should be screened routinely for any substance use disorder. The content of the screening will vary upon the setting. Substance abuse screening in mental health settings should Screen for acute safety risk related to serious intoxication or withdrawal Screen for past and present substance use, substance related problems, and substance-related disorders Mental health screening has four major components in substance abuse treatment settings: Screen for acute safety risk: Safety screening Safety screening requires that early in the interview the clinician specifically ask the client if he or she has any immediate impulse to engage in violent or self-injurious behavior, or if the client is in any immediate danger from others. These questions should be asked directly of the client and of anyone else who is providing information. Once this information is gathered, if it appears that the client is at some immediate risk, the clinician should arrange for a more in-depth risk assessment by a mental-health-trained clinician, and the client should not be left alone or unsupervised. A variety of tools are available for use in safety screening: ASI McLellan et al. See Potential Risk of Harm below. While this may most frequently be due to suicidal or homicidal thoughts or intentions, in many cases unintentional harm may result from misinterpretations of reality, from inability to care adequately for oneself, or from altered states of consciousness due to use of intoxicating substances. For the purpose of evaluation in this parameter, deficits in ability to care for oneself are considered only in the context of their potential to cause harm. Likewise, only behaviors associated with substance use are used to rate risk of harm, not the substance use itself. In addition to direct evidence of

potentially dangerous behavior from interview and observation, other factors may be considered in determining the likelihood of such behavior such as past history of dangerous behaviors, ability to contract for safety, and availability of means. When considering historical information, recent patterns of behavior should take precedence over patterns reported from the remote past. Risk of harm may be rated according to the following criteria: None of these tools is definitive for safety screening. Clinicians and programs should use one of these tools only as a starting point, and then elaborate more detailed questions to get all relevant information. Clinicians should not underestimate risk because the client is using substances actively. For example, although people who are intoxicated might only seem to be making threats of self-harm e. Individuals who have suicidal or aggressive impulses when intoxicated may act on those impulses; remember, alcohol and drug abuse are among the highest predictors of dangerousness to self or others—even without any co-occurring mental disorder. See chapter 8 and appendix D of this TIP for a more detailed discussion of suicidality. In addition, it is important to remember that the vast majority of people who are abusing or dependent on substances will experience at least transient symptoms of depression, anxiety, and other mental symptoms. Moreover, it may not be possible, even with a skilled clinician, to determine whether an intoxicated suicidal patient is making a serious threat of self harm; however, safety is a critical and paramount concern. A more detailed discussion of each symptom subgroup is provided in appendix D. Safety screening conducted in mental health settings is highlighted in the text box below. If clients obviously are intoxicated, they need to be treated with empathy and firmness, and provision needs to be made for their physical safety. If clients report that they are experiencing withdrawal, or appear to be exhibiting signs of withdrawal, use of formal withdrawal scales can help even inexperienced clinicians to gather information from which medically trained personnel can determine whether medical intervention is required. Mental health clinicians need to be aware that not all drugs have a physiological withdrawal associated with them, and it should not be assumed that withdrawal from any drug of abuse will require medical intervention. Only in the case of alcohol, opioids, sedative-hypnotics, or benzodiazepines is medical intervention likely to be required due to the pharmacological properties of the substance. Screening for past and present mental disorders Screening for past and present mental disorders has three goals: To identify clients who might have a current mental disorder and need both an assessment to determine the nature of the disorder and an evaluation to plan for its treatment. A number of screening, assessment, and treatment planning tools are available to assist the substance abuse treatment team. NIAAA operates a web-based service that provides quick information about alcoholism treatment assessment instruments and immediate online access to most of them, and the service is updated continually with new information and assessment instruments www.niaaa.nih.gov. NIDA has a publication from a decade ago Rounsaville et al. Of course, NIDA continues to explore issues related to screening and assessment e. The mental health field contains a vast array of screening and assessment devices, as well as subfields devoted primarily to the study and development of evaluative methods. Advanced assessment techniques include assessment instruments for general and specific purposes and advanced guides to differential diagnosis. Most high-power assessment techniques center on a specific type of problem or set of symptoms, such as the BDI-II Beck et al. However, such assessment devices typically are lengthy the MMPI is more than items , often require specific doctoral training to use, and can be difficult to adapt properly for some substance abuse treatment settings. For both clinical and research activities, there are a number of well-known and widely used guides to the differential diagnostic process in the mental health field, such as the Structured Clinical Interview for Diagnosis SCID. These tools generally provide information beyond the requirements of most substance abuse treatment programs. When using any of the wide array of tools that detect symptoms of mental disorders, counselors should bear in mind that symptoms of mental disorder can be mimicked by substances. For example, hallucinogens may produce symptoms that resemble psychosis, and depression commonly occurs during withdrawal from many substances. Even with well-tested tools, it can be difficult to distinguish between a mental disorder and a substance-related disorder without additional information such as the history and chronology of symptoms. The section below briefly highlights some available instruments available for mental health screening. It is available to the public at no charge from the Project Return Foundation, Inc. On the other hand, the MHSF-III is only a screening device as it asks only one question for

