

Chapter 1 : Before a North Korea war, we'd see this - CNNPolitics

The Allied victory there in February, , marked a major turning point in the war after a string of Japanese victories in the Pacific. Joe Scherschel "Time & Life Pictures/Getty Images.

These are external links and will open in a new window Close share panel Image copyright Getty Images
Image caption Three South African soldiers, whose remains were found last year, are buried at Tyne Cot cemetery near Ypres This year Britain is commemorating the centenary of the start of World War One. But could we ever face a third world war? I decided to find out for The Editors, a programme that sets out to ask challenging questions. Wandering around the war cemeteries of Flanders and northern France, I was constantly struck by the thought of the hundreds of thousands of private, small-scale catastrophes that are commemorated there. Tyne Cot is the largest of the WW1 British cemeteries. It contains the bodies of 11, men. Blown apart by high explosive or drowned in the mud, huge numbers of men simply vanished or were impossible to identify. Disastrous day On the great rear walls at Tyne Cot, near the ancient Belgian city of Ypres, the names of those who died are inscribed in stone. Yet the tragedy was not limited to these men. Many more were badly damaged, physically and mentally, by what they went through. My own great uncle was one of them. Watch it on BBC One at The Editors Some were killed on the first disastrous day of the Battle of the Somme. Others died during the four bloody months that followed. My great-uncle Harold, who was promoted from second lieutenant to captain during the fighting on that first day, 1 July , survived. But he was not unscathed. He went into the battle a handsome, clever, charming man of 25, with a highly promising future. He was wounded in the head by a piece of shrapnel, and never recovered. His entire character changed. He turned into a morose, violent drunk, subject to devastating headaches. Silver watch His wife divorced him, and his family, who had once been so proud of him, closed their doors to him when he came round, angrily demanding money from them. Harold eked out an existence as a homeless beggar for nearly 50 years, and eventually died on a bench at Waterloo station in London. The silver watch he wore when he led his men over the top on 1 July was still on his wrist. A century later, could another world war break out? Media playback is unsupported on your device Media caption John Simpson visits Tyne Cot It seems unlikely - but that, of course, is exactly what people everywhere believed before the assassination of Archduke Franz Ferdinand and his wife by a Serbian extremist in June There are certainly potential flashpoints at present. Europe and Russia are trading angry insults over Ukraine, and China and Japan are squaring up over a few uninhabited islands in the East China Sea. There are two particular dangers at such times. The first is that smaller countries can drag larger ones into conflict. The second is that governments are sometimes tempted to believe they can launch limited, successful wars that will be over quickly. They are usually wrong. Image copyright Getty Images
Image caption Ukraine, scene of deadly clashes this month, is a potential flashpoint between Russia and Nato. We assume nowadays that our globalised world is too closely linked together for a wider war to break out. Well, maybe, but in a man named Norman Angell thought exactly that. Runaway best-seller He wrote a book, The Great Illusion, to prove that war would be madness, given the close trading ties between the great powers. It was a runaway best-seller but although he was quite right, and received the Nobel Peace Prize 22 years later, war broke out anyway. Still, things have changed greatly in years. No matter how it may seem, our world is less dangerous and war-prone than it was. The threat of all-out nuclear war no longer hangs over us. At present there are more than 30 wars in the world. But they are much less destructive of human life. Between , when the Korean War started, and , when the death toll in the Iraq war finally started to drop, there were something like , deaths per year from war. From to that figure dropped dramatically, to 28, per year. It could even be lower in That is roughly half the figure for the s, and a third of the number of deaths during the Cold War. One final, and possibly comforting observation. Britain suffered huge losses as a result of WW1 and the flu epidemic that swept Europe afterwards. Yet if you compare the and census returns you will see that the British population actually rose during those years - by almost three million. Will we have a world war in the near future?

Chapter 2 : Why Democrats Would Lose the Second Civil War, Too

World War III (WWIII or WW3) and the Third World War are names given to a hypothetical third worldwide large-scale military conflict subsequent to World War I and World War II. The term has been in use since at least as early as

