

DOWNLOAD PDF THE LONG-RUN RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HOUSE PRICES AND RENTS

Chapter 1 : Hedonic and GMM Estimates of the Relationship between House Prices and Rents in Taiwan

house prices, the tenants' rent series from the consumer price index to measure rents, and the price deflator for personal consumption expenditures excluding food and energy to deflate nominal values.

The stock of housing in the UK includes privately owned and occupied houses and apartments, privately rented and local authority rented accommodation, and property managed by housing associations. By 2007, there were just over 27 million households in the UK. As the chart shows, the significance of local authority housing has declined, while renting privately and through housing association schemes has increased.

Privately owned property In the UK, privately owned property is either freehold or leasehold. As each year goes by, the lease becomes shorter until the land and property reverts to the landlord. It is common for leaseholders to purchase an extension to the lease when it falls below 60 years. Mortgages The majority of freehold and leasehold property is bought with the aid of a long-term loan, called a mortgage. Mortgages can be for any period of time, but 25 years is the most common. Mortgage repayments usually include two elements; repayment of the loan, called the capital, and repayment of the interest on the loan. Since the late 1980s, securitisation of mortgages has meant that mortgage debt has been repackaged to provide a flow of income to third parties, including investment banks. This approach has been deeply implicated in the global financial crisis of 2007-2009.

Mortgage approvals Privately rented property With privately rented property, the landlord rents out property through a short tenancy agreement, usually for 6 months, though this can be renewed. Tenants typically pay a monthly rent, though other payment periods may exist. Local government - local authority - rented property In the case of local authority rented property, tenants pay a weekly or monthly rent, which is commonly subsidised, and below commercial market rates. Property is allocated to individuals based on need and not just their income. Most local authorities do not have sufficient properties to meet demand and have long waiting lists. Housing Association property Housing Associations offer affordable properties for part-ownership and part-rental. New built houses and existing property The housing market is unlike many other markets given the relative importance of second-hand transactions, compared with purchases of newly built property. The relative dearth of new property is one of the key factors driving the upward trend of UK property prices in the long run. The importance of the housing market The housing market in the UK is extremely important for two main reasons. Firstly, changes in house prices can have considerable effects on the rest of the economy. A change in house prices affects the value of household wealth, creating a positive or negative wealth effect. A positive wealth effect means that, following a rise in house prices, the ratio of the market value of the property to the debt on that property, typically in the form of a mortgage, rises creating an increase in equity. This can trigger housing equity withdrawal previously called mortgage equity withdrawal and can be a significant boost to consumer spending. Changes in interest rates, which are a key policy tool to regulate the UK economy, often have a more significant effect on consumer spending in the UK than in other economies. This is due to the relatively large proportion of home ownership in the UK, and the general spending sensitivity of UK consumers to interest rate changes. The long-term trend for UK house prices is upwards, but changes in house prices are extremely cyclical. Property prices, debt and equity While the debt on properties falls over time, as repayments are made, property prices tend to rise. Equity is the difference between the market price of a property and the debt owed at a point in time. Rising equity creates a positive wealth effect, which can lead to housing equity withdrawal. This occurs when homeowners release some of their equity by taking out a bank loan secured against the equity in the property. Recent changes in housing equity withdrawal A negative wealth effect is created when house prices fall creating a fall in equity. Negative equity exists whenever the amount of debt on a property is greater than the market value of the property. Negative equity reduces consumer confidence, and is likely to discourage spending. Demand for private housing The demand for private housing is determined by a number of factors, including house prices. As expected, there tends to be an inverse relationship between house prices and demand. As with all goods, the