each disorder for which it attempts to screen. Considerable validation research has accumulated on the M. For each disorder the M. For example, in terms of suicidality the M. Thought about being better off dead or wishing to be dead 1 point 2. Thought about suicide 6 points 4. Attempted suicide 10 points 5. Developed a suicide plan 10 points M. Scoring rates low current suicide risk as 1 to 5 points, moderate as 6 to 9 points, and high as 10 or more points. Plus an expanded version of the M. The BSI questionnaire contains 18 items and asks clients to rate each question on a five-point scale. In addition to a Global Severity Index score, there are separate scores for anxiety, depression, and somatization subscales. With about items, the ASI is a low-power instrument but with a very broad range, covering the seven areas mentioned above and requiring about 1 hour for the interview. Development of and research into the ASI continues, including training programs, computerization, and critical analyses. It is a public domain document that has been used widely for 2 decades.

Screening for past and present substance use disorder This section is intended primarily for counselors working in mental health service settings. It suggests ways to screen clients for substance abuse problems. Screening begins with inquiry about past and present substance use and substance-related problems and disorders. It is important to remember that if the client acknowledges a past substance problem but states that it is now resolved, assessment is still required. Careful exploration of what current strategies the individual is using to prevent relapse is warranted. Such information can help ensure that those strategies continue while the individual is focusing on mental health treatment. Screening for the presence of substance abuse symptoms and problems involves four components: Substance abuse symptom checklists Formal screening tools that work around denial Screening of urine, saliva, or hair samples Symptom checklists: These include checklists of common categories of substances, history of associated problems with use, and a history of meeting criteria for substance dependence for that substance. It is not helpful to develop checklists that are overly detailed, because they begin to lose value as simple screening tools. It is helpful to remember to include abuse of over-the-counter medication e. It also is reasonable to screen for compulsive sexual behavior, Internet addiction, and compulsive spending. It is useful to monitor the severity of substance use disorder if present and to determine the possible presence of dependence. Some programs may use formal substance use disorder diagnostic tools; others use the ASI McLellan et al. The New Hampshire Dartmouth Psychiatric Research Center has developed clinician-rated alcohol- and drug-use scales for monitoring substance abuse severity in individuals with mental disorders: Most common substance abuse screening tools have been used with individuals with COD. The Dartmouth Assessment of Lifestyle Inventory DALI is used routinely as a screening tool in some research settings working with individuals with serious mental disorders Rosenberg et al. It is a item scale, although only 14 items are scored so that scores can range from 0 to These 14 items were selected by the TIP 11 consensus panelists from existing alcohol and drug abuse screening tools.

Chapter 5 : Clinical Practice Guidelines | Beacon Health Options

Constipation is a common complaint for people of all ages, with prevalence increasing with age and during pregnancy. Women are more likely to be affected than men.

This fact sheet discusses research findings on effective treatment approaches for drug abuse and addiction. What is drug addiction? Drug addiction is a chronic disease characterized by compulsive, or uncontrollable, drug seeking and use despite harmful consequences and changes in the brain, which can be long lasting. These changes in the brain can lead to the harmful behaviors seen in people who use drugs. Drug addiction is also a relapsing disease. Relapse is the return to drug use after an attempt to stop. Seeking and taking the drug becomes compulsive. This is mostly due to the effects of long-term drug exposure on brain function. Addiction affects parts of the brain involved in reward and motivation, learning and memory, and control over behavior. Addiction is a disease that affects both the brain and behavior. Can drug addiction be treated? Most patients need long-term or repeated care to stop using completely and recover their lives. Addiction treatment must help the person do the following: Addiction is a complex but treatable disease that affects brain function and behavior. No single treatment is right for everyone. People need to have quick access to treatment. Staying in treatment long enough is critical. Counseling and other behavioral therapies are the most commonly used forms of treatment. Medications are often an important part of treatment, especially when combined with behavioral therapies. Treatment should address other possible mental disorders. Medically assisted detoxification is only the first stage of treatment. Drug use during treatment must be monitored continuously. What are treatments for drug addiction? There are many options that have been successful in treating drug addiction, including: Treatment should include both medical and mental health services as needed. Follow-up care may include community- or family-based recovery support systems. How are medications and devices used in drug addiction treatment? Medications and devices can be used to manage withdrawal symptoms, prevent relapse, and treat co-occurring conditions. Medications and devices can help suppress withdrawal symptoms during detoxification. Detoxification is not in itself "treatment," but only the first step in the process. Patients who do not receive any further treatment after detoxification usually resume their drug use. One study of treatment facilities found that medications were used in almost 80 percent of detoxifications SAMHSA, This device is placed behind the ear and sends electrical pulses to stimulate certain brain nerves. Patients can use medications to help re-establish normal brain function and decrease cravings. Medications are available for treatment of opioid heroin, prescription pain relievers , tobacco nicotine , and alcohol addiction. Scientists are developing other medications to treat stimulant cocaine, methamphetamine and cannabis marijuana addiction. People who use more than one drug, which is very common, need treatment for all of the substances they use. Acting on the same targets in the brain as heroin and morphine, methadone and buprenorphine suppress withdrawal symptoms and relieve cravings. Naltrexone blocks the effects of opioids at their receptor sites in the brain and should be used only in patients who have already been detoxified. All medications help patients reduce drug seeking and related criminal behavior and help them become more open to behavioral treatments. Because full detoxification is necessary for treatment with naloxone, initiating treatment among active users was difficult, but once detoxification was complete, both medications had similar effectiveness. Nicotine replacement therapies have several forms, including the patch, spray, gum, and lozenges. These products are available over the counter. They work differently in the brain, but both help prevent relapse in people trying to quit. The medications are more effective when combined with behavioral treatments, such as group and individual therapy as well as telephone quitlines. Three medications have been FDA-approved for treating alcohol addiction and a fourth, topiramate, has shown promise in clinical trials large-scale studies with people. The three approved medications are as follows: Naltrexone blocks opioid receptors that are involved in the rewarding effects of drinking and in the craving for alcohol. It reduces relapse to heavy drinking and is highly effective in some patients. Genetic differences may affect how well the drug works in certain patients. It may be more effective in patients with severe addiction. Acetaldehyde builds up in the body, leading to unpleasant reactions that include flushing warmth and redness in the face , nausea,