Types of war War must entail some degree of confrontation using weapons and other military technology and equipment by armed forces employing military tactics and operational art within a broad military strategy subject to military logistics. Studies of war by military theorists throughout military history have sought to identify the philosophy of war , and to reduce it to a military science. Modern military science considers several factors before a national defence policy is created to allow a war to commence: Biological warfare , or germ warfare, is the use of weaponized biological toxins or infectious agents such as bacteria, viruses, and fungi. Chemical warfare involves the use of weaponized chemicals in combat. Poison gas as a chemical weapon was principally used during World War I , and resulted in over a million estimated casualties, including more than , civilians. Civil war is a war between forces belonging to the same nation or political entity. Conventional warfare is declared war between states in which nuclear , biological , or chemical weapons are not used or see limited deployment. Insurgency is a rebellion against authority, when those taking part in the rebellion are not recognized as belligerents lawful combatants. Information warfare is the application of destructive force on a large scale against information assets and systems, against the computers and networks that support the four critical infrastructures the power grid, communications, financial, and transportation. Total war is warfare by any means possible, disregarding the laws of war , placing no limits on legitimate military targets , using weapons and tactics resulting in significant civilian casualties , or demanding a war effort requiring significant sacrifices by the friendly civilian population. Unconventional warfare , the opposite of conventional warfare, is an attempt to achieve military victory through acquiescence, capitulation, or clandestine support for one side of an existing conflict. War of aggression is a war for conquest or gain rather than self-defense; this can be the basis of war crimes under customary international law. War of liberation , Wars of national liberation or national liberation revolutions are conflicts fought by nations to gain independence. The term is used in conjunction with wars against foreign powers or at least those perceived as foreign to establish separate sovereign states for the rebelling nationality. From a different point of view, these wars are called insurgencies, rebellions, or wars of independence. Military history The percentages of men killed in war in eight tribal societies, and Europe and the U. Keeley, archeologist The earliest recorded evidence of war belongs to the Mesolithic cemetery Site , which has been determined to be approximately 14, years old. About forty-five percent of the skeletons there displayed signs of violent death. The advent of gunpowder and the acceleration of technological advances led to modern warfare. According to Conway W. Henderson, "One source claims that 14, wars have taken place between BC and the late 20th century, costing 3. For comparison, an estimated 1,, people died from infectious diseases in the 20th century. All of these forms of warfare were used by primitive societies, a finding supported by other researchers. Scarcity of resources meant defensive works were not a cost-effective way to protect the society against enemy raids. At the end of each of the last two World Wars, concerted and popular efforts were made to come to a greater understanding of the underlying dynamics of war and to thereby hopefully reduce or even eliminate it altogether. These efforts materialized in the forms of the League of Nations , and its successor, the United Nations. According to the U. Bureau of the Census , the Indian Wars of the 19th century cost the lives of about 50,

Chapter 3 : There Will Be War Series by Jerry Pournelle

An American credit downgrade. Europe in turmoil. Israel menaced by an Iran with nuclear ambitions. Mexican drug cartels run amok. Chinese ghost cities. With each passing day the news gets worse.

Once America is firmly entrenched into the Middle East with the majority of her first-line units, North Korea is to attack South Korea. This will usher in the start of World War Three. How many countries need to be involved? And who decides at which point a number of regional skirmishes can be grouped together and called a World War? At the time, who called the official start of World War 1 and World War 2? A World War is a military conflict spanning more than 2 continents, in which at least 20 major countries participate in an attack against a common enemy, and which has the attention of the man-in-the-street due to the significant loss of life. We can also agree that we are very close to achieving World War 3. The only requirement left to fulfill the start of WW3 is that of a military conflict spanning more than 2 continents. Act 1 - The Middle East. Widespread conflict to bring the entire region into the flames of war, possibly triggered by Iran or militants in Pakistan using North Korean supplied nuclear arms. A Palestinian State will be established, so that all Israelis will be fully separated from Palestinians listen out for mention of a 7-year treaty to be confirmed by a World Leader - probably Bush , only for Israel to viciously attack Palestine shortly thereafter. Includes China invading Taiwan and a nuclear eruption on the Korean Peninsula. The ultimate intent is to introduce a global government and one-world religion. Act 5 - The collapse of the US, and other Western economies and morals. Act 6 - Significant population reduction using natural and man-made disasters. Who can tell how this war will end? Both Biblical prophecy and the Illuminati plan state that Israel is the key. Then, and only then, will all the other elements begin to occur and they will do so in rapid succession. The plan is to have one disaster following another in such rapid succession that, before people can mentally and emotionally handle one disastrous news event, they will be hit with another. It is also accurate to say that until ALL of the elements for WW3 are in place, the plan will not commence. While it would be naive to suggest a specific timeline for the events leading up to and including World War 3, we do know that the plans for World War 3 are well advanced, and our leaders involved in this secret plan are waiting only for the right signal before all-out war begins. This is the Illuminati plan and Biblical prophecy Revelation The stage itself, albeit in darkness, is almost ready. Down in the pit, the subterranean orchestra is already tuning up. The last-minute, walk-on parts are even now being filled. Most of the main actors, one suspects, have already taken up their roles. Soon it will be time for them to come on stage, ready for the curtain to rise. The time for action will have come. Further details will be added as events dictate. If you found this article interesting and want access to other carefully researched and well written articles, you might want to see what others are saying about the ThreeWorldWars newsletter.

Conflict continues on and off in Ukraine, there are tensions throughout the Asia-Pacific, Ebola is on the rampage, ISIS continues its bloody war of attrition throughout Syria, into Iraq and on and on.