DOWNLOAD PDF THE LONG-RUN RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HOUSE PRICES AND RENTS

inverse relationship can be explained with reference to the income and substitution effect. At higher prices, real incomes will fall and individuals will reduce their demand. In addition, at higher prices, the alternatives to owning a property, such as renting, appear more attractive and individuals are more likely to rent. When house prices are lower the reverse is true, with individuals encouraged to buy because of a rise in their real income and because renting seems less attractive. However, the demand for property is also partly speculative, so that a rise in prices can lead to a rise in demand as buyers anticipate a speculative gain. The non-price determinants include: In addition to changes in price, which cause a movement along the demand curve for housing, other non-price factors are also important, and changes in these cause a shift in the demand curve. Population Total demand for property is determined by population size and changes in the structure of the population caused by migration and long-term changes in the birth and death rates. An aging population will increase the overall demand for property. Incomes of households Changes in both the level of national income, and its distribution, can have a significant effect on the demand for property. As houses are normal goods with a high income elasticity of demand, increases in income can trigger a larger percentage increase in demand. As their income rises many individuals switch from renting to home ownership, or move to bigger property. Some may buy a second property as holiday homes, or to rent out. Hence, the demand curve for private housing will shift to the right as incomes rise. The preference for later marriages had led to an increase in the number of single households, and to a rise in the demand for flats and apartments. Interest rates Changes in general interest rates may be passed on by lenders such as building societies and banks, and this will also the amount of monthly repayments for those on variable-rate mortgages. Higher rates make property less affordable, and the demand curve will shift to the left. Interest rates, which had been averaging around 4. Since then, Bank of England base rate has remained at 0. However, mortgage rates did not fall so dramatically, as lenders looked to maintain their liquidity and increase their profitability. Also, many borrowers were on fixed-rate mortgages, and could not take advantage of low rates in the short term. Average variable rate mortgages from banks and building societies fell to 3. Availability of credit The availability of credit is also important in determining the demand for property. During the banking and financial crisis of , the supply of credit fell which reduced the demand for housing, and led to a fall in house prices. UK supply of loans for homes Fashion Owning property has become increasingly fashionable in the UK over the last 25 years. Price of substitutes Renting property is an alternative to ownership, and changes in rental prices can affect the demand for private property. Buy-to-let demand The increase in the availability and popularity of buy-to-let mortgages in the s created a new market for property as an investment and gave a boost to an already buoyant market. Expectations There is an important speculative element in the demand for property. Property developers and ordinary householders often base their current demand for property on expectations of future price changes. Rising house prices encourage speculation and falling house prices discourage speculative buying. Changes in demand Changes in any of the underlying determinants of demand for houses will shift the demand curve to the left or right. The supply of private housing Price The supply of private housing is partly determined by house prices, together with a number of underlying determinants. In terms of house prices, the relationship between supply and price is positive, with higher prices encouraging supply. Rising prices encourage house builders to construct more housing, and existing owners are encouraged to sell. The supply of housing is positively related to house prices, and the supply curve is upward sloping. However, supply is frequently inelastic because of time lags and legal complexities and, in the case of new-builds, because of the difficulty of obtaining planning permission. Non-price factors A change in house prices will lead to a movement along the existing supply curve for property. Other, non-price factors will cause a shift in the supply curve. Availability of factors As indicated, new house building depends upon the availability of land, which may be very limited in the short run. An increase in the availability of land will shift the supply curve to the right. Availability of labour is also important. For example, a shortage of bricklayers would reduce the supply of new houses. Costs In the case of new-builds, building costs may also have a significant effect on supply. These costs include raw materials and labour costs. A shortage of labour, for example, could push up the wage rate and increase building costs,