and irregular heartbeat if the patient drinks alcohol. Compliance taking the drug as prescribed can be a problem, but it may help patients who are highly motivated to quit drinking. How are behavioral therapies used to treat drug addiction? Behavioral therapies help patients: Most of the programs involve individual or group drug counseling, or both. These programs typically offer forms of behavioral therapy such as: After completing intensive treatment, patients transition to regular outpatient treatment, which meets less often and for fewer hours per week to help sustain their recovery. This application is intended to be used with outpatient treatment to treat alcohol, cocaine, marijuana, and stimulant substance use disorders. Licensed residential treatment facilities offer hour structured and intensive care, including safe housing and medical attention. Residential treatment facilities may use a variety of therapeutic approaches, and they are generally aimed at helping the patient live a drug-free, crime-free lifestyle after treatment. Examples of residential treatment settings include: Therapeutic communities, which are highly structured programs in which patients remain at a residence, typically for 6 to 12 months. Read more about therapeutic communities in the Therapeutic Communities Research Report at <https://www.samhsa.gov/2k11/therapeutic-communities>: Shorter-term residential treatment, which typically focuses on detoxification as well as providing initial intensive counseling and preparation for treatment in a community-based setting. Recovery housing, which provides supervised, short-term housing for patients, often following other types of inpatient or residential treatment. Recovery housing can help people make the transition to an independent life—for example, helping them learn how to manage finances or seek employment, as well as connecting them to support services in the community. Is treatment different for criminal justice populations? Scientific research since the mid-1990s shows that drug abuse treatment can help many drug-using offenders change their attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors towards drug abuse; avoid relapse; and successfully remove themselves from a life of substance abuse and crime. Many of the principles of treating drug addiction are similar for people within the criminal justice system as for those in the general population. Treatment that is of poor quality or is not well suited to the needs of offenders may not be effective at reducing drug use and criminal behavior. In addition to the general principles of treatment, some considerations specific to offenders include the following: This includes skills related to thinking, understanding, learning, and remembering. Treatment planning should include tailored services within the correctional facility as well as transition to community-based treatment after release. Ongoing coordination between treatment providers and courts or parole and probation officers is important in addressing the complex needs of offenders re-entering society. Challenges of Re-entry Drug abuse changes the function of the brain, and many things can "trigger" drug cravings within the brain. How many people get treatment for drug addiction? Of these, about 2.

Chapter 6 : Risk assessment and risk treatment – Broadleaf

Non-pharmacological treatments serve as the first line of treatment and are frequently used for patients with musculoskeletal conditions of the foot and ankle. This review provides a summary of the assessments and non-invasive treatment options based upon available evidence.

Chapter 7 : DrugFacts: Treatment Approaches for Drug Addiction | National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA)

Psychopathology has been designed to provide students with a comprehensive coverage of both psychopathology and clinical practice, including extensive treatment techniques for a range of mental health issues.

Chapter 8 : 4 Assessment - Substance Abuse Treatment for Persons With Co-Occurring Disorders - NCBI

Risk assessment (often called risk analysis) is probably the most complex part of ISO implementation; but at the same time risk assessment (and treatment) is the most important step at the beginning of your information security project - it sets the foundations for information security in your company.

Chapter 9 : Options Treatment Programs – Sometimes You Just Need Options

Home / Providers / Beacon Health Options / Provider Handbook / Clinical Practice Guidelines Clinical Practice Guidelines In recent years, the process of developing clinical practice guidelines has undergone significant re-evaluation by national professional organizations including the American Medical Association and the Institute of Medicine.