Riots are flaring up all across the country as the two dominant political forces come to a head. You can get a pretty clear view of the political alliances of Ukraine with the above map, which shows the results of the election. Blue represents areas that supported Viktor Yanukovich, so you can consider those regions comparatively pro-Russian. The purple areas voted for an opposing candidate, Yulia Tymoshenko. The darker the color, the stronger the support. Kharkiv and Donetsk are firmly in the blue, and represent two major Ukrainian cities with a strong industrial infrastructure—and both are historically Russian. This is a group of very assertive, very nationalistic people at arms over the one issue that holds paramount importance: We are like puppets for them. We have one Tsar and one god—Putin. In , when Putin was still Prime Minister, Russia and Georgia entered a five-day conflict that culminated in Russian bombs falling on the Georgian capital. Humanitarian groups around the world cried out, governments issued strict warnings for Russia to fall back, and nobody lifted an actual finger to stop it. And if you give a mouse a cookie. The years leading up to the war held a lot of indicators that, in hindsight, revealed aggressive countries testing the waters of what they could get away with. These days, China is reversing the balance by threatening an invasion of its own. The territory in question is a group of rocks known as the Senkaku islands, which are located in the East China Sea. The problem, of course, is that both China and Japan feel that the islands belong to them, and whoever controls the islands also controls shipping lanes, fishing waters, and a potential oil field. In November , China startled the world by announcing a newly configured air defense zone in the East China Sea—a zone that they and they alone would control, to the point of shooting down aircraft that wandered into it. But, in addition to Japan, other regions originally had claim to that airspace, including Taiwan and South Korea. Tensions have been building in the Pacific Rim for a while now, and if military action puts too much pressure on the skeleton of their current political disputes, bones could break. And unlike the first two Sino-Japanese wars, this conflict could involve other countries in the region. South Korea quietly expanded their own airspace in December , pushing back into territory that China had already claimed. Combined with both China and Japan aggressively rearming themselves in recent years, this territorial dispute has the potential to explode. Unlike their official policy of stern warnings and disapproving looks in response to Russia, the White House has publicly and unwaveringly declared that it will back Japan against any acts of aggression by China. With about 50 percent of its Naval force stationed in the Pacific , the US will also be in a position to help the Philippines if China continues pressing to the south. Although they initially ended up on opposite sides of the conflict, Germany and the USSR went into World War II with a non-aggression pact , which lasted two years until Hitler ripped it up and sent Nazis onto Soviet ice. With perhaps some similarities to that historic pact, China and Ukraine signed a nuclear security pact in December Why would China want to create such a pact with a country 5, kilometers 3, mi away? And more importantly, with which government is China going to honor the pact? That idea reeks of conspiracy theory. Either way, the enemy of an enemy is always a friend, and US-Russian relations are on very shaky ground. Could it actually happen? Find out in World War 3: Niseth While tension rises on the Eastern European front and Southeast Asia is mired in an explosive territorial dispute, rumors of war are also being whispered in the Middle East—specifically, Iran. But is Iran any real threat? In January , Iran dispatched a fleet of ships toward US national waters. Including paramilitaries, Iran states that they have And that, surprisingly, is an example of why not to count Iran out of the picture. They have an air force of 30, men with several hundred aircraft, along with cruise missiles with a range of 2, kilometers 1, mi. But turn your back for too long, and that mugger can sneak up and give you some scars. The most recent launch was March 2, ; they fired more the week before that. Or South Korea, or Russia. Most frightening of all, North Korea is building a nuclear arsenal. Prior to each war, economic recessions hit several of the countries involved. By , Japan had taken moves to devalue its currency , which led to increased exports and a resulting growth in their economy. They pumped the extra money into weapons and munitions, which gave them a decided military

advantage in the years leading up to the war. Germany, on the other hand, entirely crashed, which made the Nazi and Communist parties take similar steps and earn overwhelming support among the populace. While analysts are predicting yet another economic meltdown for Western countries, countries like Iran and Russia are looking to band together to boost their economies. The risk is if China decides to dump the US debt. China would take a financial loss, but it could be a crippling blow to the US economy—and much of the world, since the US dollar is held in reserve by most foreign governments. If China and the US do come to blows over the South China Sea, the US could eradicate the debt and pump the extra revenue into military spending—the exact same monetary flow that happened in World War II, only this time the guns are bigger.

Chapter 5 : Russia, Ukraine and the West: Will there be war?

There Will Be War (There Will Be War, #1), Men of War (There Will Be War, #2), Blood and Iron (There Will Be War, #3), Day of the Tyrant (There Will Be Home My Books.