DOWNLOAD PDF THE LONG-RUN RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HOUSE PRICES AND RENTS

which would cause the supply curve to shift to the left. Government legislation Legislation can also affect the supply of housing in a number of ways. The strict requirement for planning permission for new house building may deter house builders. Conversely, relaxation of regulations, as happened in the London Docklands, is likely to encourage building. Government can also tighten or relax restrictions on building in rural areas, such as the green belt. However, critics argued that they added a new layer of bureaucracy into an already over-regulated market, and the requirement to provide these packs was eventually scrapped in This has tended to increase the elasticity of supply of properties at the cheaper end of the market. Shifts in supply Changes in an underlying determinant of supply will shift the supply curve. Equilibrium house prices House price reflect both demand and supply, and, as in all markets, equilibrium price will occur at the price that matches current demand to available supply. In the short run, supply is relatively inelastic given that it takes a long time to build new houses. Hence, increases in demand have an especially big effect on house prices. Over time, demand for housing in the UK has risen continuously while the supply has remained stable. UK house building in recent years has been one of the lowest in Europe, and this has contributed to the rising level of average prices. House prices and interest rates Interest rates are an increasingly important determinant of the demand for housing. A small fall in interest rates can trigger a large increase in the demand for property. Lower interest rates lead to lower mortgage rates, and encourage new entrants as well people looking to buy second homes as an investment. With low interest rates, people with excess funds to invest will get a better rate of return by investing in property rather than from a bank deposit account. This additional demand drives up house prices.

DOWNLOAD PDF THE LONG-RUN RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HOUSE PRICES AND RENTS

Chapter 2 : The UK housing market

However, the approach is less useful for examining the relationship between rents and house prices at a higher frequency. Meese and Wallace () used time-series data on prices, rents and the cost of capital for Alameda and San Francisco counties to show that prices and rents are cointegrated.

Relation between Rent and Price Cost Article Shared by Let us make an in-depth study of the relation between rent and price cost. It is rather the result of price. Under competitive conditions, the price of a product, be it an agricultural or an industrial, is equal to the marginal cost of production. In the Ricardian analysis, the marginal cost refers to the cost of production in the marginal land. Since rent is a differential return, it then follows that rent does not enter into price which is equal to the cost of production in the marginal land. Instead, rent in the Ricardian system is the result of price. If the price of corn is higher because of the higher marginal cost or because of a larger demand, the superior land will generate a larger surplus above cost than before. As a result, the rent will increase. Similarly, when the market price falls because of a smaller demand, the rent will fall. But this view of Ricardo is true only from the point of view of the society as a whole. In fact, Ricardo analysed the problem from this angle. Unlike land both labour and capital have cost and supply price. Labour has to be reared; if no wages are paid, labourers will die and their supply will fall. Similarly, capital is the result of waiting; if no interest is paid, none will be willing to lend and the supply of loan-capital will fall. But if no rent is paid, the supply of land will not be affected. Therefore, from the social standpoint, land has no cost of production. This means that the rent of land does not form a part of price. Rent is, on the other hand, the consequence of the competitive price. If we look at the problem from the point of view of an individual farmer, the whole of rent is very much a part of the total cost of production. The rent that it pays for land is included in its costs of production, because the farmer cannot use the land if it does not hand over to the owner the surplus appearing over expenses for other factors. So rent enters into cost and price. Multiple or Alternative Uses of Land: Again, what Ricardo has said will be true if a particular land has a single use only, say, for the cultivation of wheat. The marginal land for wheat may not yield any rent if it is exclusively used for wheat. But the land can be used for a variety of purposes, i.e. The same plot of land may be used for either jute or paddy or oilseeds or cattle-grazing. When it is used for, say, wheat, the farmer must pay rent, otherwise it would be transferred to the cultivation of, say, paddy or jute, from where it can earn rent. Here rent becomes a part of cost and price of the product of land. The rent which the farmer has to pay on the marginal land is paid not as marginal land but because of transfer costs. It happens so because the total of land is inelastic in supply for all uses, but is elastic in supply for a particular purpose. The modern writers interpret rent as the surplus over the minimum supply price of a factor. When this opportunity cost increases, the owner of land will demand more and get more from its present use. The increase of rent in such cases would affect the prices of all products from land. Rent in this sense enters into price, and high price may be the result of high rent. From this point of view of transfer cost, land has a cost to an individual farmer. Unless the latter pays the rent per acre which is determined by competition among landlords as also among farmers, the land will go to someone else. Hence, for the individual farmer, the whole of rent will be a cost—the cost of keeping the land from being transferred elsewhere.