Nevertheless, traditional business models are no longer sustainable and high-quality publications, like ours, are being forced to look for new ways to keep going. Unlike many other news organizations, we have not put up a paywall. We want to keep our journalism open and accessible and be able to keep providing you with news and analyses from the frontlines of Israel, the Middle East and the Jewish World. As one of our loyal readers, we ask you to be our partner. In the north, the likelihood of war this summer will be increased if US President Donald Trump goes ahead with his plan to withdraw American soldiers from Syria and ends aid to allies in Syria fighting Assad. This will be taken as a sign to Iran, Russia, Turkey and the whole Muslim world that America has yet again tried to abandon the region, except poor choices in the Middle East have a way of bringing America back with less leverage and not on its own terms. Be the first to know - Join our Facebook page. It is inevitable that Israeli strikes in Syria will kill Russian soldiers, increasing the chances of turning this into a wider regional conflict. Those requests have been met with indifference Russia wants to build a secure foothold in the Middle East and its policy requires it to maintain good relations with Iran Russia, the new sheriff in town, ordered Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu to stand down, and knowing he was alone, he did. To the south, Hamas in Gaza is now feeling like a cornered rat, with no way out. The economic situation is worsening as the Palestinian Authority tightens the noose around their neck. Four years ago Hamas was in a similar economic position, and it choose war as a way to get the attention of the international community. Expect Hamas to have learned from its past three wars with Israel, becoming a more lethal enemy. Israel does not want to take over Gaza, becoming responsible for its services, and it fears that if it overthrows Hamas, an even worse entity may emerge, or uncontrollable chaos. Last week Hamas did a test run of its newest weapon, mass protests on the border, sending human probes to the security fence, hoping they would be killed and elicit the usual Pavlovian denunciations from anti-Israel groups like Human Rights Watch HRW , who condemn Israel first and ask questions later. HRW choose not to mention that Hamas even sent a seven-year-old child as bait to cross the border, breaking all international standards. This ongoing test-run may be the starting point for a summer of violence and war. He wants to be remembered as leader of a resistance that did not make peace with the Jews. So will there be a war this summer? But the possibility of a coordinated war aligning Hezbollah, Hamas and Iran, all acting in concert, would present Israel with unprecedented challenges. Israel must be prepared for the next war to break out at any time, and even with the best intelligence, events can spiral out of control, even if none of the adversaries are prepared for an all-out war. He regularly briefs members of Congress on the Middle East.

Chapter 6 : There Will Be War (There Will Be War, #1) by Jerry Pournelle

But several of the offerings in the first volume of THERE WILL BE WAR treat combat, weaponry, and military professionals as the only elements one needs for a good story.

The new pro-Russian president of Crimea equally unsurprisingly immediately called on Moscow to intervene. At the same time, pro-Moscow demonstrators hoisted flags above government buildings in two eastern cities. Western leaders shook their heads and said that Russia must not intervene. Moscow held up its hands, indignantly protesting that it would not do so. But the facts seem to indicate otherwise. Putin secured without difficulty the unanimous approval of the Russian senate for the use of armed force on the territory of his neighbour, citing the need to protect Russian citizens. He asked that Russian forces be used "until the normalisation of the political situation in the country": This threat to what was supposed to be an independent country of 46 million people on the edges of central Europe creates the biggest direct confrontation between Russia and the West since the Cold War. The government in Kiev protested. EU ministers were due to hold emergency talks. But nobody is saying what these would be. Western politicians have hundreds of arguments, but Putin has hundreds of thousands of troops, tanks and guns. And whereas the forces of NATO are rather far away, his own forces are conveniently massing right on the Ukrainian border, and some are already on the ground in Crimea as Russia has a permanent naval base there. The tension between the two sides increases by the hour. Everyone is urging exactly the same thing. He asked Ukrainians to bridge divisions in the country and said they must not fall for provocations. But in the same breath said he had put the army on full alert, which is hardly a very calming message. Prime Minister Arseniy Yatsenyuk, who was standing next to Mr Turchynov, said he was "convinced" Russia would not intervene militarily "as this would be the beginning of war and the end of all relations. The euphoria of the first few days after the fall of Yanukovych has dissipated and is being replaced with an anxious and tense mood. It is no accident that the first act of the Parliament during the first session after reopening was a vote to abolish Russian as second official language. According to various reports, the fascist gangs were "guarding" the Rada when it passed this vote. These fascist militias are now being integrated in the police and armed forces and their leaders are gaining important positions in Defence, Internal Security, Public Prosecution and other key ministries. Is it any wonder that workers and Russian-speakers should feel anxious? If they are fearful, it is because they have something to fear. When Putin speaks of a threat to the Russian-speaking people of Ukraine, he is not lying. The role of the fascists in the Euromaidan movement has been systematically underplayed and ignored by the western media. These audacious tactics of the fascists powerfully suggest that they feel a strong support from outside. It is now official that the US invested some 5 billion dollars in the last 20 years in supporting the opposition in Ukraine, and links between the Banderite fascists and the US go right back to the years of the Reagan administration. Inevitably, anti-Semitism has lifted its ugly head in Ukraine. A synagogue was attacked in Zaporizhia, to the south east of Kiev. As a result a chief rabbi has advised Jews not to leave their homes, and an Israeli official is inviting Ukrainian Jews to immigrate to Israel. This is not to say that a fully-fledged fascist regime supported by the US is now in control, but this relatively independent role and strength of the fascists are an important element in the situation and one that renders a compromise more difficult to reach and maintain. Right-wing, ultra-nationalist and fascist gangs have been on the rampage. Left-wingers have been assaulted. His lungs are injured and his skull is broken. He is in a critical state in hospital. There have been many such cases. Such things have understandably created a mood of fear and anger in the industrial centres of eastern Ukraine. The main activity of the Communist Party KPU has been to mobilise to defend the Lenin monuments that have been destroyed in many places in western Ukraine. In the East mass meetings are held every day with thousands of people participating. There is a vacuum on the left that has to be filled. Unfortunately, after instead of maintaining an independent class position, the KPU subordinated itself to that section of the oligarchy represented by Yanukovych and the Party of the Regions. This was a serious mistake. Probably it was a reaction to its previous experience of the struggle against Kuchma together with Yushenko. Nevertheless, there have been reports that the KPU is growing for example in Lugansk. I do not have the exact

figures, but it seems that all branches of the KPU in the South and East have experienced growth. This is not surprising. Feeling the growing threat from the fascist and ultra-nationalists, the masses naturally gravitate to those mass organizations that are familiar to them and seem to stand for their interests as a class, as well as their identity as Russians. In spite of all the wrong policies of the KPU leaders, it is necessary to express our firm solidarity and support for Ukrainians communists against this fascist aggression. The situation in Crimea is a centre of pro-Russian sentiment. In fact, Russia has been the dominant power in Crimea for most of the past years, since it annexed the region in 1954. However, it was transferred by Moscow to Ukraine "then part of the Soviet Union" in 1991. Ethnic Russians see that as a historical wrong that must now be put right. However, the Muslim Crimean Tatars represent a significant minority. Once the majority of the population, they were deported en masse by Stalin in for alleged collaboration with Nazi invaders in World War Two. The ethnic composition is complex: Tatars have been returning since the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991 causing persistent tensions with Russians over land rights. The most worrying element in the equation is the fighting that has broken out between Russian and Tatar activists in the streets. The situation in Crimea has deteriorated rapidly since the overthrow of Yanukovich. Following the occupation of the Crimean parliament in Simferopol by Russian paramilitaries, deputies voted to change the local government and announced a referendum on Independence on 25 May. The result of this is a foregone conclusion. The majority of Crimea is composed of ethnic Russians who are now clamouring for Ukraine to return to Russia. Crimea is now completely outside the control of the Kiev government. All airports have been blocked by Russian marines and access to Crimea is now impossible, as the UN envoy discovered when he tried to go there. Formally Crimea remains legally part of Ukraine "a status that Russia backed when pledging to uphold the territorial integrity of Ukraine in a memorandum signed in 1994, also signed by the US, UK and France. The Kiev government has appealed to the last-named guarantors for help. But what is formally legal is one thing, and what happens in practice quite another. In the words of Solon of Athens: While demanding that Russia refrain from intervening in the internal matters of Ukraine, they have been intervening for a long time, striving by all means to drag that country out of the orbit of Moscow and into the fold of NATO and the EU. Their cynical actions have played a key role in destabilizing Ukraine and bringing about the present catastrophe. The Americans accuse Russia of violating the national sovereignty of Ukraine. Did they themselves not violate the national sovereignty of Iraq, Afghanistan and Yugoslavia? They accuse Russia of plotting the break-up of Ukraine. Did they themselves not plan and carry out the break-up of Yugoslavia and Czechoslovakia? Did they not actively encourage the break-up of the Soviet Union, which has been the cause of so many wars, deaths and suffering ever since? While pretending to stand for freedom and democracy, the American imperialists did not hesitate to lean on fascist and Nazi elements to overthrow the pro-Moscow government of Viktor Yanukovich. While pretending to stand for Ukrainian independence and national sovereignty, they have created a situation that threatens to destroy Ukraine altogether as a unified state. The EU deceived the people by making them believe that if Ukraine came under the control of Berlin instead of Moscow, their economic woes would disappear, Ukrainian citizens would be welcomed with open arms to work and live in Europe, and the European Central Bank would shower a grateful people with billions of Euros. Many Ukrainians, tired of decades of corruption, swindling and economic chaos, believed this. But it was a lie from start to finish. Ukraine is probably the only place in Europe where people go onto the streets carrying EU flags. In Greece the same flag is burned. In almost every other country the name of the EU is met with curses. The difference is that here people have had actual experience of what a capitalist EU means, while for the Ukrainians it is only a dream. Now the Ukrainians will begin to understand the reality. The Kiev government is literally bankrupt. But who will pay? The EU will send little or no money to Kiev, only a lot of nice words. But words will not fill any empty bellies. Is this the start of WW3? Perhaps because it is the 70th anniversary of 1945, some people see shades of Sarajevo. Is this the beginning of the Third World War? Such historical analogies are superficial and devoid of any real content. The world balance of forces is not remotely similar to that of 1914. Nor are the interests of the states involved. In 1914 Germany was forced to go to war to defend its ally Austria, and in any case was looking for a pretext for war. The assassination of Franz Ferdinand in Sarajevo was merely the spark that set off a European conflagration that had been prepared for decades. One simple point will serve to

underline the difference. The events in Sarajevo led immediately to the Austrian ultimatum to Serbia, which was composed in terms to which the Serbs could never agree.