DOWNLOAD PDF THE LONG-RUN RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HOUSE PRICES AND RENTS

Chapter 3 : EconPapers: The Long-Run Relationship Between House Prices and Rents

examining the relationship between rents and house prices at a higher frequency. Meese and Wallace () used time-series data on prices, rents, and the cost of.

Related content This research explores how the housing market is likely to change in the coming decades, and how this will affect poverty. Using detailed historic data to examine the relationship between housing and poverty, it provides a much greater insight into this link than most studies. It also explains how changes to housing policies and provision could prevent poverty increasing. Private rents in England are forecast to rise by around 90 per cent in real terms between and “ more than twice as fast as incomes. It predicts poverty rates among private renters could be as high as 53 per cent by , compared to 43 per cent in . The decline in social renting and rise in private renting is likely to become more pronounced over the next few decades. Private renting is projected to grow to house one in five people in England by , compared to one in six today. Social renting will house just one in ten by , compared to one in seven today. Poverty levels in England can only be contained if housing supply nearly doubles to , homes a year by ; social rents do not move closer to market rates and rises are limited to 1 per cent over inflation; Housing Benefit meets a similar proportion of rent as in ; and tenure patterns remain unchanged. This research examines the relationship between poverty and housing by studying the circumstances of 5, people over an year period. It also projects how this relationship will change by . Key points More than a third of people experienced poverty during the year study period. For most it was temporary. Settled owners experienced above average levels of temporary poverty, whereas settled social renters experienced rates of chronic poverty 3. However, 85 per cent of people in chronic poverty did not experience unsatisfactory housing. Moreover, housing deprivation is not only an inner-city phenomenon “ as deprivation generally is “ but reflects a complex pattern of housing standards, prices and quality. Private renting is projected to grow to house a fifth of the population in England by , with social renting declining to house one in ten. Private rents are forecast to rise by around 90 per cent in real terms between and “ more than twice as fast as incomes. This would push up to 50 per cent of private renters into poverty by . Setting social rents closer to market rents could put an extra 1. Looking to , poverty levels in England can be contained to one in four of the population only if: Nonetheless, key elements in the housing system including social rented housing, Housing Benefit, and mortgage-free retirement help to limit poverty rates. However, our understanding of the relationship between income poverty and housing is limited because the evidence is generally based on snapshot surveys. It is vital that it is better understood so policymakers can respond effectively to ongoing changes in the housing system. This research examines the circumstances of 5, individuals recorded in the British Household Panel Survey from to . It distinguishes between three kinds of poverty: Housing pathways and poverty There are very clear associations between housing pathways and both the chances of experiencing poverty and the nature of that poverty over the year period. People with settled pathways rooted in home-ownership experienced above-average levels of temporary poverty but below-average levels of chronic poverty. Associated events “ including rising incomes, having children and retirement “ suggest a generally benign experience over the course of a lifetime. In marked contrast, people whose housing pathways were founded in social renting throughout the 18 year period were at much greater risk of poverty than the sample as a whole. However, what is most striking and disturbing is the chronic nature of poverty for most social renters. Their associated life events are diverse and include rising incomes though without income level being identified and retirement. It is clear that people living in social rented housing have very low incomes for much of their lives. The pattern of life events is clearer for people who moved from renting to home-ownership. People moving from private renting to home-ownership and whose life events reflect earlier adulthood labour market change, marital change and having children experienced relatively high levels of temporary poverty, but apparently no chronic or even recurrent poverty. In contrast, those making the same tenure transition, but with no significant life event