Chapter 7 : Does Bible prophecy predict that there will be a World War 3 (III, three) before the end times?

There was no figure of overweening personality and historical significance during the First World War who could put the brakes on the mobilization process. Like any organic process, the prelude to that war continued to its logical and largely predetermined conclusion.

Hermann Rauschning , who had just arrived in the United States. Vice President Henry A. Some of those plans are now out of date and have been partially or fully declassified. Operation Dropshot Main article: Operation Dropshot "Operation Dropshot" was the s United States contingency plan for a possible nuclear and conventional war with the Soviet Union in the Western European and Asian theaters. At the time the US nuclear arsenal was limited in size, based mostly in the United States, and depended on bombers for delivery. Between 75 and of the nuclear weapons were targeted to destroy Soviet combat aircraft on the ground. The scenario was devised prior to the development of intercontinental ballistic missiles. It was also devised before U. Nuclear weapons at this time were not accurate enough to hit a naval base without destroying the city adjacent to it, so the aim in using them was to destroy the enemy industrial capacity in an effort to cripple their war economy. Eisenhower , US Army , on 2 April Exercise Mainbrace brought together ships and over 50, personnel to practice the defence of Denmark and Norway from Russian attack in It was the first major NATO exercise. Ridgeway , US Army , during the autumn of Exercises Grand Slam and Longstep were naval exercises held in the Mediterranean Sea during to practice dislodging an enemy occupying force and amphibious assault. It involved over warships and aircraft under the overall command of Admiral Carney. The overall exercise commander, Admiral Carney summarized the accomplishments of Exercise Grand Slam by stating: As the largest peacetime naval operation up to that time, Operation Strikeback was characterized by military analyst Hanson W. While troops could easily fly across the Atlantic, the heavy equipment and armor reinforcements would have to come by sea. This section does not cite any sources. Please help improve this section by adding citations to reliable sources. Unsourced material may be challenged and removed. The exercise was intended to ensure that NATO had the ability to quickly deploy forces to West Germany in the event of a conflict with the Warsaw Pact. Therefore, in the event of a Soviet invasion, in order not to resort to tactical nuclear strikes, NATO forces holding the line against a Warsaw Pact armored spearhead would have to be quickly resupplied and replaced. Most of this support would have come across the Atlantic from the US and Canada. Reforger was not merely a show of forceâ€”in the event of a conflict, it would be the actual plan to strengthen the NATO presence in Europe. In that instance, it would have been referred to as Operation Reforger. Seven Days to the River Rhine Main article: Seven Days to the River Rhine was a top secret military simulation exercise developed in by the Warsaw Pact. It started with the assumption that NATO would launch a nuclear attack on the Vistula river valley in a first-strike scenario, which would result in as many as two million Polish civilian casualties. Individual Warsaw Pact states were only assigned their own subpart of the strategic picture; in this case, the Polish forces were only expected to go as far as Germany. The Seven Days to the Rhine plan envisioned that Poland and Germany would be largely destroyed by nuclear exchanges, and that large numbers of troops would die of radiation sickness. It was estimated that NATO would fire nuclear weapons behind the advancing Soviet lines to cut off their supply lines and thus blunt their advance. Newspapers speculated when this plan was declassified, that France and the UK were not to be hit in an effort to get them to withhold use of their own nuclear weapons. Exercise Able Archer Main article: Exercise Able Archer was an annual exercise by the United States military in Europe that practiced command and control procedures, with emphasis on transition from solely conventional operations to chemical, nuclear, and conventional operations during a time of war. Able Archer exercises simulated a period of conflict escalation , culminating in a coordinated nuclear attack. President Ronald Reagan on 23 March Although he later believed in disarmament treaties slowly blunting the danger of nuclear weaponry by reducing their number and alert status, he also believed a technological solution might allow incoming ICBMs to be shot down, thus making the US invulnerable to a first strike. However the USSR saw the SDI concept as a major threat, since unilateral deployment of the system would allow the US to launch a massive first strike on the

Soviet Union without any fear of retaliation. The SDI concept was to use ground-based and space-based systems to protect the United States from attack by strategic nuclear ballistic missiles. The initiative focused on strategic defense rather than the prior strategic offense doctrine of Mutual Assured Destruction MAD. NATO nuclear sharing Main article: In peace time , the nuclear weapons stored in non-nuclear countries are guarded by US airmen though previously some artillery and missile systems were guarded by US Army soldiers; the codes required for detonating them are under American control. The delivery warplanes used are Fs and Panavia Tornados. Please update this article to reflect recent events or newly available information.

Chapter 8 : World War III - Wikipedia

Russian leader has said that a war would wipe out civilisation If you add North Korea's nuclear ambitions into the mix, an all-out war could be on the cards - but who would win if World War 3.