DOWNLOAD PDF THE LONG-RUN RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HOUSE PRICES AND RENTS

patterns, experienced much higher levels of chronic poverty compared to settled home-owners. People who moved from social rented housing to home-ownership suggestive of exercising the Right to Buy experienced life events consistent with later working life and retirement children leaving home, and ageing combined with falling incomes. Their risk and experience of poverty lay somewhere between that of settled home-owners and settled social renters. Similarly, life events consistent with unstable incomes are associated with fluctuation between home-ownership and private renting. Generally, these people experienced high levels of temporary poverty and low levels of chronic poverty. People who changed marital status were the exception; they experienced higher levels of chronic poverty. The data did not include enough people who only used private renting during the period to permit an analysis of this group. Housing deprivation Looking at poverty and housing conditions together, between 88%–93 per cent of people who experienced housing deprivation during the study period also experienced some form of poverty. Moreover, between 60%–73 per cent of people who experienced severe housing deprivation also experienced chronic poverty. However, more than 85 per cent of people who experienced chronic poverty did not experience severe housing deprivation. In other words, while people who experienced chronic poverty were six times as likely to experience housing deprivation as those not in poverty, the vast majority avoided such housing deprivation. Housing deprivation is not universally an urban phenomenon. While the ten English local authorities with the highest concentrations of housing-related deprivation are all in London, in Wales and Northern Ireland rural authorities are more strongly represented. Projections for The research also examines the effects of expected trends in economic and income growth, house prices, housing supply and social and market rents on poverty rates in England by Figure 1 The effects of key factors were applied to people in the last wave of the British Household Panel Survey These factors include expected economic and income growth, and changes in house prices, housing supply and social and market rents. Figure 1 – Projected poverty rates The modelling suggests that poverty can be contained at existing levels only under four conditions: This means that the figures given here are likely to be an underestimate of the real impact of poverty in Poverty rates are especially sensitive to social rent setting. However, if social rents were to move to 65 per cent of market rents, the overall poverty rate would rise by 2. This represents an extra 1. Even this scenario depends on the real costs of Housing Benefit or equivalent rising by per cent. Moreover, the forecast suggests that by Conclusion The housing system is changing, as private renting grows and social rents, at least in some parts of England, rise towards market levels. The ability of Housing Benefit to protect tenants from higher rents has already been reduced in response to rising cost pressures, and this seems set to continue. The main dataset is taken from the British Household Panel Survey. Economic forecasts were generated from long-run econometric and simulation models. The research used two measures of poverty: Downloads Summary What will the housing market look like in ?

Chapter 4 : FRB: FEDS paper

I use standard error-correction models and long-horizon regression models to examine how well the rent-price ratio predicts future changes in real rents and prices. I find evidence that the rent-price ratio predicts future changes in real rents and prices. I find evidence that the rent-price ratio predicts future changes in real rents and prices.

Chapter 5 : FRB: FEDS paper

I find evidence that the rent-price ratio helps predict changes in real prices over 4-year periods, but that the rent-price ratio has little predictive power for changes in real rents over the same period.

Chapter 6 : Economic Rent and Opportunity Cost

I show that when house prices are high relative to rents (that is, when the rent-price ratio is low) changes in real rents tend to be larger than usual and changes in real prices tend to be smaller than usual. Standard error-correction models

DOWNLOAD PDF THE LONG-RUN RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HOUSE PRICES AND RENTS

provide inconclusive results about the predictive power.

Chapter 7 : The inverse relationship between price and yield

I use standard error-correction models and long-horizon regression models to examine how well the rentprice ratio predicts future changes in real rents and prices. I find evidence that the rentprice ratio helps predict changes in real prices over 4-year periods, but that the rentprice ratio has.

Chapter 8 : EconPapers: The long-run relationship between house prices and rents

There is no concrete, direct relationship between a house price (the price you pay for it) and rental price (if you were to rent the house). There are soft relationships between the rental market and the housing market, but rental price is driven much more by the current rents for nearby, similar offerings.

Chapter 9 : Price-to-Rent Ratio

The Long-Run Relationship between House Prices and Rents Joshua Gallin Abstract: I show that when house prices are high relative to rents (that is, when the.