Much to the chagrin of Marxists, feminists, and European-style socialists, history is only capable of being altered by uniquely gifted individuals whose greatness does not embody, but actually transcends, the Zeitgeist. Most people nowadays do not live lives of quiet desperation, but they do live lives of historical insignificance. This is not to denigrate the efforts of the common man and woman but to deal honestly with their contributions to the historical process. A healthy civilization requires a vast multitude of hard-working decent people who raise good families and contribute to the common weal, but whose influence is largely unfelt after two generations. It is a sobering thought to contemplate that one is neither Napoleon nor Zarathustra, yet it is a thought that needs to be taken into account if we are to deal objectively and successfully with the historical processes that are unfolding at a nearly exponential rate. Throughout history, there are numerous examples of historical processes that, once begun, are seemingly incapable of being mitigated. The mobilization of troops before the Franco-Prussian War and the First World War are two examples that have often been cited by historians as events that snowballed out of control and made war almost inevitable. There was no figure of overweening personality and historical significance during the First World War who could put the brakes on the mobilization process. Like any organic process, the prelude to that war continued to its logical and largely predetermined conclusion. The attempted demographic replacement of the white race has been the result of an overwhelming concatenation of historical forces: Events have proven the reverse to be true. Trump really is the agent of historical change, and we are all merely along for the ride. It is a bitter pill for many in the Alt Right to think that a dreaded Boomer is the best chance for the salvation of the white race and the renaissance of the United States – but history abounds with cruel ironies. I believe that an objective reading of the historical events of the past several centuries leads to the conclusion that there is no peaceful road to the ethnostate or a return to the America of pre demographic conditions. There is no Negro political leadership that is capable of negotiations. The low average IQ of Negroes extends to their leaders, especially to their elected representatives, who believe that Guam might sink if too many Marines are sent to the island. While the mestizo Mexicans are slightly more intelligent and better organized than their Negro counterparts, they are actually more violent, and are the fifth column of an invasion controlled by the Mexican government. We must assume that among the Mexican invaders are organizers and even deep-cover Mexican military agents who can assert command-and-control authority when the time comes. As Trump has become more and more successful, the Left has ratcheted up its rhetoric to the point of advocating violence. They have no choice but to double down on their rhetoric, which means that soon we will see actual violence in the streets from the Left. The civil war will come, and it will be initiated by the Left. For the most part, this will be a war with mestizos. The Negroes will be content to loot and rape. As more whites become racially conscious and increasingly vote as a racial bloc, expect to see even more strident calls for white genocide emanating from the Left. The Deep State and its media puppets will probably increase their calls for resistance to Trump that fall just short of explicitly calling for armed insurrection. But the radicalized members of the Left will view this as a call to arms. Additionally, the Census is going to be every bit as contentious as the presidential election, and it is very likely that a mestizo revolution could commence as more and more mestizos are deported and federal laws are promulgated to prevent illegal aliens from voting. It is very easy to imagine a scenario in which the state of California is declared to be in open rebellion against the United States and will need to be occupied by federal troops. There are several other flashpoints that could be used by the Left as signals to launch the mestizo uprising. First, the Trump administration is currently discussing the eventual elimination of affirmative action. We also need to consider the financial, political, and military resources of the anti-white Deep State and its allies. The political officer corps is by no means enamored of Trump, and its loyalty to the President and the citizens of America is questionable at best. The education system and almost all religious institutions are in the hands of the Left, and are profoundly anti-white. What, then, are the advantages held by

White Nationalists? We still have numbers on our side, and an advantage in numbers means that we have a greater pool of people who can successfully advocate and fight for our side. And we can still win elections. There is the ineffable power of white privilege, or what I like to refer to as fifty thousand years of success — that is, the white character that privileges preparation, low time preference, and rationality. A little talked-about fact is that whites overwhelmingly control food production. The great agricultural states are almost all red, and if mestizos get out of hand, they can do so on an empty stomach. Technical competence in all fields is overwhelmingly in the possession of whites. Most Negroes and mestizos in technical fields have sub-par skills because they were affirmative action hires who have been elevated far beyond their skill level. Many readers have undoubtedly seen those YouTube videos showing what would happen to the United States if whites were suddenly to vanish: And mestizos and Negroes cannot rely on their white fellow travelers, either, as very few genderqueer sociology majors are able to operate a tractor or fix the engine of a Bradley armored personnel carrier. In the end, I hope that I am proven wrong. I sincerely hope that some semblance of sanity exists among the Negro and mestizo political leadership, but I am not sanguine about it. History tells me that I am more likely right than wrong, and that the years and will be very bloody, indeed.

Chapter 9 : What are the chances of a third world war? - BBC News

Answer: There is no doubt that world war will be a part of the future. Christ plainly taught that there would be war prior to His return (Matthew). Christ plainly taught that there would be war prior to His return (Matthew).

In California, the leftist government is practically firing on Fort Sumter. Do I think there will be a civil war? No, but there could be. This is the Age of Black Swans, and anything is possible – we could easily see the country split into red and blue. Civil war is unlikely, but never underestimate Democrat stupidity and hatred. The Schlichter family learned that lesson a century and half ago, the last time the Democrats decided to try to impose their hatred of basic human rights on the rest of the country, when an army of Democrats burned our family hometown. View Cartoon Oh, they paid for it. And they would pay again. Democrats are in insurrections, and if they went for another round, they would be The keyboard commandos of the left seek to hand wave away the massive strategic challenge of imposing control by force upon a well-armed, decentralized citizenry occupying the vast majority of the territory, so they babble about drones and tanks as counterinsurgency trump cards. But there are no trump cards in war. There are men, with rifles, standing on patches of dirt, killing the people trying to push them off. There are two Civil War II scenarios, and the left is poorly positioned to prevail in either one. The first scenario is that the Democrats take power and violate the Constitution in order to use the apparatus of the federal government to suppress and oppress Normal Americans. In that scenario, red Americans are the insurgents. The Democrats lose both wars. Remember the famous red v. There is a lot of red, and in the interior the few blue splotches are all cities like Las Vegas or Denver. That is a lot of territory for a counter-insurgent force to control, and this is critical. The red is where the food is grown, the oil pumped, and through which everything is transported. And that red space is filled with millions of American citizens with small arms, a fairly large percentage of whom have military training. Remember what two untrained idiots did in Boston with a couple of pistols? They shut a city down. Now multiply that by several million, with better weapons and training. There are a lot of civilian law enforcement officers, but the vast majority of the agencies are local – sheriffs, small town police departments. They will not be reliable allies in supporting unlawful oppression of their friends and neighbors. A small percentage would be ideologically loyal. Others would be actively sympathetic to the insurgents. This is true of federal law enforcement agencies as well. In other words, hit and run, and no stand-up fights. For example, how do a bunch of hunters in Wisconsin defeat a company of M1A2 Abrams tanks? They ambush the fuel and ammo trucks. Oh, and they wait until the gunner pops the hatch to take a leak and put a. What do the tanks do then? Go level the nearest town? Now they just moved the needle in favor of the insurgents among the population. Sure, they own their forward operating bases, and they own a few hundred meters around them wherever they happen to be standing at the moment, but the rest of the territory is bright red. As my recent novel illustrates, American guerillas with small arms are a deadly threat to the forces of a dictatorship. But the military is so big it would overwhelm any rebels, right? Well, how big do you think the military is? And, more importantly, how many actual boots on the ground can it deploy? But in this case, look for several brigades located there to hoist the Lone Star flag. So, now the blue states are facing unconventional and conventional forces. Now, if these troops were assigned to combat operations against other Americans, you would have significant additional losses through desertion. Many of the senior leaders would participate – the Obama generation – and there is a certain type of junior officer only too happy to curry favor by sucking up in defiance of their oath which is to the Constitution, not to some leftist president. But a lot of key, capable officer and NCO leaders, and enlisted troops, would vanish. It is a violation of their oath to unconstitutionally oppress fellow Americans; their duty would be to refuse such unlawful orders. So, you have significantly understrength units going in. Now, how many of the troops in a brigade are actually even front line combat troops? About a third – the rest are support. So a brigade is really about riflemen tops before you count losses. Cut those in half for sleep, training, and refitting at any one time which is very generous and your brigade is really troops on your best day with everyone showing up. Recommended Bottom Line in Florida: And there are hundreds of mid-sized towns. Plus there are millions of Normal Americans who would fight back. Nothing would move without their

permission â€” a few guys shooting up big rigs along the interstate would shut down the entire trucking industry. What about drones and bombers? But the minute a bombing strike kills some red civilians the families of counter-insurgent drone operators and pilots will be knocking at the base gates to be let inside. Civil wars are harsh. How about the blue insurgency scenario? That goes even worse for the Democrats. You have the federal government apparatus in the hands of red America, and the insurgents are the opposite of decentralized and armed. They are conveniently centered in gun-unfriendly blue cities. In other words, the blue civilian population is much less of a threat. A red counter-insurgency avoids the problem of a decentralized insurgency and insecure logistical lines. In the case of California, whose secessionist antics are approaching the point where President Trump could legitimately employ his power to crush insurrections, the tactical problem is relatively simple. For example, San Francisco is a hotbed of treason, but the populace is largely unarmed and is trapped in a confined area. Next you go to the Crystal Springs Dam and cut off the water. Then you watch and wait as the tech hipsters run out of artisanal sushi rice and kombucha. After about a week, they surrender. LA is just bigger in scope â€” more corridors to cut off, but in the end the population concentrations in large liberal urban areas that are their strength also make them extremely vulnerable to logistical pressure. The Democrat threat to peace is based on its policies designed to deprive Normal Americans of their right to speak freely, to worship freely, and to defend themselves and their rights with firearms. Make no mistake â€” millions of Normal Americans are willing to risk death to defend those rights. In fact, many swore to do so when they entered our military and law enforcement. But who is the leftist big talker willing to die to impose the fascist dream of censorship, religious oppression, and disarmament on Normal American citizens? Is the Vox columnist going to grab a M4? Is the Hollywood poser going to switch her gyno-beanie for a helmet?